

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 17, Page 566-575, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.101685 ISSN: 2320-7035

# Vertical Distribution of Water Soluble Boron and Its Correlation with Physicochemical Properties in Lateritic Soils of West Bengal, India: A Comprehensive Study

## Mamta Sahu<sup>a</sup>, M. C. Kundu<sup>a<sup>\*</sup></sup> and Mohammed Nisab C. P.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Palli Siksha Bhavana (Institute of Agriculture), Visva-Bharati, Sriniketan-731236, West Bengal, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i173246

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <u>https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/101685</u>

**Original Research Article** 

Received: 16/05/2023 Accepted: 01/07/2023 Published: 11/07/2023

## ABSTRACT

The vertical distribution of water soluble boron and its relationship to certain soil properties was investigated from soil samples collected at various locations of lateritic soils of West Bengal during 2020-2021. In the lateritic zone of West Bengal, India, a total of 150 soil samples have been collected from 50 sites within two blocks: Bolpur and Illambazar. According to the study, the majority of soils have a clay loam to sandy clay loam texture. The soil pH of the surface soils of the study area ranged from 4.33 to 6.69 with an average value of 5.62, indicating that the majority of soils are strongly acidic to moderately acidic in reaction. The organic carbon content (%) of the surface soils (0–20 cm) of Bolpur and Illambazar blocks varied from 0.32 to 0.58 and 0.33 to 0.99 respectively, and it was found that most of the soils have low to medium organic matter content.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: mckundu@gmail.com;

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 17, pp. 566-575, 2023

With depth, the soil pH increased and the organic matter content decreased in the study area. In the surface soils of the Bolpur block, the water soluble boron content ranged from 0.16 to 0.33 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> with its mean content of  $0.24 \pm 0.046$  mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, whereas in the soils of the Illambazar block, it ranged from 0.15 to 0.33 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> with a mean content of  $0.25 \pm 0.051$  mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. From the results, it is understandable that water soluble B status decreased across the depth and that most of the soils under study were deficient in water soluble B content. From the correlation study, it was found that, water soluble boron significantly and positively correlated with clay content, pH, OC content, CEC and amorphous Fe and AI, indicating the importance of managing these parameters to enhance the availability of soil B. The results of the study would be very helpful to the scientists in advising B application for fruitful crop development in the lateritic soils of the region.

Keywords: Water soluble B; soil properties; correlation; lateritic soil; West Bengal.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Boron (B) is a necessary micronutrient that plants need to grow and develop normally. Boron participation in plant structure and involvement in physiological function are unavoidable [1]. Boron is vital for membrane integrity, cell wall synthesis, and the indole acetic acid mechanism [2]. Furthermore, B has been associated with a wide range of processes, which include calcium uptake, cell division, flowering/reproductive phase, water relations, disease resistance, nitrogen metabolism, and the translocation of sugars, starches, and phosphorus [3]. Even though B plays important roles in plant growth, plant uptake of B is dependent on the existing form and quantity of available B in soil [4]. It is kept in soil as it is adsorbed onto mineral and humic particles and insoluble precipitates is formed [5]. Understanding the true mechanisms of boron action and proper management may improve crop yield [6]. Bioavailability and concentration of boron in soils are affected by several factors such as soil pH, soil texture, soil moisture, clay content, AI and Fe oxides and organic matter [7,3,8]. Among these, pH is the most important factor influencing B adsorption in agricultural soils [9].

Several parts of the world have shown widespread B deficiency in the soil [10]. In Indian soils, boron shortfall is the second most prevalent (on average 33%) micronutrient deficiency problem after zinc [11,12]. Because of intensive cropping and the use of high-yielding crops without a sufficient quantity of fertilizers, the soil's micronutrient reserves have been further depleted [13]. Coarse texture, low pH, poor organic carbon, and leaching during heavy rains are other factors that impact boron shortage [14]. Deficiency of B occurs under dry conditions and coarse textured soils [15] where water soluble B readily leaches out of the soil

profile and becomes unavailable to plants due to its non-ionic nature [16]. Light-textured acidic lateritic soils rich in Fe and Al oxides and hydroxides in West Bengal have been found to be B deficient. These oxides and hydroxides have an incredible capacity for retaining boron in unusable forms [17]. The yield of almost all crops grown in West Bengal is generally low due to soil deficiency. despite the application B of recommended doses of N, P, K, and Zn fertilizers. The range of B deficiency and toxicity in soil is very narrow [18], and crops may encounter both deficiency and toxicity during a single growing season. As a result, managing this element in soils and evaluating the B status of soils for optimum crop nutrition is difficult and must be done with caution. Boron deficiency includes retarded growth and development, poor quality of fruits [19,20], failure in panicle formation [3] and production of white and rolled leaves [21]. Reduced supply of B restricts the germination of pollen and growth of pollen tube [22]. There is presence of equilibrium between adsorbed and water soluble B [23] so maintaining B in the soil solution is critical for plant nutrition.

