



Phytochemical Screening and Potential Antibacterial, Antifungi and Antioxidative Activities of the Leaves Extracts of *Piperomia pellucida* (Piperaceae)

Luciane Marlyse MOUNGANG ^{a*}, Brigitte NDEMANGOU ^b,
Roland Ndifor Ache ^{c,d}, Lazare Sidjui Sidjui ^d,
Yvan Anderson Ngandjui ^d, Nathalie Ngueukam ^e,
Hortense Gonsu KAMGA ^{f*} and Bathelemy Ngameni ^e

^a Department of Animal Biology and Physiology, Faculty of Sciences, Laboratory of Hydrobiology and Environment, University of Yaounde I, P.O. Box 812, Yaounde, Cameroon.

^b University of Yaounde I, University Institute of Wood Technology, Mbalmayo, P.O. Box 306, Mbalmayo, Cameroon.

^c Higher Technical Teachers Training College, University of Bamenda, P.O. Box 39, Bamenda, Cameroon.

^d Department of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, University of Yaounde I, P.O. Box 812, Yaounde, Cameroon.

^e Department of Pharmacognosy and Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Science, University of Yaounde I, P.O. Box 1364, Yaounde, Cameroon.

^f Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, University of Yaounde I, Center University Teaching Hospital of Yaounde, Laboratory of Bacteriology, P.O. Box 1364, Yaounde, Cameroon.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JALSI/2023/v26i5617

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103258>

Original Research Article

Received: 21/05/2023
Accepted: 25/07/2023
Published: 08/09/2023

*Corresponding author: E-mail: lumou2000@yahoo.fr, hgonsu@gmail.com;

ABSTRACT

The hexane, ethanolic, hydroethanolic and aqueous leaves extracts of *Piperomia pellucida* were evaluated *in vitro* for their antimicrobial activity against five gram negative bacilli (*Klebsiella pneumoniae* CHUY, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 35218, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, *Salmonella typhi* CHUY and *Salmonella enterica* CHUY), two cocci gram positive (*Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923 and *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 5199) and two fungi (*Candida albicans* CHUY and *Candida albicans* 20231) using microdilution techniques. The extracts were also assayed for their antioxidant properties by DPPH and FRAP methods with Vitamin C as reference. The results demonstrated that, the hexane extract exhibited strong antibacterial potency with MIC value of 0.625 mg/mL and MBC of 1.25 mg/mL on *Salmonella typhi* CHUY. Also, it showed good activities on *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 and *S. enterica* CHUY; and weak activity against *E. coli* ATCC 35218 and *S. aureus* ATCC 25923. The aqueous extract displayed good activities against *S. aureus* ATCC 25923, *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, *E. coli* ATCC 35218, *S. typhi* CHUY, *S. enterica* CHUY; weak potency toward *E. coli* ATCC 35218 and was inactive on the tested fungi strains. The ethanolic and hydroethanolic extract displayed weak activities on *E. coli* ATCC 35218, *S. enterica* CHUY and *S. typhi* CHUY. The strongest antioxidative activities of efficient concentrations were exhibited by the ethanolic ($10.681 \pm 0.530 \mu\text{g/mL}$) and the hydroethanolic extracts ($16.339 \pm 0.043 \mu\text{g/mL}$).

Keywords: *Piperaceae*; *Piperomia pellucida*; antibacterial; antifungi; antioxidative.

1. INTRODUCTION

Infectious diseases are those caused by bacteria, viruses, fungi and parasites, such as hepatitis, gastroenteritis, influenza, COVID-19 and common cold [1]. During the infectious process, free radicals are produced by phagocytic cells. These are naturally beneficial for the protection of the body against attacks of pathogens. However, when produced in large quantities, it leads to oxidative stress responsible for inflammatory conditions, diabetes, atherosclerosis, high blood pressure, cardiovascular problems, Parkinson's, Alzheimer, cancer and other opportunistic diseases [2]. About 90% of the death cases from these diseases comes from Africa [3]. For instance, in Cameroon in 2012, 32,000 of deaths cases was due to HIV infections, while respiratory infections accounted for 29,100 deaths [4]. It worthy of note that, in 2017, the Global Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System (GLASS) reported that, the penicillin resistance of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* varied from 0 to 80% and the Ciprofloxacin resistance of *Escherichia coli* from 8 to 65% [5-7]. Based on the resistances exerted by bacteria on these drugs, there is need to search for alternative sources of antibacterial agents. About 80% of the world's population use medicinal plants for basic healthcare needs [8]. Interestingly, plant of the Piperaceae family, are amongst the different herbs used for the treatment of microbial infections. For instance, several species of this family have been reported

for their therapeutic effects against asthma, microbial infections and respiratory disorders [9].

