

Journal of Experimental Agriculture International

36(2): 1-6, 2019; Article no.JEAI.45019

ISSN: 2457-0591

(Past name: American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, Past ISSN: 2231-0606)

Effects of Pesticides on Vegetative Growth and Fruit Yield of Seedless Mandarin at the Basic Design Period in Thai Nguyen Province, Vietnam

Nguyen Minh Tuan^{1*}, Ha Minh Tuan¹, Luan Thi Dep² and Nguyen Ngoc Lan³

¹Collaborative Agricultural R&D (CARD) Lab., Thai Nguyen University of Agriculture and Forestry, Vietnam

²Department of Agronomy, Thai Nguyen University of Agriculture and Forestry, Quyet Thang Commune, Thai Nguyen City, Vietnam.

³Center for Foreign Language and Applied Information, Thai Nguyen University of Agriculture and Forestry, Quyet Thang Commune Thai Nguyen City, Vietnam.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JEAI/2019/v36i230233

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Mohamed Fadel, Professor, Microbial Chemistry, Department Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Division National Research Center, Egypt.

Reviewers

Dr. Daniel Kosini Saint-Jérôme Catholic, University Institute of Douala, Cameroon.
 Dr. Aliva Patnaik, School of Life Sciences, Sambalpur University, Odisha, India.
 Dr. Peter Ogah Onuwa, University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria.
 Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/45019

Original Research Article

Received 29 September 2018 Accepted 18 December 2018 Published 18 May 2019

ABSTRACT

Aims: This study was carry out to evaluate the effects of pesticide on vegetative growth, fruit yield, fruit quality of sweet seedless Mandarin citrus seedless in basic design period at Thai Nguyen province

Study Design: The study was carried out in Dai Tu district, Thai Nguyen province in 2017-2018. The experiment included three treatments were designed in Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications.

Results and Discussion: The vegetative growth of tree and shoot, fruit character and fruit quality was collected. Results indicated that T_2 treatment (Trebon 10 EC) had the best results in vegetative growth, fruit quality and fruit yield.

Conclusion: It was concluded that T_2 treatment application has greatly enhanced vegetative growth, fruit character, and fruit quality of sweet seedless Mandarin under field conditions.

Keywords: Pesticide; Trebon 10 EC; Newsgard 75 WP; sweet seedless Mandarin.

1. INTRODUCTION

Citrus is an important fruit crop around the globe [1]. It is a major fruit crop grown worldwide and is mainly cultivated in parts of tropical and subtropical regions of the world [2]. However, its production is hampered by numerous species of insect pests including psyllids, leafminers, fruit flies and scales, and diseases including canker, greening and downy mildews [3]. Batool et al. [4] reported that citrus diseases have emerged as potential threat to citrus productivity globally. Akhtar and Ahmed [5] noted severe loss of citrus due to these diseases like 22% in Kinnow, 25-40% in sweet orange, 15% in grapefruit, 10% in sweet lime, and 2% lemon. In order to control these pests and to protect their crop and yield. farmers indiscriminately and recurrently use a wide range of synthetic pesticides including insecticides and fungicides [6]. In addition, large amounts of chemicals are employed in the management of insect pests and diseases in Viet Nam, however lack of information about control of diseases and plant protection measures on the part of citrus growers are other factors that affect the production and quality of sweet seedless Mandarin cultivar. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of pesticide on vegetative growth, fruit development and yield in sweet seedless mandarin cultivar under field conditions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experiment Treatment

The experiment was carried out in sweet seedless mandarin cultivar (*Citrus unshiu* Marc) 1 to 2 years old from 2017 to 2018 at Dai Tu district, Thai Nguyen province. The experiment consists of three treatments including the control was designed in Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications and three uniform trees were taken as an experiment unit. The experiment included three treatments as follows: T₁: Spray water (control); T₂: Spray Trebon 10 EC; T₃: Spray Newsgard 75 WP. The pesticide was applied at the same time shoot innitial and development stage on windless mornings with a truck- mounted motorized sprayed until drip off.