A powerful indication of how crops will respond to nutrients is the availability of those nutrients in the soil [24]. Higher levels of available boron may be observed at the topmost layer (0-15 cm) of soil profiles containing significant quantities of organic carbon [25]. Understanding the vertical distribution of boron in soils is crucial because it reveals the pattern of B depletion and buildup, if any, within the soil profile. Furthermore, many crops have roots that extend below the top soil layers and draw their nutrients from the deeper lavers. In light of this, the current study was carried out to investigate the depth-based distribution of water soluble B in lateritic soils of West Bengal, as well as its correlations with major soil characteristics.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 150 soil samples were collected from 50 locations and from 3 depths from two distinct blocks (Bolpur and Illambazar) in the lateritic region of West Bengal, India (Tables 1, 3). The depth wise, i.e., surface (0-20 cm), mid-surface (20-40 cm), and sub-surface (40-60 cm) collected soil samples were processed and labeled for laboratory analysis. The hydrometer technique of Bouyoucos was used to measure particle size distribution [26]. The pH of the soils was determined by using the soil-water suspension (1:2.5) method described by Jackson [27]. Walkley and Black's [28] wet digestion technique was used to measure oxidizable organic carbon (OC) content. The cation exchange capacity (CEC) was determined by the method as suggested by Schollenberger and Simon [29]. By the method of McKeague and Day [30], amorphous iron (Amr-Fe) and aluminium oxides (Amr-Al) were extracted using 0.02 M ammonium oxalate, pH 3.0 (soil: extractant = 1:30). Soil samples were analyzed for extractable water soluble B by the hot water method of Berger and Truog [31]. The intensity of the yellow colour generated by the Azomethine-H reagent was assessed at 420 nm on a visible range spectrophotometer in order to quantify the content of B in the soil extracts [32].

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### **3.1 Physicochemical Properties of Soil**

As illustrated in Tables 1 to 4, among the physico-chemical characteristics, those that directly control or impact B availability in the soil were considered. These characteristics included pH, clay content, organic carbon, amorphous Fe, and amorphous Al-oxides. From the textural analysis, it was found that, clay content (%) of the surface 0-20 cm soil depth of Bolpur block ranged between 14.16 to 54.13% with an average of  $33.81 \pm 11.39\%$ , whereas the soils collected from Illambazar block was ranged between 20.56 to 52.88% with a mean of 33.54 ± 10.42%. In the mid-surface soils (20-40 cm), the clay content of all the soils studied varied from 16.88 to 63.60%. However, the clay content of sub-surface (40-60 cm) layers varied from 19.60 to 61.60% in the whole soils studied. The silt content of the study area was ranged between

5.28 to 32.72%, 8.16 to 32.72% and 8.72 to 34.0% at 0-20 cm. 20-40 cm and 40-60 cm soil depths, respectively. The percentage of sand content of the surface soils were ranged from 20.56 to 75.12%, in mid-surface soils, it varied from 19.83 to 72.40%; and in deep soils, it varied from 16.75 to 68.40%. The majority of the soils fall into the clay loam to sandy clay loam. It was noted that clay content in the study area increased depth-wise. The studied soils were categorized into strongly acidic to moderately acidic in pH. The pH of soil samples collected from Bolpur block was ranged between 4.33 to 6.26, 4.48 to 6.77 and 4.68 to 6.91 respectively, on the surface, mid-surface, and sub-surface soil. The surface soil pH of Illambazar soil ranged from 5.02 to 6.69. Higher values of soil pH were observed in sub-surface soils in Vidyadarpur village of Bolpur block, whereas the lowest pH was observed in surface soils in Mula Rupur village of the same block. In the research region. it was discovered that the pH of soil in the midsurface and sub-surface lavers was greater than that of surface soil layer. High rainfall may have resulted in leaching of bases and percolating water, as well as plant uptake of basic cations from the surface soil layers could have contributed to a rise in soil pH as depth increased. Similar findings also reported by Mandal et al. [25]. The organic carbon content (%) of the surface soils (0-20 cm) of Bolpur and Illambazar blocks varied from 0.32 to 0.58% and 0.33 to 0.99% respectively. The higher values of OC were observed in surface soils of all the soils studied. These finding were in good agreement with Chaudhary and Shukla [33], Niaz et al. [34], Saha et al. [35] and Satish et al. [36]. The CEC of the studied soils were varied from 5.90 to 26.47 C mol (P<sup>+</sup>) kg<sup>-1</sup> with the mean of 15.06 C mol (P<sup>+</sup>) kg<sup>-1</sup>. The average value of amorphous Fe  $(g kg^{-1})$  content in the surface soils of the Bolpur block were 6.78  $\pm$  1.22 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, while in the mid surface and sub-surface layer it was 5.65 ± 1.17 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and 4.11  $\pm$  1.32 g kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The mean values of amorphous Fe content were  $6.47 \pm 1.67$ ,  $5.06 \pm 1.28$  and  $3.50 \pm 0.92$  g kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, for surface, mid-surface to subsurface soil layer. The average values of amorphous AI content observed were 3.92, 2.76, and 2.09 g kg<sup>-1</sup> in respective depths in the soils of Bolpur block and in Illambazar block, however, their values were 4.13, 2.95 and 1.91 g kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The identical results were also reported by Satish et al. [36].