Peperomia pellucida (Linn.), locally known as *Luchi Pata*, is an annual herb [10] widely distributed in tropical zone of Central and South America, Asia and Africa (Mozambique, Angola and Cameroon) [11,12]. The plant is eaten as salad or as cooked vegetable. It is used to treat glaucoma, lower blood cholesterol, abscesses, skin wounds and eye inflammation [13]. Also, it serves as remedy for insect bites, sexually transmitted diseases [14], cough [15], small pox [16,17], measles [18], kidney infection [13, 19], analgesic [19], proteinuria, and diuretic [20], cataract and conjunctivitis [20,21]. The leaves and stems decoction are used to treat gout, arthritis, heal wounds, acne, boils and pimples [21]. The leaves alone is used as remedy for the treatment of fever, eczema, abdominal pains, and convulsions [22, 23]; while the whole plant is applied for treating colic, fatigue, gout, headache, rheumatic and joint pain [23].

Previous chemical and pharmacological studies carried out on *P. pellucida* revealed the presence of alkaloids, cardenolides, saponins, and tannins [24], essential oils, flavonoids, phytosterols, phenylpropanoids and benzoic acids [25]. Some of the constituents displayed antibacterial, antiinflammatory, analgesic [26,21], antifungal, and anticancer activities [17, 27]. Thus, in continuation of our work on this plant, the present study seeks to evaluate the antimicrobial,

antifungi and antioxidant activities of the hexane, ethanolic, hydro-ethanolic and aqueous extracts of *P. pellucida* against some gram negative and positive bacterial strains.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Plant Material

The whole plant of *P. pellucida* (Piperaceae) was collected at Mbocko, in the Littoral region of Cameroon. The species was authenticated at the National Herbarium of Cameroon by comparison with the botanical specimen C.N.A.D n° 1390 and the attribution of the identification number 23846/SRF.

2.2 Extraction

The leaves were air dried and crushed to afford 140g of powder. This was shared in four parts of 34g each. And macerated separately at room temperature in hexane (1L), ethanol (1L), hydro ethanolic mixtures (7:3) (1L) and distilled water (1L) for 48 hours. The hexane and ethanolic portions were filtered and concentrated using a vacuum evaporator to yield 1,28 and 2,4g of the extracts, respectively. The hydroethanolic and aqueous portions were lyophilised yielding 3 and 4g of the extracts, respectively.

2.3 Phytochemical Screening of the Crude Extract

The extracts were screened for the presence of different classes of compounds using Libermann-Buchard test for sterols and terpenes, Meyer test for alkaloids, Sodiante test for coumarins, Foam Index test for saponins, FeCl₃ test for phenolic compounds as well as tanins and flavonoids. These phytochemical screening were carried out using standard methods, as described in the literature [28,29,30,31] and all observations were recorded.

2.3.1 Characterisation of steroids and triterpenes

1 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid is mixed with 2mL of extract in a test tube. The appearance of red or green colorations indicated the presence of triterpenoids or steroids [28].

2.3.2 Characterisation of alkaloids

In a test tube, 5 mL of the extract are dissolved in 1 mL of methanol. 1mL of 1% sulfuric acid is added and heated for 5 minutes. After cooling

and filtration, 5 drops of Mayer reagent was introduced. The formation of a white precipitate confirmed the presence of alkaloids.

2.3.3 Characterisation of coumarins

2 mL of dilute sodium hydroxide was added to the extract in a test tube and heated in water bath for a few minutes. It was spotted on a thin layer chromatographic plate and observed with a UV lamp of 254 and 365 nm. A yellow-green coloration indicates the presence of coumarins in the extract [28].

2.3.4 Characterisation of saponins

2mL of distilled water was added to 2mL of the extract and shaken vigorously. The formation of a persistent foam confirms the presence of saponins [28].

2.3.5 Characterisation of tanins

2 mL of 5 % ferric chloride was added to 1mL of the extract in a test tube. The appearance of a green precipitate indicates the presence of tanins [28].

2.3.6 Characterisation of flavonoids

1mL of sodium hydroxide was mixed with 3 mL of the extract. The appearance of yellow coloration indicates the presence of flavonoids [28].

2.4 Biological Activities

2.4.1 Antimicrobial activity

The disk method was used to evaluate the antimicrobial potency and the microdilution method for the Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC), Minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) and the Minimal fungicidal concentration (MFC) as well as the ratios of MBC/MIC, MFC/MIC.

2.4.2 Preparation of stock solution

10mg/mL of the stock solution of the extracts were prepared and stored at 4°C for testing. 2mg/mL each of Ciprofloxacin and amphotericin B used as positive controls, were dissolved in sterilized distilled water under the same conditions. The final concentration of extracts and reference antimicrobial in the first line of the microdilution plate was calculated using following formula.

$$C(i) \times V_i = C_f \times V_f \Rightarrow C_f = (C_i \times V_i) / V_f$$

C_i: Initial concentration; C_f: Final concentration;
V_i: Initial Volume; V_f: Final Volume

2.4.3 Microorganisms and reference antibiotics used

The antimicrobial activity was carried out on nine microbial strains among which, were five gram negative (*Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 35218, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, *Salmonella typhi* and *Salmonella enterica*); two gram positive (*Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923 and *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 5199) and two fungi (*Candida albicans* CHUY and *Candida albicans* 20231). The different strains were obtained clinically at the Central Hospital of the University of Yaounde and the National Laboratory of Quality of Drugs and Expertise (LANACOM). Two standard drugs, Ciprofloxacin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and Amphotericin B (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), were used as reference antibiotics.