2.2 Data Collection

The number of shoot per tree was determined by choosing randomly 3 trees and the number of shoot was counted. Later shoot maturite (length and diameter) were measured with vernier calipers. Leaf number per shoot was evaluating by choosing randomly 4 shoots on each tagged tree and the number of leaf were counted. At harvesting, final fruit length, fruit diameter, flesh thickness was determined with the help of Vernier caliper. Average fruit weight, flesh fruit weight, peels fruit weight and yield was determined by weighing. Total soluble solid (TSS) was measured by using a hand refractometer (ATAGO Co. LTD., Tokyo, Japan) juice was squeezed from the fresh-cut wax apple and the result was expressed as ^oBrix.

2.3 Statistical Analysis

The data obtained from the study were analyzed using SAS 6.12 statistical software. The least significant difference was calculated following a significance F-test (at $p \le 0.05$).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of Pesticide on Vegetative Growth of Sweet Seedless *M. adarin* Cultivar

The results in Table 1 showed that in the case of 2017. there was no significant different plant height, tree canopy diameter, number of branch level 1 among treatment (p <0.05). However, in 2018 the same table data showed that there was significant different plant height between treatments (p<0.05). In contract, application of T₂ treatment produced the highest value of 183.56 cm, whereas the control treatment gave the lowest value (162.11 cm). For the tree canopy diameter, the results also indicated that T₃ treatment application gave the highest value of 125.22 cm, whereas the lowest tree canopy diameter was found in untreated control with value of 122.44 cm, although the difference was not statistically significant (p<0.05).

Table 1. Effect of pesticide on vegetative growth of sweet seedless Madarin cultivar

Year	Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Tree canopy diameter (cm)	No.branch level 1 (branch/tree)
2017	T ₁	144.67 ^a	88.56 ^a	3.78 ^a
	T_2	148.22 ^a	88.89 ^a	3.89 ^a
	T ₃	145.33 ^a	99.78 ^a	4.00 ^a
	р	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05
	LSD.05	-	-	-
2018	T ₁	162.11 ^c	122.44 ^a	*
	T ₂	183.56 ^a	123.50 ^a	*
	T ₃	171.44 ^b	125.22 ^a	*
	p	<0.05	>0.05	
	LSD.05	8.1	-	

*Number of branches level 1 only measure in first year, then do not change to desing the canopy of tree.

3.2 Effect of Pesticide on Number of Shoot in Sweet Seedless Madarin Cultivar

T₃ treatment application gave the highest value of 11.9 spring shoot/tree, followed by T₂ treatment application, whereas the lowest value of 9.3 Spring hoot/tree recorded in untreated control, although the difference was not statistically significant (p<0.05). The same data in Table 2 indicated that there was significantly summer shoots number and Autumn shoot number in all treatment as compared to untreated control. In term, T₃ treatment had the maximum value of 16.6 shoots/tree and 12.6 shoots/tree in summer and autumn shoot, respectively. The minimum summer shoots and autumn shoot number with value of 9.6 shoots/tree was recorded in control treatment, which was achieved in the case of 2017 study. However, in the case of 2018 study, the results in Table showed that there was no significant difference in srping shoot, summer shoot ans

autumn shoot in all treatment as compared to untreated control.

3.3 Effect of Pesticide on Shoot Character of Sweet Seedless Mandarin Cultivar

The results in Table 3 showed that there was no significant difference shoot length for all treatment in the case of spring shoot in 2017. However, in 2018 the highest spring shoot length with value of 17.5 cm was observed in T₃ treatment, whereas the lowest spring shoot length with value of 12.0 cm was found in the control treatment. For the summer shoot, , the results showed that the highest shoot length 29.17 cm in 2017 and 19.9 cm in 2018 was obtained with T₃ treatment application, while the lowest value of of 26.25 cm and 16.3 cm in 2017 and 2018, respectively was found in untreated control, although the difference was not statistically significant (p<0.05). Furthermore, the results in Table 3 showed that there was

Table 2. Effect of pesticide on number of shoot in sweet seedless Madarin cultivar

Year	Treatment	Spring shoot number/tree	Summer shoot number/tree	Autumn shoot number/tree
2017	T ₁	9.3 ^a	9.6 ^c	9.6 ^b
	T_2	9.7 ^a	12.8 ^b	11.9 ^a
	T ₃	11.9 ^a	16.6 ^a	12.6 ^a
	P	>0.05	<0.05	<0.05
	LSD.05	-	2.5	1.8
2018	T ₁	68.6 ^a	71.8 ^a	94.2 ^a
	T_2	75.2 ^a	79.8 ^a	101.4 ^a
	T ₃	87.0 ^a	85.9 ^a	100.3 ^a
	Р	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05
	LSD.05	-	-	-

