



Bioaccumulation and Depuration Dynamics of Nickel Chloride in Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) Exposed to Sub-lethal Concentrations

K.U. Sheethal^a, Prakash Nadoor^{b++}, S. R. Somashekara^a,
U.A. Suryawanshi^c, S. T. Shelke^c and J. G. K. Pathan^{c*}

^a College of Fisheries, Mangaluru, Karnataka Veterinary, Animal and Fisheries Sciences University, Bidar, India.

^b Karnataka Veterinary, Animal and Fisheries Sciences University, Bidar, Karnataka, India.

^c College of Fishery Science, Nagpur Maharashtra Animal and Fisheries Sciences University, Nagpur, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2024/v14i24143

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116443>

Original Research Article

Received: 24/12/2023

Accepted: 28/02/2024

Published: 29/02/2024

ABSTRACT

The current objective of this research is to examine the bioaccumulation and subsequent depuration levels of nickel chloride within vital organs such as the gills, liver, and kidney of *Oreochromis niloticus* under controlled laboratory conditions. The fish were subjected to exposure under two sub-lethal concentrations, i.e., 1/5th (9.39 ppm) and 1/10th (4.69 ppm), for 28 days of absorption and subsequently transferred to uncontaminated, good aerated water for 28 days of elimination (depuration). Following 28 days of exposure to lower sub-lethal and higher sub-lethal nickel chloride

⁺⁺ Register;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: drjgkpathan@gmail.com;

concentrations, the sequence of bioaccumulation of nickel chloride in organs was observed as kidney > liver > gills. The depuration trend for higher and lower concentrations was gills > liver > kidney. The kidney exhibited the highest accumulation of Ni. Meanwhile, the Ni depuration in the gills was significantly ($p < 0.05$) more when compared to other routes following exposure to both concentrations.

This research assessed nickel chloride's bioaccumulation and depuration dynamics in *Oreochromis niloticus*, providing insights into its physiological responses to metal exposure. The study concludes that nickel accumulates predominantly in the kidney, with gills exhibiting the highest depuration rates, highlighting the importance of understanding metal uptake and elimination mechanisms in aquatic organisms for effective pollution management.

Keywords: Bioaccumulation; depuration; nickel; *Oreochromis niloticus*.

1. INTRODUCTION

In aquatic systems, contamination by various pollutants has raised global concerns in recent decades [1]. Heavy metals, in particular, pose significant threats to organisms attributable to their deleterious impacts [2]. Increased population density, industrialization, and agricultural practices have led to substantial waste discharge into freshwater bodies, exacerbating the issue [3]. Metals, among other pollutants, are especially worrisome due to their ability to accumulate in aquatic environments and cause harm [4,5].

Heavy metals infiltrate aquatic ecosystems, causing stress symptoms in fish [6]. As top predators in aquatic food chains, fish can accumulate substantial quantities of certain metals [6]. They serve as vital bioindicators of environmental pollution, offering insights into contamination risks from agricultural activities, either directly through surface run-off or indirectly through the food chain [7]. By absorbing metals from water, fish offer a dependable gauge of metal pollution levels in aquatic environments [8]. According to several studies, nickel mining sites, which are generally close to rivers and the sea, allow nickel to enter waters through rainwater runoff from piles of mine soil and mine soil dust deposited from the atmosphere [9]. This condition can shift the natural concentration of nickel in the waters to extreme conditions; namely, the concentration of nickel in the waters increases beyond the limit so that nickel will be toxic to aquatic biota [10]. Marine biota that live in biologically polluted waters will accumulate these heavy metals in their tissues [11]. The higher the level of pollution in a body of water, the higher the levels of heavy metals accumulated in the body. Levels of heavy metals accumulate in the body of aquatic animals that live in it [12].

The build-up of heavy metals in the tissues of organisms can result in long-term health issues and potentially threaten populations [13]. Fish gills are the primary route for toxicants to enter fish, making them sensitive indicators of environmental pollutant effects on fish [10]. The liver, crucial for primary metabolism, plays a pivotal role in accumulating, transforming, and excreting environmental contaminants or xenobiotics [14].