2.4.4 Preparation of the culture mediums

The different culture mediums prepared were the Muller Hinton Agar, Muller Hinton Broth, gelose de Sabouraud and Chloramphenicol and the Broth Sabouraud.

2.4.5 Preparation of the microbial inoculum

The Mueller Hinton Agar and the Sabaroud Dextrose Agar were firstly introduced in the petri dish. After solidification, they were seeded from the hold colonies, sealed with aluminium paper and incubated for 24h at 37 °C. The young colonies were later isolated for preparation of the inoculum. Two young colonies of 24h for bacteria and 48h for yeast were removed and introduced in a tube containing 10mL of steril physiological water (NaCl 0,9%), whose turbidity was compared to the standard 0,5 of Mac Farland or 1,5x10⁸ UFC/mL for bacteria and 2,5 x10⁶ UFC/mL for yeast. The calibrated solution was diluted to obtain a microbial charge of 10⁶ UFC/mL for the bacteria and 10⁵ UFC/mL for the fungi.

2.4.6 Sensibility test of microorganism to extracts

The prepared inoculum was introduced on gelose Mueller Hinton broth or gelose Sabouraud supplemented with Chloramphenicol at 0.5% for the bacteria and yeast, respectively. Steril wells, incorporated with 10mg/mL of the extracts were incubated aerobically at 37 °C for 30 min and

introduced in gelose medium. The tested concentrations for the extracts ranged from 10 mg/mL to 0,156 mg/mL. Wells of 5µg of Ciprofloxacin (for bacteria) and 20 µg of Amphotericin B (for yeast) were also introduced in the corresponding gelose medium, which was incubated at 37°C for 24h. The absence of microbial growth around each well revealed the antimicrobial or antifungal potency, shown by the measure of inhibition diameter. The sensibility of extracts was considered as follows: inhibitory diameter lower than 8mm, No sensibility (-); 9 to 14mm, sensible (+); 15 to 19mm, good sensibility and more than 20mm, very good sensibility (+++)[32].

2.4.7 Determination of antimicrobial parameters (MIC, MBC, MFC)

2.4.7.1 Determination of minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC)

The Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), which is regarded as the lowest concentration of sample that inhibits microbial growth or induces a colorimetric change from red to yellow as a result of the formation of acidic metabolites, signifying microbial growth [33,34], was determined using the 96-wells broth microdilution method as described by CLSI in 2012 (protocol M07-A9) with slight modifications (CLSI, 2012).

100 µL of the Mueller Hinton broth was introduced in each capsule of the microplate except for colons 3, representing the control (extract +MHB/SDB+ Chloramphenicol), 6 the sterilised control (MHB/SDB steril) and 8, the unused colons, in the operations. Six dilutions were done to obtain the concentration ranging from 10 to 0,156 mg/mL of plant extract in each plate. All experiments were carried out in duplicate. The references (Ciprofloxacin or Amphotericin B) was submitted to the same procedure at concentration of 1 to 0,015mg/mL. And 100 µL of the inoculum were introduced in each capsule with the exception of the sterile control plate incubated at 37 °C for 24h, with the turbidity visually evaluated. The smallest concentration of extract from which no visible growth of bacteria/yeast was observed due to the absence of turbidity was considered as the Minimal inhibitory concentration.

2.4.7.2 Determination of the MBC and the MFC

150 µL of steril Mueller Hinton Broth or Sabouraud Dextrose Broth was introduced in the

capsules of the microplate different from that of the MIC. 50 μ L of the extracts were added to the capsules, where MIC were obtained. The sterile control constituted of 200 μ L of MHB/SDB medium. The reference was Ciprofloxacin and Amphotericin B.

2.4.8 DPPH radical scavenging assay

Stock solutions were prepared at 2 mg/mL in 100% ethanol; 0.02 % of DPPH solution was also prepared in ethanol and stored in absolute darkness at 4°C for 24h. 100 μ g/mL of ascorbic acid, was used as reference. The anti-radical activity of the different extracts of *Peperomia pellucida* were evaluated using the protocol described by Dieng (2015), with slight modifications. 100 μ L of ethanol and 100 μ L of each extract were introduced in the 96 wells of the microplate; and 100 μ L of FeCl₃ was added to yield the final concentration.

100 μ L of extract were introduced in line A and 100 μ L of ethanol in line B to H. Capsules from lines A to G were diluted to obtain the final concentration of extract ranging from 500 to 7,81 μ g/mL. 100 μ L of DPPH at 0.02% was then added in each capsule. The negative control was DPPH and the positive control was ascorbic acid with concentration ranging from 25 to 0.3906 μ g/mL. The tests were done in duplicate. After 60 min of incubation in dark at aerobic temperature, the optical density was measured at 517 nm.