S.L. No.*	Name of the sampling village		Clay (%) co at depth (			Silt (%) cont at depth (cr		5	Sand (%) conte at depth (cm		Textural Class	
	camping mage	0–20	20-40	40–60	0–20	20–40	40–60	0–20	20–40	40–60	-	
S1	Mula Rupur	14.16	16.88	19.60	10.72	10.72	12.00	75.12	72.40	68.40	Sandy Loam	
S2	Bindra	43.60	46.88	49.60	12.72	8.72	8.72	43.68	44.40	41.68	Sandy Clay	
S3	Binuria	52.88	53.41	54.31	22.72	20.31	19.24	24.40	26.28	26.45	Clay	
S4	Ballapur	53.60	54.88	55.28	22.00	20.72	20.00	24.40	24.40	24.72	Clay	
S5	Danga Para	21.63	22.26	22.84	22.74	20.30	19.25	55.63	57.44	57.91	Sandy Clay Loam	
S6	Shadipur	21.16	23.60	24.96	12.72	12.00	11.16	66.12	64.40	63.88	Sandy Clay Loam	
S7	Monoharpur	22.46	24.16	25.84	14.02	13.30	12.34	63.52	62.54	61.82	Sandy Clay Loam	
S8	Sonachuri	24.34	25.81	26.62	17.16	15.03	12.51	58.50	59.16	60.87	Sandy Clay Loam	
S9	Sonachuri Palli	34.28	35.28	36.11	27.16	26.12	24.88	38.56	38.60	39.01	Clay Loam	
S10	Kanalpara	32.56	34.06	36.03	14.34	13.30	12.60	53.10	52.64	51.37	Clay Loam	
S11	Bolidum	31.16	34.18	34.88	24.33	20.10	18.11	44.51	45.72	47.01	Clay Loam	
S12	Gwalpara	24.63	26.06	28.04	20.11	18.13	19.25	55.26	55.81	52.71	Sandy Clay Loam	
S13	Vidyadarpur	22.46	24.44	25.16	15.72	13.01	12.03	61.82	62.55	62.81	Sandy Clay Loam	
S14	Khejudanga	26.88	27.16	29.84	18.12	16.13	15.04	55.00	56.71	55.12	Sandy Clay Loam	
S15	Sarpungadanga	23.18	25.33	27.22	19.42	17.03	15.91	57.40	57.64	56.87	Sandy Clay Loam	
S16	Kashba	30.16	32.06	33.93	15.34	13.73	11.44	54.50	54.21	54.63	Clay Loam	
S17	Kamal kandapur	44.12	42.88	42.92	26.72	26.11	24.72	29.16	31.01	32.36	Clay	
S18	Seala	35.88	36.18	38.11	24.16	22.12	20.08	39.96	41.70	41.81	Clay Loam	
S19	Khanjanpur	37.22	38.31	39.01	26.03	24.88	21.22	36.75	36.81	39.77	Clay Loam	
S20	Samaida	40.16	42.28	44.88	26.21	24.02	20.63	33.63	33.70	34.49	Clay	
S21	Kolapukur danga	30.33	32.11	34.08	25.11	23.10	20.11	44.56	44.79	45.81	Clay Loam	
S22	Thalthod	31.77	33.21	34.03	15.04	14.03	12.16	53.19	52.76	53.81	Clay Loam	
S23	Maheshdal/ musdal	51.32	53.46	55.11	28.12	26.71	28.14	20.56	19.83	16.75	Clay	
S24	Bandanga	41.16	42.18	44.88	24.33	26.10	22.00	34.51	31.72	33.12	Clay Loam	
S25	Fuldanga	54.13	56.34	58.88	23.42	22.82	20.72	22.45	20.84	20.40	Clay	
Range		14.16–	16.88–	19.60-	10.72-	8.72-	8.72-	20.56-	19.83–	16.75–		
-		54.13	56.34	58.88	28.12	26.71	28.14	75.12	72.40	68.40		
Mean		33.81	35.34	36.89	20.34	18.74	17.37	45.85	45.92	45.74		
SD		11.39	11.25	11.26	5.33	5.49	5.12	15.03	14.87	14.55		

Table 1. Depth-wise variation of clay, silt, sand content and textural classes of soils collected from different sampling sites of Bolpur block