Tilapia is one of the freshwater fish species among the leading fisheries' export commodities [15]. This is supported by the ease of cultivating tilapia, which can be maintained in floating nets or ponds, its relatively faster growth than other freshwater fish, and its resistance to disease and environmental changes [16].

Research on nickel toxicity, especially in freshwater fish, while the toxic impact caused by the presence of this element on aquatic organisms has the potential to occur. Therefore, this study aims to analyse the effect of nickel heavy metal toxicity on tilapia fish.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Prior to the commencement of the experiment, the live fish were transferred to the laboratory and acclimatised for one week. The lethal toxicity study followed the standard method (APHA, 2005) [17] using the static bioassay method. Merck grade Nickel chloride hexa hydrate ($\text{NiCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$) was used as the toxicant to assess the toxicity and sub-lethal effects on the accumulation and recovery changes in fingerlings of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* length 8.5-10 cm, weight 9.5-12 g). No food was provided to the fish throughout the experiment. Water quality parameters, including temperature and pH, were assessed utilizing a mercury thermometer for temperature measurement and

a pH meter for pH determination. The standard methods were followed for the estimation of dissolved oxygen (Winkler's method), alkalinity, and hardness of water were estimated by following the standard method (EDTA method), and ammonia and nitrate were carried out by following standard methods (APHA, 2005) [17]. The concentration of NiCl₂, which resulted in a 96-hour LC50, was determined to be 46.98 mg/L for *Oreochromis niloticus*, as calculated using probit analysis.

Sub-lethal studies were carried out by selecting the one-fifth (1/5th) and one-tenth (1/10th) of the acute toxicity values (LC50) obtained during the present [18]. The bioaccumulation and Ni's depuration in different *Oreochromis niloticus* tissues were observed in the laboratory setting over 56 days. The experimental fish were individually subjected to the specified sub-lethal concentrations for 28 days, representing the bioaccumulation period. The surviving fish were relocated to fresh aerated water for 28 days following this period.

Experimental fishes were sampled from both treatment and control groups at every seven-day interval. The fish specimens obtained were sacrificed by dissection of the gills, liver, and kidneys. The fish tissue samples were desiccated in a laboratory oven at 65°C for a constant dry weight of 0.5 g. A tissue sample (0.5 g) was taken, and two drops of Q - Q-milli water were added; then, it was subjected to heating on a sand bath at about 200°C until the sample fumed. Subsequently, 5.0 ml of HNO₃ (70%) was introduced and left to react for 20 minutes. Afterwards, 10 ml of Hydrofluoric acid (40%) and 10 ml of Perchloric acid (70%) were introduced, and the mixture was heated until it formed a dried residue. Afterwards, 10 ml of 6N HCl was incorporated and warmed for 15 minutes, followed by milli-Q water; the volume was up to 50 ml. Subsequently, the solution was stored in a bottle resistant to acid. Filtered samples were then poured into auto-analyzer cups, and the heavy metal (nickel) concentration in each was determined employing an Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS; Thermo Scientific iCE 3000 series).

The data acquired from the sub-lethal investigation of heavy metal, encompassing both accumulation and depuration phases, underwent One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by post hoc Duncan's Multiple Range Test utilising the SPSS software package (version 20)

(USA). Significance was declared for differences between the two values when $p < 0.05$.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The proliferation of metal is a function of uptake and excretion in fish. Uptake is considered passive and involves gradients in diffusion created by adsorption or binding to the tissue and the cell surfaces [19]. The fingerlings of *O. niloticus* were subjected to two sub-lethal concentrations of 1/10th of LC50 (4.69 ppm) and 1/5th of LC50 (9.39 ppm) during the accumulation and subsequent depuration phases, respectively. Ni accumulation in the kidney was significantly increased with the exposure duration and concentration for 28 days ($P < 0.05$). After 28 days of exposure time, Ni accumulation values were 0.0650 ± 0.0017 to 0.0470 ± 0.0012 , 231.1180 ± 0.5753 to 218.3440 ± 0.4276 and 270.9600 ± 0.5724 to 270.9600 ± 0.5724 in control, 1/10th of LC50 and 1/5th of LC50 respectively (Fig. 1). Ni accumulation was significantly increased for the liver with the exposure period and concentration for 28 days ($P < 0.05$). After 28 days of Ni exposure, Zn accumulation range varied from 0.0620 ± 0.0012 to 0.0430 ± 0.0014 , 25.6210 ± 0.7650 to 38.5210 ± 0.3432 and 15.3700 ± 0.3978 to 20.2160 ± 0.5791 in control, 1/10th of LC50 (4.69 ppm) and 1/5th of LC50 (9.39 ppm) respectively in Fig. 2. Throughout the 28 days, there were significant fluctuations in Ni accumulation in the gill ($P < 0.05$). Top of Form