2.4.8.1 Determination of different parameters of scavenging DPPH radicals

The results of anti-radical or free radical inhibition activity are given in percentage using the following formula.

$$I (\%) = (A_o - A_e) / A_o \times 100$$

Where, I (%): Inhibitory percentage;
[A]_o: Absorbance of negative control;
[A]_e: Absorbance of extract.

The scavenging concentration (SC₅₀) or inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) is the concentration of antioxidant which can scavenge 50% of free radicals. The effective concentration at 50% (EC₅₀) is the concentration of antioxidant which can reduce 50% of the initial concentration of DPPH.

$$EC_{50} = SC_{50} / [DPPH \text{ radical}]$$

EC₅₀ : 50% Effective Concentration (μ g/mL);
SC₅₀: Scavenging concentration (μ g/mL);
[Radical DPPH] : Concentration of DPPH radical;

The anti-radical power (AP) is inversely proportional to the effective concentration at 50%. It measures the effectiveness of the anti-radical agent. PA= 1/EC₅₀

2.4.8.2 Reduction of Fe (III) Ion

The Fe (III) ion reduction test was performed according to the protocol described by Path (1994), with slight modifications. This method is based on the ability of a substance to reduce Fe³⁺ ions to Fe²⁺ ions. In the presence of 1,10-phenanthroline Fe³⁺ form a red-orange complex, whose optical density can be measured at 490 nm. The color intensity is proportional to the amount of Fe³⁺ ions converted by the extract.

2.4.8.3 Preparation of stock solutions

Extracts stock solutions were prepared at a concentration of 2mg/mL. 20mg of each extract, was weighed and dissolved in 10mL of ethanol. Fe³⁺ solution was prepared at 1.2 mg/mL. That is 1.2 mg of Ferric Chloride powder (FeCl₃) was dissolved in 1 mL of distilled water.

Ortho-Phenanthroline solution was prepared at 0.2%. This reagent was used to measure the amount of Fe²⁺ formed. 200 mg of each powder was weighed and dissolved in 100mL of ethanol. Vitamin C solution was prepared at 100 μ g/mL.

2.4.8.4 Performing test

In a 96-well microplate, 100 μ L of ethanol was introduced into all the wells from line B to H (with H considered as a negative control). 100 μ L of each extract was added in duplicate in line A series of dilution of order 2, to line G; followed by addition of 100 μ L solution of FeCl₃ to obtain concentrations of extracts of 500, 250, 125, 62.5, 31.25, 15.625 and 7.81 μ g/mL. The plates were incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes. After this incubation, 25 μ L of the ortho-phenanthroline solution was added and the plates were re-incubated for 15 minutes. The optical densities of the contents of the wells were read at 490 nm, with a microplate reader spectrophotometer (Infinite model M200). The negative control corresponding to 0% reduction consisted of 50 μ L of ethanol, 50 μ L Fe³⁺ and 25 μ L of ortho-phenanthroline. The vitamin C, which served as a positive control was treated in a similar way to the extracts, with final concentrations ranging from 25 to 0.390625 μ g/mL. The results were expressed by the curve of variation of the optical density as a function of the concentration.

2.4.9 Statistical analysis

Curves used for data comparison, were developed using Microsoft Excel version 2016 software with Windows version 10 professional. The STATGRAPHICS plus 5.0 version software was used to determine the inhibitory concentrations. The results obtained were expressed as means ± standard deviations. Probability values P<0.05 were considered statistically significant. The Waller Duncan test analysis (ANOVA) allowed us to compare more than two samples.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Yields of Different Extractions

The information relating to the masses of the extracts, aspect and yields are given in Table 1.

From the Table 1, it appears that the yields vary from 3.76 % to 11.7 %. The ethanolic extract have the best yield. Concerning the aspects, it varied from powder to pasty and the colours from brown to dark.

3.2 Phytochemical Screening of Different Extracts

The chemicals compositions of the different extracts, evaluated by phytochemical screening are represented in Table 2.

The results of the phytochemical screening revealed the presence of compounds such as

phenols, flavonoids, alkaloids, coumarins, saponins, tanins, steroids, triterpenes and the absence of anthocyanes and quinones in the extracts. The aqueous extract contained less classes of secondary metabolites, compared to the others.

3.3 Antimicrobials Activities

3.3.1 Sensitive test of the extracts

The extracts were tested on their sensitivity to inhibit the growth of some micro-organisms. The results are shown in Table 3.

The antimicrobial profile varied from insensitive (-) to very sensitive (++) with an inhibition diameter ranging from 0 to 16 mm. The hexane extract was the most active against the microbial and fungi strains (table 3). The aqueous extract inhibited the bacteria but was inactive on the fungi strains. The ethanolic extract demonstrated sensitivity on some of the bacteria and fungi strains. The least activity was exhibited by the hydroethanolic extract. All the extracts were inactive against *Klebsiella pneumoniae* CHUY.

3.3.2 Determination of the MIC, MBC and the reports MBC/MIC and MFC/MIC

Except for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* CHUY on which the extract was inactive, the MIC, MBC and MFC evaluated on the other strains are represented in Table 4.