S.L. No.	pH at depth (cm)			OC (%) content at depth (cm)			CEC (c mol kg <sup>-1</sup> ) content at depth (cm)			Amorphous Fe (g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) content at depth (cm)			Amorphous AI (g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) content at depth (cm)			Water soluble B (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) content at depth (cm)		
	0–20	20-40	40-60	0–20	20-40	40-60	0–20	20-40	40–60	0–20	20–40	40-60	0–20	20-40	40-60	0–20	20-40	40–60
S1	4.33	4.48	5.13	0.32	0.27	0.11	7.80	9.37	11.55	3.74	3.05	2.80	2.75	1.53	1.27	0.18	0.11	0.09
S2	4.50	4.58	4.68	0.53	0.44	0.24	24.31	26.31	27.12	7.90	4.42	2.09	3.82	3.13	2.08	0.22	0.15	0.10
S3	5.41	5.48	5.82	0.52	0.37	0.17	26.34	28.41	26.31	8.22	5.87	5.76	5.12	3.48	3.18	0.33	0.17	0.11
S4	5.31	5.71	6.01	0.45	0.29	0.16	20.12	21.25	22.97	7.40	5.20	2.90	4.99	3.71	3.36	0.27	0.16	0.11
S5	6.05	6.30	6.58	0.32	0.21	0.13	11.24	13.28	14.27	5.41	4.95	3.26	5.76	2.80	2.07	0.20	0.13	0.07
S6	5.29	5.61	6.05	0.54	0.41	0.27	10.38	11.85	13.14	5.29	5.24	4.57	2.62	2.33	1.86	0.16	0.11	0.06
S7	5.58	6.11	6.59	0.45	0.29	0.17	11.98	14.25	10.14	5.62	5.37	3.69	5.33	2.65	2.31	0.25	0.15	0.11
S8	5.42	5.48	5.57	0.42	0.22	0.14	10.09	12.13	13.02	6.09	4.74	2.80	3.00	1.67	1.17	0.23	0.09	0.05
S9	4.39	6.08	6.18	0.43	0.31	0.15	18.34	20.01	23.43	5.57	6.84	5.16	2.32	1.26	1.13	0.19	0.20	0.15
S10	5.57	5.47	5.95	0.51	0.28	0.21	16.28	14.22	18.27	8.14	7.57	5.15	5.49	2.65	3.55	0.31	0.11	0.08
S11	4.99	5.08	5.43	0.43	0.38	0.26	9.47	10.99	12.22	7.79	7.60	5.98	3.46	3.08	2.22	0.23	0.15	0.13
S12	4.48	4.76	6.07	0.58	0.31	0.23	12.85	14.25	15.72	6.16	5.79	4.01	2.73	2.50	1.73	0.27	0.17	0.12
S13	6.12	6.77	6.91	0.42	0.29	0.25	10.33	12.28	14.06	5.62	6.04	2.59	2.99	1.58	1.15	0.21	0.13	0.10
S14	6.10	6.30	6.58	0.32	0.23	0.12	14.68	16.05	12.24	6.72	4.04	2.26	3.51	2.74	2.16	0.18	0.12	0.09
S15	5.34	5.50	5.82	0.53	0.42	0.18	18.53	19.11	20.47	5.80	6.63	3.89	5.15	2.09	1.30	0.30	0.09	0.04
S16	5.05	5.80	6.50	0.44	0.38	0.24	11.26	13.65	15.02	7.54	5.12	2.85	3.70	1.76	1.96	0.19	0.10	0.05
S17	4.90	5.56	5.86	0.55	0.37	0.22	17.21	18.89	20.21	8.03	6.53	5.13	2.11	2.15	1.50	0.27	0.12	0.08
S18	6.26	6.41	6.51	0.34	0.26	0.11	10.82	12.64	13.24	6.97	6.04	5.44	3.46	4.78	2.44	0.24	0.11	0.09
S19	5.16	6.34	6.74	0.37	0.20	0.17	12.25	14.25	16.34	7.31	4.51	3.57	3.79	3.41	1.22	0.25	0.14	0.11
S20	5.43	6.08	6.68	0.55	0.33	0.16	17.24	18.97	20.45	8.04	7.40	6.41	6.22	4.76	2.95	0.23	0.20	0.15
S21	5.90	6.05	6.59	0.45	0.31	0.10	16.98	14.22	18.74	6.58	5.14	4.87	4.05	3.45	1.86	0.21	0.11	0.09
S22	5.73	6.30	6.52	0.42	0.21	0.15	10.78	13.10	14.71	7.94	5.38	2.86	2.15	2.42	2.35	0.20	0.15	0.10
S23	5.62	5.98	6.01	0.41	0.39	0.29	24.31	25.14	23.02	5.83	4.88	3.87	2.55	2.14	1.94	0.31	0.17	0.13
S24	5.15	6.28	6.54	0.56	0.47	0.11	20.76	22.21	26.17	7.29	5.37	4.41	5.68	3.24	2.67	0.29	0.15	0.07
S25	5.45	6.19	6.42	0.53	0.42	0.27	19.76	21.03	22.28	8.53	7.52	6.41	5.15	3.76	2.92	0.28	0.13	0.11
Range	4.33–	4.48–	4.68–	0.32–	0.20-	0.10–	7.80–	9.37–	10.14–	3.74–	3.05–	2.09–	2.11–	1.26–	1.13–	0.16–	0.09–	0.04–
	6.26	6.77	6.91	0.58	0.47	0.29	26.34	28.41	27.12	8.53	7.60	6.41	6.22	4.78	3.55	0.33	0.20	0.15
Mean	5.34	5.79	6.15	0.46	0.32	0.18	15.36	16.71	17.80	6.78	5.65	4.11	3.92	2.76	2.09	0.24	0.14	0.10
SD	0.54	0.59	0.54	0.08	0.08	0.06	5.22	5.12	5.13	1.22	1.17	1.32	1.28	0.93	0.72	0.046	0.030	0.029

## Table 2. Depth-wise variation of few soil chemical properties and water soluble boron content in soils of Bolpur block