*Means followed by different letter are significantly different within columns by Duncan's multiple range test, P ≤ 0.0.5

Table 3. Effect of pesticide on shoot character of sweet seedless mandarin cultivar

Year	Treatment	Spring shoot			Summer shoot			Aurtum shoot		
		Shoot length (cm)	Shoot diameter (cm)	Leaf number/ shoot (in leaf)	Shoot length (cm)	Shoot diameter (cm)	Leaf number/ shoot	Shoot length (cm)	Shoot diameter (cm)	Leaf number/ shoot
2017	T ₁	16.08 ^a	0.45±0,01	8.92 ^a	26.25 ^a	0.37±0,01	14.00 ^a	13.25 ^b	0.33±0,02	9.17 ^a
	T ₂	18.22 ^a	0.44±0,03	9.33 ^a	28.83 ^a	0.42±0,02	16.33 ^a	16.33 ^a	0.36±0,02	9.92 ^a
	T ₃	18.66 ^a	0.46±0,04	9.50 ^a	29.17 ^a	0.42±0,05	17.58 ^a	17.17 ^a	0.38±0,03	10.50 ^a
	P	>0.05		>0.05	>0.05		>0.05	< 0.05		>.05
	LSD.05	-		-	_		-	2,1		-
2018	T ₁	12.0 ^b	0.32±0.04	8.25 ^a	16.3 ^b	0.36±0.03	7.58 ^b	13.5°	0.39±0.01	10.42 ^b
	T ₂	13.5 ^b	0.34±0.03	8.42 ^a	18.3 ^a	0.40±0.04	8.17 ^{ab}	14.5 ^b	0,34±0.02	11.08 ^b
	T ₃	17.5 ^a	0.37±0.07	9.33 ^a	19.9 ^a	0.44±0.01	9.42 ^a	15.4 ^a	0,41±0.02	12.25 ^a
	P	< 0.05		>0.05	< 0.05		<0.05	< 0.05	•	< 0.05
	LSD.05	2.0		-	1.9		0.9	0.7		1.0

*Means followed by different letter are significantly different within columns by Duncan's multiple range test, $P \le 0.0.5$

significantly shoot length for all treatment in the case of autumn shoot in 2017 and 2018. The highest shoot length with value of 17.17 cm in 2017 and 15.4 cm in 2018 was observed in T_3 treatment, while the lowest shoot length with value of 13.25 cm in 2017 and 13.5 cm in 2018 was found in the control treatment, respectively.

For the shoot diameter the results in Table 3 showed that T_3 treatment application gave the highest value of 0.46 cm; 0.42 cm; 0.38 cm in spring shoot, summer shoot and autumn shoot, respectively, whereas the lowest shoot diameter with value of 0.32 cm; 0.36 cm and 0.33 cm was found in control treatment, which was achieved in the case of 2017 study. Data showed that in the case of 2018 study, the T_3 treatment application also produced the maximum shoot diameter with value of 0.37 cm; 0.44 cm; 0.41 cm in in spring shoot, summer shoot and autumn shoot, respectively, while minimum of shoot diameter with value of 0.32 cm; 0.36 cm; 0.39 cm was recorded in control treatment, respectively.

For the leaves number, the results in Table 3 indicated that 3 there was no significant number of leaf per shoot for all treatment as compared untreated control in the case of spring shoot in 2017 and 2018. However, in the case of summer shoot in 2017 showed that the highest value of 17.58 number of leaves per shoot was achieved in T₃ treatment application, whereas the control treatment has the lowest value of 14.0 number of leaves per shoot, although the difference was not statistically significant (p<0,05). Furthermore, the results in Table 3 also showed that there were significantly number leaves per shoot for all treatment as compared untreated control in the case of summer shoot in 2018 study. In which, T₃ treatment application gave the highest value of 9.42 number of leaves/shoot, whereas the lowest value of 7.58 number of leaves/shoot was recorded in control treatment. For the autumn shoot, Table showed that there was no significant difference leaves number/shoot for all treatment in 2017. However, in 2018 study, the results indicated that application of T_3 treatment gave the highest value (12.25 number of leaves/shoot), whereas the lowest number of leaves/shoot with value of 10.42 was found in untreated control.