Table 1. Mass, yields and aspects of *P. pellucida* plant extracts

	AE	EtOH	EtOH/H ₂ O	HexE
Mass of powders (g)	34	34	34	34
Mass of extracts (g)	4	2,4	3	1,28
Yields (%)	11,7	7	8	3,76
Physical Aspect	Powdery	Pasty	Pasty	Sticky
Color	Light brown	Dark	Brown	Dark

AE: Aqueous extract; EtOH: Ethanolic extract; EtOH/H₂O: Hydro ethanolic extract; HexE: Hexane Extract

Table 2. Phytochemical screening results

Secondary metabolites	EtOH	EtOH / H ₂ O	HexE	AE
Phenolics	+	+	+	+
Flavonoids	+	+	+	+
Alkaloids	+	+	+	-
Anthocyanins	-	-	-	-
Coumarins	+	-	+	-
Saponins	+	+	+	-
Tanins	+	+	+	-
Triterpenes	+	-	-	-
Steroids	-	+	+	-
Quinones	-	-	-	-

+: Presence; -: Absence; AE: Aqueous extract; EtOH: Ethanolic extract; EtOH/H₂O: Hydro ethanolic extract (70/30) (v/v); HexE: Hexane extract.

Table 3. Results of the sensibility test of the different extracts

Bacteria	EtOH	EtOH/H ₂ O	AE	HexE	Cp
<i>S. aureus</i> ATCC 25923	+	-	++	+	++
<i>K. Pneumoniae</i> CHUY	-	-	-	-	-
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> ATCC 27853	-	-	++	++	+++
<i>E.coli</i> ATCC 35218	+	+	++	++	+++
<i>S .enterica</i> CHUY	+	+	++	++	+++
<i>E</i> ATCC 5199	-	-	+	+	+++
<i>S .typhi</i> CHUY	+	+	++	+++	+++
Fungal strains					Am
<i>C. albicans</i> ATCC 20231	+	-	-	+	++
<i>C.</i> CHUY	+	-	-	+	++

(-): Not sensitive; (+): sensitive; (++) : good (+++) : very sensitive; AE: Aqueous extract; EtOH: Ethanoilic extract; EtOH/H₂O: Hydro ethanoilic extract (70/30) (v/v); HexE: Hexane extract Cp: Ciprofloxacin; Am: Amphotericin B; K: Klebsiella; S: Staphylococcus; P: Pseudomonas; E: Esherichia; E: Enterococcus; C: Candida; S: Salmonella

The hexane extract had bactericidal effect on *Salmonella Typhi* CHUY with a MBC of 1.25 mg/mL. The other extracts were bacteriostatic on the tested bacteria strains. None of the tested extracts had fungicidal effect. As concerns the minimal inhibitory concentration, all the extracts were active on the bacteria and fungi strains with MIC values ranging from 5 to 0.625 mg/mL.

Among the four extracts tested, the aqueous and hexane extracts showed activity against most of the strains with MIC values of 5 to 0.625 mg/mL.

Hexane extract demonstrated stronger activity against the gram negative (*Salmonella typhi*), gram positive (*Staphylococcus aureus*) and fungi (*candida albicans*) with MIC values of 2.5 mg/mL.

The aqueous extract showed activity on the gram negative (*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 and *salmonella typhi*) and gram positive

(*staphylococcus aureus*) bacteria strains; while ethanoilic extract presented no activity, though it was active against *candida albicans* with a MFC/MIC of 2, closer to that of Amphotericin B. The hydroethanoilic extract was inactive against all the microbial strains. Ciprofloxacin, the reference drug showed bactericidal activity on all the tested strains except for *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 5199; while Amphotericin exhibited fungicidal potency on all the strains.

3.4 Antioxidant Activity

3.4.1 Scavenging of free radical with DPPH

The anti-radical activity was determined by evaluating the quality of the radicals trapped with respect to the concentration of the extract and the results obtained are represented on Fig. 1.




Fig. 1. Yield of DPPH trapped with respect to the concentration of the extract
 EtOH: Ethanoilic extract; EtOH/H₂O: Hydro ethanoilic extract (70/30) (v/v); AE: Aqueous extract; HexE: Hexane extract; Vit C: vitamin C

Table 4. MIC, MBC and MFC of different extracts

	EtOH		EtOH/H ₂ O		AE		HexE		Cp/Am	
	Antimicrobial parameters (mg/mL)									
Bacterial strains	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC
<i>S. aureus</i> ATCC 25923	5	> 10	NT	NT	1,25	5	2,5	5	0,25	1
<i>E. coli</i> ATCC 35218	NT	NT	1,25	10	5	> 10	1,25	10	0,015	0,015
<i>S. enterica</i> CHUY	5	> 10	5	> 10	1,25	10	1,25	10	0,031	0,031
<i>Sal Typhi</i> CHUY	5	> 10	1,25	> 10	1,25	5	0,625	1,25	0,031	0,031
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> ATCC 27853	NT	NT	NT	NT	2,5	10	1,25	10	0,031	0,031
<i>E. faecalis</i> ATCC 5199	NT	NT	NT	NT	5	> 10	2,5	> 10	0,125	1
Fungal strains	MIC	MFC	MIC	MFC	MIC	MFC	MIC	MFC	MIC	MFC
<i>C. albicans</i> 20231	2,5	5	NT	NT	NT	NT	2,5	10	0,031	0,031
<i>C. Calbicans</i> CHUY	2,5	5	NT	NT	NT	NT	2,5	10	0,031	0,031