After 28 days of treatment, the Ni concentration range varied from 0.054 ± 0.0006 to 0.059 ± 0.0010 , 23.541 ± 0.5774 to 25.921 ± 0.5927 and 27.762 ± 0.3352 to 25.712 ± 0.7635 in control, 1/10th of LC50 (4.69 ppm) and 1/5th of LC50 (9.39 ppm) respectively in Fig. 3. The Ni accumulation sequence for lower and higher sub-lethal Ni concentrations is kidney > liver > gill.

Following 28 days of depuration, the gills exhibited the highest reduction in Ni levels. Gills showed a decreasing trend in the Nickel concentration rate, and the range varied from 0.0500 ± 0.0015 to 0.0200 ± 0.0015 , 15.9520 ± 0.5995 to 2.3100 ± 0.4140 , 18.2130 ± 0.5052 to 4.2100 ± 0.5254 in control, 1/10th of LC50 and 1/5th of LC50 respectively in Fig. 3. After the gills, the liver exhibited the highest reduction in Ni levels, ranging from 0.0600 ± 0.0012 to 0.0430 ± 0.0015 , 21.3260 ± 0.3988 to 3.7460 ± 0.4397 and 16.3200 ± 0.4415 to 2.8160 ± 0.6072 in control, 1/10th of LC50 and

1/5th of LC50 respectively in Fig. 2. Following the cessation of Ni exposure, the kidney showed the slightest reduction in Ni levels, which ranged from 0.0600 ± 0.0012 to 0.0430 ± 0.0015 , 21.3260 ± 0.3988 to 3.7460 ± 0.4397 and 16.3200 ± 0.4415 to 2.8160 ± 0.6072 in control, 1/10th of LC50 and 1/5th of LC50 respectively in Fig. 1. The findings indicate that for both lower and higher sub-lethal concentrations of Ni, Ni's depuration patterns follow the gill > liver > kidney sequence.

According to some physiological studies, xenobiotics in the fish's body stimulate the fish to fight back to minimize the toxic impact caused [20]. The resistance is carried out through biotransformation, detoxification and excretion [21]. Furthermore, it is said that the organism's ability to fight is determined by the concentration and toxic properties, i.e., the higher the concentration and the toxic properties of the toxicant, the less the organism's ability to fight back [22].

The research investigated the bioaccumulation and depuration of nickel chloride in *Oreochromis niloticus*, demonstrating that exposure to higher concentrations of the toxicant resulted in greater accumulation in the fish's organs. This increased accumulation can lead to physiological stress and reduced ability to fight off the toxic effects of the metal, supporting the notion that higher concentrations and more poisonous properties of a contaminant can impair an organism's defence mechanisms.

Various external and internal factors influence the accumulation of trace metals in fish tissues. External factors encompass environmental conditions like metal availability, water temperature, and alkalinity. Conversely, internal factors involve species-specific traits, age, size, physiological condition, and feeding habits of the fish [23].