S.L.	Name of the		Clay (%) cont	ent	Si	ilt (%) cont	ent		Sand (%) con		
No.	sampling village		at depth (cn		at depth (c			at depth (cr	Textural Class		
		0–20	20-40	40-60	0–20	20-40	40-60	0–20	20-40	40–60	_
S1	Phalasdanga	35.28	37.28	43.28	24.72	24.72	20.72	40.00	38.00	36.00	Clay Loam
S2	Bansidanga Barpara	28.16	28.72	34.88	30.16	31.44	20.72	41.68	39.84	44.40	Clay Loam
S3	Nachansaha	36.32	42.16	46.72	28.00	24.88	17.44	35.68	32.96	35.84	Clay Loam
S4	Kulungdanga	41.60	40.88	40.88	26.00	26.00	22.00	32.40	33.12	37.12	Clay
S5	Narayan pur	43.28	42.72	44.72	20.72	24.72	26.72	36.00	32.56	28.56	Clay
S6	Mitikona	22.88	26.16	28.16	8.72	8.16	9.44	68.40	65.68	62.40	Sandy Clay Loam
S7	Katna	27.44	26.72	33.44	28.72	32.72	26.72	43.84	40.56	39.84	Clay Loam
S8	Konda	47.60	49.60	51.60	22.00	26.00	22.72	30.40	24.40	25.68	Clay
S9	Chunpolasi	32.16	36.88	39.44	28.16	29.44	29.44	39.68	33.68	31.12	Clay Loam
S10	Joypur	51.28	55.28	61.44	17.44	16.72	16.72	31.28	28.00	21.84	Clay
S11	Nanasol	52.88	63.60	58.88	16.72	16.00	16.00	30.40	20.40	25.12	Clay
S12	Navagram	38.16	36.00	35.44	28.72	30.72	34.00	33.12	33.28	30.56	Clay Loam
S13	Payer	21.60	25.60	28.96	12.72	12.00	14.16	65.68	62.40	58.96	Sandy Clay Loam
S14	Balai	22.56	24.36	26.84	10.02	13.30	12.34	67.42	62.34	60.82	Sandy Clay Loam
S15	Nohana	24.40	22.81	22.62	20.16	14.03	10.51	55.44	63.16	66.87	Sandy Clay Loam
S16	Machpara	41.60	42.88	46.16	32.72	26.72	24.72	25.68	30.40	29.12	Clay
S17	Akomba	33.44	37.44	39.28	28.72	26.00	24.72	37.84	36.56	36.00	Clay Loam
S18	Chotachalk Dungapara	20.56	22.77	26.64	10.43	10.20	10.46	69.01	67.03	62.90	Sandy Clay Loam
S19	Arambag	33.44	36.16	39.60	22.72	25.44	24.72	43.84	38.40	35.68	Sandy Clay Loam
S20	Gudisha	52.88	58.88	61.60	14.72	12.72	12.72	32.40	28.40	25.68	Clay
S21	Gudisha school	32.88	34.16	36.16	30.72	31.44	30.72	36.40	34.40	33.12	Clay Loam
S22	Mehandipur	21.56	24.56	26.81	23.10	12.30	12.31	55.34	63.14	60.88	Sandy Clay Loam
S23	Sinut	22.10	23.56	28.56	12.46	9.01	14.34	65.44	67.43	57.10	Sandy Clay Loam
S24	Kamr para	31.44	37.60	38.16	30.72	28.00	30.16	37.84	34.40	31.68	Clay Loam
S25	Gopalnagar	22.88	23.44	24.16	5.28	8.72	12.72	71.84	67.84	63.12	Sandy Clay Loam
Range		20.56-	22.77–	22.62-	5.28–	8.16–	9.44–	25.68–	20.40-	21.84–	
-		52.88	63.60	61.60	32.72	32.72	34.0	71.84	67.84	66.87	
Mean		33.54	36.01	38.58	21.38	20.86	19.89	45.08	43.14	41.62	
SD		10.42	11.69	11.30	8.10	8.40	7.33	14.81	15.89	14.90	

Table 3. Depth-wise variation of clay, silt, sand content and textural classes of soils collected from different sampling sites of Illambazar block