NT: not tested AE: aqueous extract; EtOH: Ethanolic extract; EtOH/H₂O: Hydro ethanolic extract (70/30) (v/v); HexE: Hexane extract Cp: Ciprofloxacin; Am: Amphotericin B; K: Klebsiella; S: Staphylococcus; P: Pseudomonas; E: Esherichia; E: Enterococcus; C: Candida; S: Salmonella; > 10: Greater than 10 mg/mL; Cp/Am: Ciprofloxacin / Amphotericin B

Table 5. The different concentrations MIC, MBC and MFC

Bacterial strains	EtOH	EtOH/H₂O	AE	HexE	Cp/Am
	MBC/MIC	MBC/MIC	MBC/MIC	MBC/MIC	MBC/MIC
<i>S. aureus</i> ATCC 25923	ND	NT	4	4	4
<i>E. coli</i> ATCC 3521	NT	8	ND	4	1
<i>S. enterica</i> CHUY	ND	ND	8	8	1
<i>S. Typhi</i>	ND	ND	4	2	1
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> ATCC 27853	NT	NT	4	8	1
<i>E. faecalis</i> ATCC 5199	NT	NT	ND	ND	8
Fungal strains	MFC/MIC	MFC/MIC	MFC/MIC	MFC/MIC	MFC/MIC
<i>C. albicans</i> ATCC 20231	2	NT	NT	4	1
<i>C. Calbicans</i> CHUY	2	NT	NT	4	1

AE: aqueous extract; EtOH: Ethanolic extract; EtOH/H₂O: Hydro ethanolic extract (70/30) (v/v); HexE: Hexane extract Cp: Ciprofloxacin; Am: Amphotericin B; Cp/Am: Ciprofloxacin/Amphotericin B; K: Klebsiella; S: Staphylococcus; P: Pseudomonas; E: Esherichia; E: Enterococcus; C: Candida; S: Salmonella; NT: not tested; ND: not determined

Table 6. The IC₅₀, EC₅₀, AP of different extracts

Samples	IC ₅₀ (µg/mL)	EC ₅₀ x 10 ³ (µg/mL)	AP x 10 ⁻⁵ (µg/mol)
HexE	/	ND	ND
EtOH	160,22 ± 4.509	10,681 ± 0.530	9,378 ± 0.466
EtOH/H ₂ O	245,08 ± 64.501	16,339 ± 0.043	6,120 ± 0.016
AE	/	ND	ND
Vit C	9,777 ± 0.520	0,652 ± 0.029	156,961 ± 29.959

EtOH : Ethanolic Extract ; EtOH/H₂O: Hydro ethanolic extract (70/30) (v/v) ; HexE: Hexane extract ; AE: Aqueous extract ; Vit C : Vitamin C, IC₅₀ : inhibitory Concentration 50 ; EC₅₀ = efficiency Concentration 50; PA : anti radical power; / : IC₅₀ > 500 µg/mL; ND : not deduce




Fig. 2. Optical density of the extracts with their concentrations

EtOH: Ethanolic extract; EOH/H₂O: Hydro ethanolic extract; HexE: Hexane extract; AE: Aqueous extract; Vit C: Vitamin C.

Fig. 1, shows that more than 50 % of DPPH was trapped by the ethanolic and hydro ethanolic extracts. From these percentages, the Scavenging concentration 50 (SC₅₀), the inhibition concentration 50 (IC₅₀) and the anti-radical power were calculated and the results presented in Table 6.

Table 6 shows that the IC₅₀ ranges from 160.22 µg/ml for the ethanolic extract to 245 µg/mL for the hydroethanolic. These values are less than that of Vitamin C (9.77 µg/mL) used as reference with a significant difference p≥0.05. Although the ethanolic extract had a scavenging activity lower than that of the vitamin C, it was more active than the hydro ethanolic extract with an anti-radical power of 9.378x10⁻⁵ g/mol.

3.4.2 Reduction of Fe (III) ion

The results of the variation of the optical densities with the concentration of the extract and vitamin C are reported in Fig. 2.

These results showed that, the ethanolic extract presented a good reduction power compared to the others. The optical density increased with the

reduction of Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺. This was more than that of vitamin C.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The phytochemical screening of the hexane, ethanolic, hydro ethanolic and aqueous extracts of *Peperomia pellucida* revealed the presence of alkaloids, coumarins, flavonoids and tanins. However, anthocyanins and quinones were absent, contradicting the results of Idris et al., [35], indicating the presence of these metabolites in *P. pellucida*. The difference could have been influenced by the place of harvest, the period and the ecological factors.