Bioconcentration factor of nickel in gills ranged from 5.754 to 11.125, and 3.855 to 2.784 and 3.8553 to 2.7842 was noted in 1/10th of LC50 and 1/5th of LC50, respectively. The bioconcentration factor of the nickel in liver ranged from 3.757 to 8.676, and 3.558 to 4.171 was noted in 1/10th of LC50 and 1/5th of LC50, respectively. The bioconcentration factor of Ni in kidney ranged from 56.494 to 93.709, and 37.628 to 29.474 was noted in 1/10th of LC50 and 1/5th of LC50, respectively, in Table 1. A significant difference was noted in the current

investigation between the control and treatment groups. The bioconcentration factor showed an increase in the lower concentration compared to the higher concentration. Christine et al. [24] made a similar observation and reported increased metal concentrations in fish. Levels of exposure influence the cadmium uptake and elimination in liver and kidney of carp *Cyprinus carpio*. The cadmium distribution decreased in the order kidney > liver > gills.

The tilapia's heightened sensitivity to nickel's acute toxicity may stem from its limited capacity to adapt to the physiological alterations induced by nickel exposure, leading to compromised environmental oxygen absorption [25]. Under stress, fish metabolism escalates, necessitating higher oxygen consumption to uphold internal balance [26].

The kidney can play an essential role in maintaining osmotic homeostasis. Additionally, renal tissues experience substantial blood flow and play a crucial role in excreting metabolites of diverse xenobiotics from the body, as they are directly exposed to toxic substances. During the present study, the accumulation of nickel chloride in kidney of *O. niloticus* increased significantly during the accumulation period when fishes were exposed to 1/10th and 1/5th concentrations of nickel chloride over 28 days. The kidney emerged as the primary organ for detoxification and depuration of heavy metals due to its higher concentration in most aquatic organisms.

Tulasi et al. [27] also reported in *Barytelphusa guerini* a high metal concentration in kidney and other organs. Since the kidney is the principal organ involved in metal storage, the highest concentration of the accumulation is observed during present experimental treatments. It is documented that the kidney exhibits a high capacity for metal accumulation. The proportional increase in copper accumulation in the kidney correlates with the duration of exposure across various size groups. The kidney showed a selective re-absorption of essential electrolytes, glucose, and essential metals such as copper from urine at the proximal.

The liver is the prime organ for removing xenobiotic tubules [28] and biocides in fish [29]. The liver was examined for its pivotal role in metabolising and eliminating xenobiotic compounds, often exhibiting morphological alterations during toxic conditions [30].

The liver plays a crucial role in accumulating and detoxifying heavy metals [31]. Fish subjected to heightened concentrations of heavy metals trigger the production of metallothionein protein (MT). Metallothionein protein exhibits a strong binding affinity to heavy metals and plays a role in sequestering and regulating metal ions within the liver [32]. Studies have confirmed that MT binds and detoxifies the metal ion [33]. During the current investigation, the accumulation of the nickel chloride in the liver of *O. niloticus* was significantly increased during the period of metal accumulation when fish were subjected to 1/10th and 1/5th concentrations over 28 days. Further, it was noted that the liver is the immediate site for metal binding reflexes and plays a multifaceted role; the liver serves in detoxification processes. This could be ascribed to the increased synthesis of metallothionein and its storage as a constituent of liver cytoplasm, resulting in higher metal accumulation in the liver.

Gills are commonly acknowledged as effective water quality indicators and are frequently utilised in environmental impact assessments [34] as gills come into contact with the environment. During the present investigation, nickel accumulation in the *O. niloticus* gills increased significantly during the accumulation period when exposed to sub-lethal concentrations of 1/10th and 1/5th of nickel chloride for 28 days. It is also known that gills are

the primary organ and important sites for entering heavy metals, and gill surfaces are the first target of water-borne metals.

The study's results reported that histological observations of tilapia gills showed that fish treated with nickel-heavy metals experienced hyperinflation, mineralization, epithelial lifting, lamellar fusion, and hypertrophy changes. The increase in the number of each damage parameter in the gill tissue is directly proportional to the increased nickel concentration exposure. Damage to the gills disrupts the breathing mechanism in fish, which can inhibit the electron transport system and cause oxidative phosphorylation in the respiratory chain, ultimately affecting metabolism and growth.

The gills are primary organs for encountering environmental pollutants, making them vulnerable sites for entering heavy metals, leading to lesions and damage [1]. Hence, the metal concentrations observed in the gills mirror those found in the surrounding waters [35]; according to Jackim et al., 1973 [36], gills are the primary site for nickel accumulation in *M. gulio* fish. This finding underscores the significance of gills as the primary entry point, maintaining consistent and direct contact with the aquatic milieu, resulting in the fish's heightened uptake of trace metals.