S.L. No.	pH at depth (cm)			OC (%) content at depth (cm)			CEC (c mol kg <sup>-1</sup> ) content at depth (cm)			Amorphous Fe (g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) content at depth (cm)			Amorphous AI (g kg <sup>⁻</sup> ) content at depth (cm)			Water soluble B (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) content at depth (cm)		
	0–20	20–40	40–60	0–20	20–40	40–60	0–20	20-40	40–60	0–20	20–40	40–60	0–20	20–40	40–60	0–20	20-40	40-60
S1	5.93	6.22	6.35	0.63	0.44	0.31	11.21	13.98	14.27	5.06	4.78	2.56	4.57	3.31	1.45	0.25	0.17	0.08
S2	5.14	6.45	6.66	0.39	0.29	0.18	7.02	8.14	8.78	4.63	4.22	3.67	4.38	3.47	2.19	0.29	0.22	0.12
S3	6.50	5.81	6.14	0.76	0.54	0.23	13.54	15.27	17.42	7.26	3.67	2.92	3.12	2.88	1.51	0.32	0.14	0.10
S4	5.44	6.14	6.52	0.49	0.26	0.21	18.99	21.12	22.24	8.32	4.43	3.30	4.90	3.92	2.22	0.22	0.14	0.07
S5	6.35	6.64	6.70	0.92	0.52	0.32	24.64	23.78	26.71	7.66	6.77	4.71	6.53	4.74	3.41	0.26	0.22	0.13
S6	6.08	6.38	6.83	0.68	0.32	0.27	11.12	13.65	14.27	8.98	4.76	3.96	2.81	1.39	1.54	0.32	0.19	0.08
S7	6.13	6.68	6.85	0.66	0.23	0.17	9.24	11.21	10.24	5.49	4.86	3.52	5.22	3.04	1.39	0.20	0.07	0.05
S8	6.51	6.72	6.83	0.39	0.17	0.11	18.90	19.68	20.47	8.52	6.02	2.43	3.56	2.51	1.46	0.22	0.16	0.12
S9	6.37	6.48	6.74	0.62	0.52	0.46	13.14	15.36	17.28	6.43	3.71	4.15	4.67	4.58	1.80	0.27	0.11	0.07
S10	6.38	6.71	6.80	0.62	0.48	0.28	21.00	25.33	23.54	8.26	5.05	4.47	5.67	2.01	1.57	0.25	0.21	0.12
S11	6.69	6.77	6.82	0.57	0.35	0.15	26.11	27.31	24.20	8.58	6.96	5.20	5.15	3.42	2.94	0.29	0.14	0.10
S12	6.56	6.45	6.81	0.56	0.35	0.19	6.54	8.24	7.00	7.63	6.55	4.73	2.46	1.30	1.17	0.30	0.19	0.11
S13	6.40	5.47	5.90	0.85	0.50	0.49	9.24	10.32	11.67	4.32	4.05	3.05	3.33	2.53	2.09	0.26	0.15	0.08
S14	5.25	5.55	5.85	0.76	0.35	0.20	14.32	16.24	11.28	4.51	4.43	2.83	2.22	1.81	1.44	0.21	0.13	0.06
S15	6.21	5.56	5.72	0.96	0.55	0.49	5.90	6.47	7.85	4.88	3.15	2.38	3.44	2.77	1.15	0.33	0.16	0.08
S16	5.38	5.70	5.76	0.86	0.50	0.47	18.24	20.47	15.32	8.32	7.80	4.86	4.78	3.24	2.40	0.23	0.15	0.09
S17	5.97	5.98	6.24	0.66	0.35	0.15	14.08	17.81	14.56	6.69	5.81	4.13	4.19	3.42	3.11	0.22	0.10	0.08
S18	5.16	6.26	6.35	0.53	0.41	0.37	6.34	8.27	5.34	4.81	4.14	2.80	4.41	2.65	1.87	0.18	0.15	0.11
S19	5.48	5.55	5.94	0.87	0.45	0.39	14.38	16.10	12.34	6.69	6.57	4.17	4.61	3.14	1.37	0.27	0.12	0.09
S20	5.75	5.55	5.96	0.99	0.56	0.43	26.47	27.88	29.14	8.58	5.71	4.48	5.39	4.15	1.05	0.31	0.25	0.13
S21	5.26	5.58	5.62	0.96	0.55	0.39	22.31	21.25	20.34	5.58	4.21	2.81	3.17	2.38	1.19	0.26	0.14	0.10
S22	5.67	6.31	6.55	0.69	0.27	0.14	7.54	9.37	7.26	4.31	3.47	2.82	3.28	2.95	2.54	0.16	0.12	0.08
S23	5.02	6.54	6.69	0.44	0.28	0.21	10.25	12.38	8.24	4.42	4.28	3.01	2.17	2.06	1.39	0.15	0.11	0.07
S24	6.36	5.71	5.91	0.87	0.69	0.58	24.36	22.34	26.37	7.29	6.84	2.02	5.46	3.46	3.50	0.22	0.17	0.10
S25	5.61	5.74	5.93	0.33	0.27	0.18	14.35	15.98	11.37	4.58	4.26	2.54	3.69	2.62	1.91	0.18	0.10	0.08
Range	5.02-	5.47–	5.62–	0.33–	0.17–	0.11–	5.90-	6.47–	5.34–	4.31–	3.15–	2.02-	2.17–	1.30–	1.05–	0.15–	0.07–	0.05–
	6.69	6.77	6.85	0.99	0.69	0.58	26.47	27.88	29.14	8.98	7.80	5.20	6.53	4.74	3.50	0.33	0.25	0.13
Mean	5.90	6.12	6.34	0.68	0.41	0.29	14.77	16.32	15.50	6.47	5.06	3.50	4.13	2.95	1.91	0.25	0.15	0.09
SD	0.53	0.46	0.43	0.19	0.13	0.14	6.58	6.26	6.96	1.67	1.28	0.92	1.15	0.88	0.72	0.05	0.04	0.02

Table 4. Depth-wise variation of few soil chemical properties and water soluble boron content in soils of Illambazar block

Sampling block/soil depth	Soil properties									
Bolpur Block		рН	Clay	00	CEC	Amr-Fe	Amr-Al			
0-20 cm soil depth	Water soluble B	0.025	0.584**	0.470*	0.630**	0.412*	0.409*			
20-40 cm soil depth	Water soluble B	0.058	0.459*	0.098	0.492*	0.240	0.240			
40-60 cm soil depth	Water soluble B	0.072	0.408*	0.133	0.225	0.369	0.188			
Illambazar Block										
0-20 cm soil depth	Water soluble B	0.457*	0.351	0.405*	0.111	0.428*	0.068			
20-40 cm soil depth	Water soluble B	0.065	0.416*	0.344	0.277	0.244	0.081			
40-60 cm soil depth	Water soluble B	0.101	0.567*	0.09	0.455*	0.293	0.125			