The yield of the extracts ranged from 3.76 % to 11.7 %, with the aqueous extract; having the greatest yield. These values are in accordance with those of Ibibia, 2012, who demonstrated that, the yield of the extraction of the leaves of *Peperomia pellucida* increased with the polarity of the solvent.

As concerns the antimicrobial test of the extracts on the nine strains at concentration of 10 mg/mL, only *Klebsiella pneumoniae* was inactive. This

result is similar to that of Ibibia, 2012, who proved the insensibility of the hexane, methanolic and aqueous extract at concentration of 1mg/mL on *Klebsiella pneumoniae*.

The hydroethanolic extract showed weak activity against the bacterial and fungi and was bacteriostatic on *E. coli* ATCC 35218, while the ethanolic extract demonstrated good activities on both the bacteria and fungi strains, with MIC values of 2.5 - 5 mg/mL. The MFC/MIC of the ethanolic extract had a value of 2. The aqueous extract, showed stronger activity against the gram negative bacteria (*Salmonella typhi* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853), exhibiting a bactericidal effect. According to several studies, this selectivity could be expressed by the differences in parietal structures of the two groups. The wall of the gram negative bacteria, rich in lipids, would facilitate the penetration of hydrophobic molecules, while the gram positive bacteria, rich in teichoic acids favours the entry of negative charge molecules.

The hexane extract was the most active of all, it had activity not only against gram positive (*Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923) and negative (*Salmonella typhi*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 and *Escherichia coli*) bacteria, but also against *Candida albicans* with MIC ranging from 0.625 - 5 mg/mL. This is in accordance with the results of Zubair, 2015, who indicated that, the hexane extract of *Peperomia pellucida*, shown higher antimicrobial activity than those of the aqueous and chloroform extracts against *Streptococcus agalactiae*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Escherichia coli* and *Proteus mirabilis*. The effectiveness of this extract could be due to its high polyphenolic and flavonoid content [36].

As concerns the antioxidant potency of the extracts using DPPH, the highest activity was observed in the ethanolic and hydro ethanolic extracts with IC₅₀ values between 160,22 ± 4,509 and 245,08 ± 64,501 (µg/mL), respectively. The high activity of these extracts could be due to the presence of phenols, tannins and flavonoids. In fact, these secondary metabolites have the ability to trap free radicals through their OH groups [36]. The ethanolic extract presented a strong reducing power, characterized by the increase of the optical density as compared to the reference (Vitamin C). This could be due to the presence of phenolics and their ability to give up electrons to Fe³⁺, thereby reducing it to Fe²⁺ [36].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

BN acknowledges NAPRECA for research facilities and important support with the experimental equipment (Acquisition of new rotary evaporator).to the NAPRECA- Cameroon through ISP Grant under the International Programme in Chemical Sciences (IPICS). Thanks are also due to the Bacteriology Laboratory of the Yaoundé University Hospital Centre as well as the Hydrobiology and Environment laboratory and the Organic Chemistry laboratory of the Faculty of Sciences; University of Yaounde I. The authors are also grateful to the Laboratory of Pharmacognosy and Pharmaceutical Chemistry of the Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, University of Yaounde I, Cameroon for research facilities and important support with the experimental equipment.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Barreto ML, Teixeira MG, Carmo EH. Infectious diseases epidemiology. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2006; 60(3):192-5.
2. Haleng J, Pincemail J, Defraigne JO, Charlier C, Chapelle JP. Oxidative stress. Medical Journal of Liege. 2007;62(10):628-638.
3. Academy of Sciences. The control of infectious diseases: A public health challenge, a medico-scientific ambition. Science and Technology Report. Paris: Academy of Sciences; 2006.
4. World Health Organization. Cameroon: WHO statistical profile; 2012.
5. World Health Organization. New data reveal the existence of high levels of antibiotic resistance around the world. Press release; 2017.
6. Ibe-diala JC, Igwe OR. Phytochemical Composition and Antioxidant Activity Screening of Chloroform Leaves Extract of Man-To-Man (*Peperomia pellucida*) Harvested from Umunomo Ihitteafoukwu in Imo State, South Eastern Nigeria. Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management. 2022;26(12):2067-74.
7. Ho KL, Yong PH, Wang CW, Kuppusamy UR, Ngo CT, Massawe F, Ng ZX.