Fig. 1. Nickel chloride concentration in kidney tissue of *Oreochromis niloticus* during accumulation and depuration phase on exposure to different sub-lethal concentrations




Fig. 2. Nickel chloride concentration in liver tissue of *Oreochromis niloticus* during accumulation and depuration phase on exposure to different sub-lethal concentrations




Fig. 3. Nickel chloride concentration in gill tissue of *Oreochromis niloticus* during accumulation and depuration phase on exposure to different sub-lethal concentrations

Table 1. Bioconcentration factor of nickel chloride (mg/kg) in the gills of tilapia fingerlings exposed to different treatments under sub-lethal studies

Conc. (ppm)	7	14	21	28
Control	1.7475	1.7460	1.2277	1.5603
1/10 th of LC ₅₀	5.7543	7.0451	8.5880	11.1247
1/5 th of LC ₅₀	3.8553	3.5038	2.8900	2.7842

Table 2. Bioconcentration factor of nickel chloride (mg/kg) in the liver of tilapia fingerlings exposed to different treatments under sub-lethal studies

Conc. (ppm)	7	14	21	28
Control	2.007	2.717	1.734	1.639
1/10 th of LC ₅₀	3.757	5.063	6.116	8.676
1/5 th of LC ₅₀	3.558	3.518	3.497	4.171

Table 3. Bioconcentration factor of nickel chloride (mg/kg) in the kidney of tilapia fingerlings exposed to different treatments under sub-lethal studies

Conc.(ppm)	7	14	21	28
Control	2.098	2.402	2.492	1.243
1/10 th of LC ₅₀	56.494	68.002	71.935	93.709
1/5 th of LC ₅₀	37.628	35.051	30.873	29.474

The tilapia fingerlings (*O. niloticus*) present study transferred to nickel chloride-free freshwater during the depuration period. The nickel chloride concentration levels in the gills exhibited a rapid decrease, and the highest depuration rate observed in gills might be favoured due to their extensive exposure to the aquatic ecosystem. Thus, when subjected to well-aerated water, it could excrete heavy metal (nickel). Compared with other organs, the rapid excretion of nickel in the gill may be because gills persist in the aquatic ecosystem. They can excrete nickel while subjected to cleaner water conditions. Karuppasamy [37] noted that the gill is the primary organ for rapid arsenic elimination, rather than the liver and muscle tissues. In conclusion, the accumulation and subsequent depuration of nickel in *Danio rerio* depends on the organ, concentration, and exposure time.

The liver exhibited a rapid decrease in nickel chloride concentration following the gills; it demonstrated the highest purification level for lower and higher sub-lethal concentrations. The liver is a more efficient organ than the kidney in eliminating nickel content, following the gill. Therefore, the liver plays a crucial role as a metal storage and the detoxification organ in fish.

During the depuration period, the nickel chloride concentration of fingerlings of tilapia, *O. niloticus*, transferred to nickel chloride-free fresh water was observed in the kidney. The decrease was linked to the osmotic flow of water through the gills. Thus, more material might be excreted via the kidney in freshwater fish.

4. CONCLUSION

The study highlights the significant impact of long-term exposure to sub-lethal concentrations of Nickel chloride on tilapia fingerlings, *Oreochromis niloticus*, evident through Ni bioaccumulation and depuration dynamics in their tissues over a while and concentration gradients. Notably, the kidney exhibited a notable accumulation of Ni in *Oreochromis niloticus*. Additionally, Ni's distinct accumulation and depuration patterns were observed across