 Table 5. Pearson correlation coefficients (r) between water soluble B content and major soil

 physicochemical properties

\*, \*\* Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively

#### 3.2 Water Soluble B Content

The vertical distribution of water soluble boron content in the study area is presented in the Tables 2 and 4. The range and average of water soluble boron content in the surface soils of Bolpur block were 0.16 to 0.33 and 0.24  $\pm$  0.046 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively, whereas in soil samples collected from Illambazar block recorded its content in the same soil depth ranging from 0.15 to 0.33 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> with the mean value of 0.25  $\pm$  0.051 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Again, in the mid-surface soils of Bolpur and Illambazar blocks, the mean water soluble boron contents were  $0.14 \pm 0.03$  and  $0.15 \pm 0.043$  mg kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The B contents in the sub-surface soils ranged from 0.04 to 0.13 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Considering < 0.30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> as the deficiency, almost all the soils under the study area showed a deficiency in water soluble boron content. The highest value of water soluble boron content (0.33 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in the surface soils of Binuria village of Bolpur block and Nohana village of Illambazar block. The lowest value (0.04 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in the lower layer of Sarpungadanga villages in Bolpur block. As a result of the leaching of B in lighttextured lateritic soil, the values of water soluble boron show sharp declines through the depth. Arora and Chahal [37] and Chaudhary and Shukla [33] also reported a comparable trend in depth-based profile B status.

## 3.3 Correlation between Water Soluble B and Soil Properties

The correlation between water soluble B and soil physico-chemical properties is presented in Tables 5. From the correlation analysis, it was observed that soil pH doesn't show a significant correlation with water soluble B, except in the surface soils of the Illambazar block (r= 0.457, P  $\leq$  0.05). The results indicated that water soluble boron decreases with increases in soil pH. Regardless of the block or soil depth, the clay content exhibited a strong and significant relation with the water soluble boron. The positive relationship between extractable B and clay level showed that the fine-textured soils contained more B than the coarse-textured soils. Boron leaching is probably more prevalent in sandy soils and leads to less boron availability [38]. The organic carbon showed positive correlation with water soluble boron content and a significant and positive correlation was observed in the surface soils of both Bolpur (r=0.470,  $P \le 0.05$ ) and Illambazar (r= 0.405,  $P \le 0.05 P \le 0.05$ ). This implies that one of the principal sources of readily accessible B is organic matter. Positive and significant correlations were observed between CEC and water soluble B. Both showed positive amorphous-Fe AI and correlation with water soluble boron, significant and positive correlation was observed in the surface soils of both Bolpur (r= 0.412,  $P \le 0.05$ ) and Illambazar (r= 0.428,  $P \le 0.05$ ) blocks. Similarly, the surface soils of Bolpur showed significant and positive correlation between amorphous-Al and water soluble boron content (r= 0.409,  $P \le 0.05$ ). Similar relationships also reported by Mandal et al. [25] and Satish et al. [36].

## 4. CONCLUSION

From the study, it can be concluded that, majority of the studied lateritic soils have a clay loam to sandy clay loam texture and strongly acidic to moderately acidic in soil pH. The majority of soils fall in the low to medium range in OC content. The water soluble boron content of the soils was insufficient and showed a decreasing trend along depth. The major physicochemical properties like clay content, pH, OC content, CEC, and amorphous Fe and Al alone or in combination, greatly influence boron availability. The soils in the studied lateritic region should be taken into consideration for adopting an integrated soil management practices for upkeeping soil fertility, with an attention on the application of boron.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The study is a part of the Ph. D. thesis of the first author. The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Head of the Dept. of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Palli Siksha Bhavana, Visva-Bharati for the assistance given for the smooth conduct of the experiment.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Cakmak I, Römheld V. Boron deficiencyinduced impairments of cellular functions in plants. Plant Soil. 1997;193:71–83.
- Kobayashi M, Miyamoto M, Matoh T, Kitajima S, Hanano S, Sumerta IN, Narise T, Suzuki H, Sakurai N, Shibata D. Mechanism underlying rapid responses to boron deprivation in arabidopsis roots. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 2017;64(1):106–15.
- Rehman A, Farooq M, Rashid A, Nadeem F, Stuerz S, Asch F, Bell R, Siddique KHM. Boron nutrition of rice in different production systems. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 2018;38:25.
- Sun A, Gou D, Dong Y, Xu Q, Cao G. Extraction and analysis of available boron isotopes in soil using multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2019; 67:7183–89.
- Goldberg S, Glaubig RA. Boron adsorption on aluminum and iron oxide minerals. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 1985;49: 1374–79.
- Uluisik I, Karakaya HC, Koc A. The importance of boron in biological systems. J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol.2018;45:156– 162.