- Peperomia pellucida* (L.) Kunth and eye diseases: A review on phytochemistry, pharmacology and toxicology. Journal of Integrative Medicine. 2022;20(4):292-304.
8. Mahtab AK. Introduction and importance of medicinal plants and herbs; 2016. Available:https://www.nhp.gov.in/introduction-and-importance-of-medicinal-plants-and-herbs_mtl, Accessed date: 31 July 2019.
 9. Casalnuovo IA, Di Francesco P, Garaci E. Fluconazole resistance in *Candida albicans*: a review of mechanisms. European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences. 2004;8:69-78.
 10. Ghani A. Medicinal plants of Bangladesh. Asian Society of Bangladesh. Dhaka, Bangladesh. 1998;256.
 11. Arrigoni-Blank MF, Dmitrieva EG, Franzotti EM and al. Anti-inflammatory and analgesic activity of *Peperomia pellucida* (L.) HBK (Piperaceae). J Ethnopharmacol. 2004;91:215-218.
 12. Santos PR, Moreira DL, Guimaraes EF et al. Essential oil analysis of 10 piperaceae species from the Brazilian Atlantic forest. Phytochemistry. 2001;54:547-551.
 13. Bojo S, Manual de Ensaio Toxicologicos In Vivo. UNICAMP Press, Companious; 1994.
 14. FCA Page Light- and electron-microscopic study of Proto-acanthamoeba Caledonican sp., type-species of Protoacanthamoeba n.g. (Amoebida, Acanthamoebidae). J. Protozool. 1981;28:70-78.
 15. Gasparini R, Pozzi T, Magelli R, Fatighenti D. et.al. Evaluation in vitro efficacy of the disinfectant Virkon, Eur J Epidemiol. 1995;11:193-197.
 16. Moreton JA, Delves HT. Use of Virkon as a disinfectant for clinical samples carrying a high risk of infection in inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. J Anal At spectrum. 1999;14:893-894.
 17. Ragasa CY, Dumato M, Rideout JA. Antifungal compounds from *Peperomia pellucida*. ACGC Chem Res Common. 1998;7:54-61.
 18. Smitinand T, Thai Plant Names (Botanical Names Vernacular Names). Royal Forest Department, Thailand, Bangkok. 1980;379.
 19. Antonioli AR, Arrigo-Blank MDF, Dmitrieva EG, Franzotii EM. Anti-inflammatory and analgesic activity of *Peperomia pellucida* (L.) HBK (Piperaceae). J of Ethanopharmacology. 2004;91:215-218.
 20. May AF. Surinaams Kruidenboek. Vaco Press, Paramaribo. 1982;80.
 21. Khan MR, Omoloso AD. Antibacterial activity of *Hygrophila stricta* and *Peperomia pellucida*. Fitoterapia. 2002; 73:251-254.
 22. Majumder P, Abraham P, Satya V. Ethnomedicinal, phytochemical and pharmacological review of an amazing medicinal herb *Peperomia pellucida* (L.) HBK. Research Of Pharmaceutical Biological and Chemical Sciences. 2011; 2(4):358–364.
 23. Aksozek A, McClellan K, Niederkorn JY, Alizadeh H, Resistance of *Acanthamoeba castellanii* cysts to physical, chemical and radiological condition. J Parasitol. 2002;88(3):621-623.
 24. Mishra MP. *Peperomia pellucida*, an Amazing Wild Medicinal Herb Ecosensorium Org.;2010. Available: <http://www.ecosensorium.org/2010/11/peperomia-pellucidaamazing-wild.html>.
 25. Seeram N, Jacob JH, Mclean S, Reynolds W. A prenylated benzopyran derivative from *Peperomia clusifolia*, Phytochemistry. 1998;49(7):2129-2131.
 26. Aziba PI, Adedeji A, Ekor M et al. Analgesic activity of *Peperomia pellucida* aerial parts in mice. Fitoterapia. 2001;72:57-58.
 27. Xu S, Li N, Ning MM et al. Bioactive compound from *Peperomia pellucida*. J Nat Prod. 2006;69:247-250.
 28. Ibibia. Phytochemical and antimicrobial analyzes of extracts of *Peperomia pellucida* (L). Journal of Pharmacy Research. 2012;5(5):2934-2937.
 29. Harbone J.B., Phytochemical Methods: A Guide to Modern Techniques of Plant Analysis. Chapman and Hill, London; 1973.
 30. Harbone J.B., Baxter H. Phytochemical Dictionary. A Handbook of Bioactive Compounds from Plants. Taylor and Francis Ltd, London, Washington DC; 1993.
 31. Ogboru RO, Okolie PL, Agboje I. Phytochemical screening and medical potentials of the bark of *dacryodes edulis* (G. Don) HJ Lam. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.; 2015. Available: <https://doi.org/10.4172/2380-2391.1000158>.
 32. Igwe O, Mgbemena N. Chemical investigation and antibacterial activity of

- the IAEves of *Peperomia pellucida* L. HBK (piperaceae). 2014;2:23.
33. Carbonnelle, B., Denis, F., Marmonier, A., Pignon, G., Vargue, R. Bactériologie médicale. Techniques Usuelles. SIMEP, Paris; 1987.
 34. Berghe VA, Vlietinck AJ. Screening methods for antibacterial and antiviral agents from higher plants. In: Hostettmann, K. (Ed.), Methods in Plant Biochemistry, Academic Press Limited, London. 1991;6.
 35. Idris OO, Olatunji BP, Madufor P. *In vitro* Antibacterial Activity of the Extracts of *Peperomia pellucida* (L). Microbiology Research Journal International. 2016; 11(4):1-7.
 36. Zubair KL, Samiya J, Jalal U, Mostafizur R. *In vitro* investigation of antidiarrhea, antimicrobial and thrombolytic activities of aerial parts of *Peperomia pellucida*. Pharmacologyonline. 2015;3: 5-13.

© 2023 Moungang et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103258>