3.4 Effect of Pesticide on Fruit Character of Mandarin Sweet Seedless Cultivar

The results in Table 4 showed that T3 treatment application gave the highest value of 13.0 fruit initial number/tree, whereas the lowest value of 6.2 fruit initial number/tree was recorded in untreated control. However, table 4 indicated that fruit weight among treatment increase as compared to untreated control. In term the highest fruit weight (133.4 g/fruit) was achieved at T₂ treatment application, followed by T₃ treatment application, whereas the control treatment gave the lowest value of 124.3 g/fruit. For the fruit size, the highest value of 5.47 cm fruit length was recorded in T3 treatment application, followed by T2 treatment, while the control treatment gave the lowest value of 5.3 cm fruit length. However, the same data in Table 4 also indicated that T2 treatment application gave the highest value of 6.5 cm fruit diameter.

3.5 Effect of Pesticide on Fruit Quality of Mandarin Sweet Seedless Cultivar

The result in Table 5 showed that the highest flesh fruit weight was achieved in T3 treatment application with value of 98.01 g/fruit, followed by T2 treatment application (97.81 g/fruit), whereas the lowest value of 89.22 g/fruit was found in

Table 4. Effect of pesticide on fruit character of mandarin sweet seedless cultivar

Treatment	No. fruit innitial (fruit/tree)	Fruit weight (g/fruit)	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit diameter (cm)
T ₁	6.2±5.64	121.8±11.86	5.30±0.26	6.37±0.15
T_2	11.3±9.76	129.83±2.46	5.37±0.25	6.50±0.30
T_3	13.0±10.91	127.56±17.23	5.47±0.32	6.27±0.32

Table 5. Effect of pesticide on fruit quality of mandarin sweet seedless cultivar

Treatment	Flesh fruit weight (g/fruit)	Peel fruit weight (g/fruit)	Seed number	TSS content (⁰ Brix)
T ₁	89.22±13.6	28.32±5.15	0	7.53±0.25
T_2	97.81±7.57	27.973±6.27	0	8.50±0.17
T ₃	98.01±12.7	25.967±2.54	0	7.80±0.17

untreated control. For the peel fruit weight, the control treatment gave the maximum value of 28.32 g/fruit, while the T3 treatment application had the lowest value of 25.97 g/fruit. However, the results in table showed that there was no seed number in all treatment. For the TSS, the results in Table 5 showed that T2 treatment application gave the highest value of 8.5 °Brix, followed by T3 treatment, whereas the control treatment gave the lowest value of 7.53 °Brix.

4. CONCLUSION

From the experiment results, it can be concluded that application of Trebon 10 EC greatly enhancing vegetative growth, fruit size, fruit weight of sweet seedless mandarin cultivar. Therefore, we concluded that Trebon 10EC application may be recommended as practical tool for increasing vegetative growth, fruit development of sweet seedless mandarin cultivar under Thai Nguyen province conditions.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Tahir HM, Nazarat I, Naseem S, Butt A, Yaqoob R, Mukhtar MK. Seasonal dynamics of spiders and insect pests in citrus orchards of district Sargodha. Pak. J. Zool. 2015;47:1673-1681.
- Afreh-Nuamah K. Importance of pests of citrus fruits in the Eastern Region of Ghana. Legon Agriculture Research Bullettin. 1985;1:27-43.
- Anjum T, Javaid A. Major diseases of citrus in Pakistan: A review. Int. J. Biol. Biotechnol. 2005;2:793-796.
- Batool A, Iftikhar Y, Mughal SM, Khan MM, Jaskani MJ, Abbas M, Khan IA. Citrus greening disease – A major cause of citrus decline in the world – A review. Hort. Sci (Prague). 2007;34(4):159–166.
- Akhtar MA, Ahmad I. Incidence of citrus greening disease in Pakistan. Pak. J. Phytopathol. 1999;11:1–5.
- Monzo C, Qureshi JA, Stansly PA. Insecticide sprays, natural enemy assemblages and predation on Asian citrus psyllid, *Diaphorina citri* (Hemiptera: Psyllidae). Bull. Entomol. Res. 2014;104: 576-585.

© 2019 Tuan et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/45019