- Ahmad W, Zia MH, Malhi SS, Niaz A, Saifullah. Boron Deficiency in soils and crops: a review. In: Goyal A, editors. Crop Plant. Croatia: InTech. 2012:77-114.
- Niharika K, Sheeba S. Chemistry of boron in soil and management in different crops. Int. J. Plant Soil Sci. 2022;34(22):374–386.
- 9. Santos P, Goldberg S, da Costa ACS. Modeling boron adsorption on five soils before and after removal of organic matter. Scientia Agricola. 2020;77(4):1-11.
- Datta SP, Bhadoria PS, Kar S. Availability of extractable boron in some acid soils, West Bengal, India. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 1998;29:2285–2306.
- 11. Tiwari KN. Future of plant nutrition research and extension in India. Indian J. Fert. 2006;2:73–80, 83–90, 93–98.
- Zhou GE, Peng SA, Liu YZ, Wei OJ, Han J, Islam MZ. The physiological and nutritional responses of seven different citrus rootstock seedlings to boron deficiency. Trees: Structure and Function. 2014;28(1):295–307.
- Singh MV. Micronutrient deficiencies in crops and soils in India. In: Micronutrient deficiencies in global crop production. Alloway BJ. editor, Springer Netherlands. 2008:93–125.
- Wimmer MA, Goldberg S, Gupta UC. Boron. In: Barker AV, Pilbeam DJ, editors. Handbook of Plant Nutrition. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. CRC Press. 2015:305.
- Bellaloui N, Turley RB, Stetina SR, Zhang J. Water stress and foliar boron application altered cell wall b and seed nutrition in near-isogenic cotton lines expressing fuzzy and fuzzless seed phenotypes. PLoS One. 2015;10(6): e0130759.
- Mandal B, De DK. Depth wise distribution of extractable boron in some acidic Inceptisols of India. Soil Sci. 1993;155: 256–262.
- Elrashidi MA, O'Connor GA. Boron sorption and desorption in soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 1982;46:27–31.
- Reisenauer HM, Hoeft RG. Testing soils for sulphur, boron, molybdenum and chlorine. In: Walsh LM, Beaton JD, editors, Soil Testing and Plant Analysis. Revised Edition. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Inc. Madison, Wisconsin. 1973:173–200.
- 19. Souri MK, Bakhtiarizade M. Biostimulation effects of Rosemary essential oil on growth and nutrition uptake of tomato

seedlings. Scientia Horticulturae. 2019; 243:472–476.

- Singh AK, Thomas T, David AA. Influence of zinc and boron on physico-chemical properties of soil under maize crop in an Inceptisol of Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India. Int. J. Plant Soil Sci. 2023;35(2): 74-82.
- 21. Brdar-Jokanović M. Boron toxicity and deficiency in agricultural plants. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(4):1424.
- Lordkaew S, Konsaeng S, Jongjaidee J, Dell B, Rerkasem B, Jamjod S. Variation in responses to boron in rice. Plant Soil. 2013;363:287–295.
- Chaudhary DR, Shukla LM, Gupta A. Boron equilibria in soil - A review. Agric. Review J. 2005;26(4):288–94.
- 24. Ali H, Khan E, Sajad, MA. Phytoremediation of heavy metals-concepts and applications. Chemosphere. 2013;91(7): 869–881.
- Mandal J, Padbhushan R, Kumar S, Vimal BK, Das A, Bhowmick R, Kumar A. Evaluation of different extractants and profile distribution of boron in Guava Orchard in an Inceptisol of Bihar. Int. J. Bio-Resource Stress Manage. 2016;7(3): 398–405.
- 26. Bouyoucos GJ. Hydrometer Method Improved for Making Particle Size Analysis of Soils. Agron. J. 1962;54: 464–465.
- Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi; 1973.
- 28. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of the different method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Sci. 1934;37:29–38.
- 29. Schollenberger CJ, Simon RH. Determination of exchange capacity and exchangeable bases in soil-ammonium acetate method. Soil Sci. 1945;59: 13–24.

- Mckeague JA, Day JH. Dithionite and Oxalate Extractable Fe and Al as Aids in Differentiating Various Classes of Soils. Can. J. Soil Sci. 1966;46L: 13–22.
- Berger KC, Truog E. Boron determination in soils and plants. Ind. Eng. Chem. Anal. Ed. 1939;11(10):540–45.
- Wolf B. Improvements in the azomethine-H method for the determination of boron. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 1974;5: 39–44.
- Chaudhary DR, Shukla LM. Evaluation of extractants for predicting availability of boron to mustard in arid soils of India. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 2004; 35(1&2):267–283.
- Niaz A, Ranjha AM, Rahmatullah HA, Waquas M. Boron status of soils as affected by different soil characteristicspH, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, organic matter and clay contents. Pak. J. Agric. Res. 2007;44: 428–435.
- 35. Saha A, Mani PK, Hazra GC, Tarik M. Assessing suitability of different extractants for determining available boron in soil. J. Plant Nutr. 2017;40(19): 2651-2661.
- Satish PV, Vijayaranganatha C, Nimje C, Priyadarsini S, Dixit S, Choudhari PL. Evaluation of different extractants for boron estimation in soils of Odisha and Andhra Pradesh. Asian Soil Res. J. 2021;5(4):47–53.
- Arora S, Chahal DS. Available boron content in some benchmark soils of Punjab under different moisture regimes in relation to soil characteristics. Agropedology. 2013;15(2):90–94.
- Da Silva RC, Baird R, Degryse F, McLaughlin MJ. Slow and fast-release boron sources in potash fertilizers: Spatial variability, nutrient dissolution and plant uptake. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 2018;82: 1437–48.

© 2023 Sahu et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/101685