various tissues. In conclusion, the kidney emerges as a critical site for nickel accumulation, while the gill is the main organ for depuration in *Oreochromis niloticus*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the Dean, College of Fishery, Mangaluru, Karnataka Veterinary University, Bidar, for providing the necessary facilities during the study period.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Vinodhini R, Narayanan, MM. Bioaccumulation of heavy metals in freshwater fish *Cyprinus carpio* (Common carp) organs. International Journal of Environmental Science & Technology. 2008;5(2):179–182
2. Macfarlane GB, Burchett MD. Cellular distribution of Cu, Pb, and Zn in the Grey Mangrove *Avicennia marina* (Forsk.). Vierh Aquatic Botanic. 2000; 68:45-59.
3. Vutukuru SS. Acute effects of Hexavalent chromium on survival, oxygen consumption haematological parameters and some biochemical profiles of the India major carp, Labeorohita. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2005;2(3):456-462.
4. Miller GG, Sweet LI, Adams JV, Omann GM, Passino-Reader DR, Meter PG. *In vitro* toxicity and interactions of environmental contaminants (Arochlor 1254 and mercury) and immunomodulatory agents (lipopolysaccharides and cortisol) on thymocytes from lake trout (*Salvelinus namaycus*). Fish and Shellfish Immunol. 2002;13:11-2.
5. Censi P, Spoto SE, Saiano F, Sprovieri M, Mazzola S, Nardone G, Di Geronimo SI, Punturo R, Ottonello D. Heavy metals in coastal water systems. A case study from

- the northwestern Gulf of Thailand. *Chemosphere*. 2006;64:1167–1176.
6. Goodwin TH, Young AR, Holmes MGR, Old GH, Hewitt N, Leeks GJL, Packman JC, Hamed M. Distribution of trace metals in the River Nile Ecosystem, Damietta branch between Mansoura city and Damietta Province. *J. Egypt. Ger. Soc. Zool*. 1998;27(A):399-415.
 7. Lakra WS, Nagpure, NS. Genotoxicological studies in fish: A review. *Indian J Anim Sci*. 2009;79:93-98.
 8. Nussey G, Vanvuren JHJ, Dupreez HH. Bioaccumulation of aluminium, copper, iron and zinc in the tissues of Moggel from Witbank Dam, upper Olifants River catchment (Mpumalanga). *South African J. Wildf Res*. 1999;29:130-145.
 9. Candeias C, Ávila P, Coelho P, & Teixeira JP. Mining activities: Health impacts. Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental Sciences. 2018;1-21.
 10. Gauthier PT, Blewett TA, Garman ER, Schlekot CE, Middleton ET, Suominen E, Crémazy A. Environmental risk of nickel in aquatic Arctic ecosystems. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2021;797:148921.
 11. Jakimska A, Konieczka P, Skóra K, Namieśnik J. Bioaccumulation of metals in tissues of marine animals, Part I: The role and impact of heavy metals on organisms. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*. 2011;20(5).
 12. Pandey G, Madhuri S. Heavy metals causing toxicity in animals and fishes. *Research Journal of Animal, Veterinary and Fishery Sciences*. 2014;2(2):17-23.
 13. Holcombe GW, Benoit DA, Leonard EN, Mckim JM. Long-term effects of lead exposure on three generations of brook trout, *Salvalinusfontinalis*. *J. Fish. Res. Board. Can*. 1976;33:1731-1741.
 14. Rosseland BO, Rognerud S, Collen P, Grimalt JO, Vives I, Massavuau JC, Lackner R, Hofer R, Raddum GG, Fjellheim A, Harriman R. Brown trout in Lochnagar: Pollution and contamination by metals and organic micropollutants. In: Rose N. L. (Ed): *Lochnagar: the Natural History of a Mountain Lake*. Dordrecht, Springer. 2007;253-285.
 15. El-Sayed AFM, Fitzsimmons K. From Africa to the world—The journey of Nile tilapia. *Reviews in Aquaculture*. 2023;15:6-21.
 16. Arumugam M, Jayaraman S, Sridhar A, Venkatasamy V, Brown PB, Abdul Kari Z, Tellez-Isaias G, Ramasamy T. Recent advances in tilapia production for sustainable developments in Indian aquaculture and its economic benefits. *Fishes*. 2023;8(4):176.
 17. APHA. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 21st Edition, American Public Health Association/American Water Works Association/Water Environment Federation, Washington DC; 2005.
 18. Sprague JB. Measurement of pollutant toxicity to fish—III: Sublethal effects and “safe” concentrations, *Water Research*. 1971;5(6):245-266.
 19. Bryan GW. Heavy metal contamination in the sea. In: Johnston, R (ed.) *Marine Pollution*. Academic Press Inc., London, Great Britain. 1976;185-302.
 20. Lal J, Singh SK, Biswas P, Debbarma R. Xenobiotics’ Effect on fish reproduction and development. In *Xenobiotics in Aquatic Animals: Reproductive and Developmental Impacts* (pp.). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore. 2023;285-302.
 21. Kennedy CJ, Tierney KB. Xenobiotic protection/resistance mechanisms in organisms. In *Environmental toxicology: Selected entries from the encyclopedia of sustainability science and technology*. New York, NY: Springer, New York. 2012;689-721.
 22. Peterson SA, Ralston NV, Whanger PD, Oldfield JE, Mosher WD. Selenium and mercury interactions with emphasis on fish tissue. *Environ Bioindic*. 2009;4:318–334.
 23. Moiseenko TI, Kudryavtseva LP. Trace metal accumulation and fish pathologies in areas affected by mining and metallurgical enterprises in the Kola Region, Russia. *Environ Pollut*. 2001;114(2):285-97.
 24. Christine A, Utne W, Bacchi B. The influence of visual and chemical stimuli from cod *Gadusmorhuaon* on the distribution of two-spotted goby *Gobiusculusflavescens*. *Sarsia*. 1997; 88:129-135.
 25. Abou-Hadeed AH, Ibrahim KM, El-Sharkawy NI, Sakr FS, El-Hamed SAA. Experimental studies on nickel toxicity in Nile tilapia health. In 8th international symposium on tilapia in aquaculture. 2008;1385-1401.
 26. Johansen KJELL. Comparative physiology: Gas exchange and circulation in fishes. *Annual review of physiology*. 1971;33(1): 569-612.

27. Tulasi SJ, Reddy PUM, Ramana Rao JV. Accumulation of lead and effects on total lipids and lipid derivatives in the freshwater fish *Anabas testudineus* (Bloch), Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety. 1992;23(1):33-38.
28. Fernandes C, Fontainhas Fernandes A, Cabral D, Salgado MA. Heavy metals in water, sediment and tissues of *Liza saliens* from Esmoriz-Paramos lagoon, Portugal. Environmental Monitor Assess. 2008;136:267-275.
29. Dartmouth. Toxic metals research programme the facts on arsenic. University of Dartmouth. New Hampshire; 2005.
30. Rocha E, Monteiro RAF. Histology and cytology of fish liver: A review, in Ichthyology: Recent research advances. Saksena, D.N. (ed). Science Publishers., Enfield., New Hampshire. 1999;321-344.
31. Shveta Arya, Jyotsna Singh, Sharma HB. Bioaccumulation in tissues of freshwater fish *Cirrhinamrigalaon* chronic exposure of heavy metal nickel for 60 days. International Journal for Environmental Rehabilitation and Conservation. 2012;2:1-8.
32. Carpene E, Vašák M. Hepatic metallothioneins from goldfish, *Carassius auratus*. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 1989;92B:463-468.
33. Kojima Y, Kagi JHR. Metallothionein trends. Biochem. Sci. 1978;3:90-93.
34. Wenderlaar Bonga, SE, Lock AAC. Toxicants and osmoregulation in fish. Neth J Zool. 1992; 42:478-493.
35. Ikem A, Egiebor NO, Nyavor K. Trace elements in water, fish and sediment from Tuskegee Lake, Southeastern USA. Water Air Soil Pollut. 2003;149:51-75.
36. Jackim E, Hamlin JM, Sonis SS. Effect of metal poisoning on five liver enzymes in the killifish, *Fundulus heteroclitus*. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada. 1973;27:383-390.
37. Karuppasamy R. Evaluation of Hg concentration in the tissue of fish *Channapunctatus* (Bloch.) in relation to short and long-term exposure to phenyl mercuric acetate. J Plat Jubilee AU. 2007;40:197-204.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116443>