

International Research Journal of Pure & Applied Chemistry 3(4): 286-298, 2013

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international www.sciencedomain.org*

Sensitive Method for the Quantitative Determination of Ergotamine in Tablet Dosage Form by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography Using Bromocriptine as Internal Standard

Safwan Ashour1* and Soulafa Omar¹

¹Analytical Biochemistry Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Aleppo, Aleppo, Syria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration with all authors. Author SA designed the study, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript, read and approved the final manuscript. Author SO performed the statistical analysis, managed the analyses of the study, managed the literature searches

Research Article

Received 16th April 2013 Accepted 24th June 2013 Published 18th July 2013

ABSTRACT

Aim: To develop and validate a selective, sensitive and simple RP-HPLC method for the determination of ergotamine tartrate (ET) in pharmaceutical dosage forms. **Study Design:** All variables were studied to optimize the chromatographic conditions. **Place and Duration of Study:** Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Aleppo

University, Aleppo, Syria during seven months. **Methodology:** The chromatographic separation of ET and bromocriptine mesylate (BCM, was used as internal standard) was achieved on a reversed phase BDS Hypersil

 C_8 column (250×4.6 mm i.d., 5 µm particle size) with a mobile phase consisted of MeOH-HCOOH 0.1 M (70:30, v/v), pumped at a flow rate 1.0 mL min⁻¹ and detected at 320 nm.

Results: The retention times were 8.30 and 10.93 min for ET and BCM, respectively. The validation of the proposed method was carried out for specificity, linearity,

^{}Corresponding author: Email: profashour2010@myway.com;*

accuracy, precision, limit of detection, limit of quantitation and robustness. Linearity range was 3.0-1400.0 μ g mL⁻¹ with limits of detection and quantification values of 0.18 and 0.58 µg mL⁻¹, respectively. The precision of the method was demonstrated using $|$ intra- and inter-day assay RSD values which were less than 2.35% in all instances, while the relative percentage error was less than 1.99% (*n*=6). No interference from any components of pharmaceutical dosage forms or degradation products was observed. **Conclusion:** The developed method was found to be selective, accurate, precise, robust and could be applied to the quantitative analysis of ET in raw material and tablets.

Keywords: Ergotamine tartrate; liquid chromatography; pharmaceutical dosage forms.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ergotamine tartrate, bis [(6a*R*,9*R*)-*N*-[(2*R*,5*S*,10a*S*,10b*S*)-5-benzyl-10b-hydroxy-2-methyl- 3,6-dioxo-octahydro-8*H*-oxazolo [3,2-*a*] pyrrolo [2,1-*c*] pyrazin-2-yl]-7-methyl-4,6,6a,7,8,9 hexahydroindolo[4,3-*fg*]quinoline-9-carboxamide] tartrate. The drug indicated as a therapy for vascular headache, migraine and migraine variants. Ergotamine has a direct stimulating effect on the smooth muscle of peripheral and cranial blood vessels [1]. Literature survey reveals that ergotamine tartrate is official in U.S.P. [2] and B.P. [3]. Few methods for the determination of ergotamine tartrate have been reported. RP-HPLC coupled with UV [4-10] or fluorescence detection [11-14] and HPTLC [15-17] methods have been proposed for the determination of ergotamine tartrate in biological samples and pharmaceutical products. For the analysis of ergotamine tartrate in human plasma a gas chromatography–mass spectrometry [18] and triple-sector quadrupole mass spectrometry [19] have also been reported. Capillary electrophoresis [20-22], chemiluminescence [23] and spectrofluorimetric [24-27] methods for the determination of ergotamine in different pharmaceutical preparations, either alone or with other active ingredients, have been described. Various amperometric [28] and spectrophotometric [29,30] methods have been reported for the determination of ergotamine tartrate from its individual formulations.

The objective of this work was to develop and validate a sensitive and reliable analytical method using reversed phase-high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) with a simple composition and low cost of mobile phase, which was used for the first time in this work, for determination of ergotamine tartrate in raw material and tablets. Moreover, the lower solvent consumption leads to an environmentally friendly chromatographic procedure. The method serves as an alternative to the methods described in pharmacopoeias.

2. EXPERIMENTALS

2.1 Equipment

A high performance liquid chromatographic system consisted of Hitachi (Japan) Model L- 2000 equipped with a binary pump (model L-2130, flow rate range of 0.000-9.999 mL min⁻¹), degasser and a column oven (model L-2350, temperature range of 1-85ºC). All samples were injected (10 µL) using a Hitachi L-2200 autosampler (injection volume range of 0.1-100 L). Elutions of all analytes were monitored at 218 nm by using a Hitachi L-2455 absorbance detector (190-900 nm) containing a quartz flow cell (10 mm path and 13 μ L volume). Each

chromatogram was analyzed and integrated automatically using automation system software.

2.2 Materials and Chemicals

Ergotamine tartrate (ET) was supplied by IVAX, Czech $(C_{33}H_{35}N_5O_5)_2.C_4H_4O_6 = 1311.39$ g/mol), and its purity was found to be 99.80% according to the pharmacopoeial method [3]. Bromocriptine mesylate (BCM, $C_{32}H_{40}BrN_5O_5$.CH₃SO₃H = 750.60 g/mol) was used as internal standard because it has a structure similar to ergotamine and has a retention time close to ergotamine, obtained from Divi's Laboratories Limited, India, and its purity was found to be 99.87% according to the pharmacopoeial method [3]. The structure formulas of ET and BCM are shown in Fig. 1.

All solvents used were of HPLC grade, Merck, Germany. All other chemicals employed were of analytical grade, Merck, Germany. Tablets containing ET: Balergot-C (Balsam Pharma Co., Syria), Asia Migraine (Asia Pharmaceutical Industries, Syria) and Medergot (Unipharma, Syria) each tablet was labeled to contain 1 mg of ergotamine tartrate and 100 mg of caffeine.

Fig. 1. Structural formula of ET and BCM (I.S.)

2.3 Chromatographic Conditions and Measurement Procedure

Chromatographic separation was achieved on a reversed phase BDS Hypersil C_8 column (250×4.6 mm, 5 μ m particle size, Thermo, Germany). The mobile phase was a mixture of 0.1 M HCOOH: methanol (30:70 *v/v*) and was filtered through a 0.45 μm nylon-membrane filter and degassed by ultrasonic agitation prior to use. The mobile phase was prepared weekly and was delivered at a flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹. The injection volume was 10 μ L. Peak areas were measured and HPLC analysis was conducted at ambient temperature (25 ºC).

2.4 Standard Solutions and Calibration Graphs

Standard solution of ET was prepared by direct weighing of standard substance with subsequent dissolution in methanol. The concentration of the stock standard solution was 2.0 mg mL $^{-1}$. Stock standard solution of BCM 1.0 mg mL $^{-1}$ was prepared by dissolving appropriate amount of the compound in methanol. These solutions were stored in the dark at 2-8ºC and were found to be stable for one month at least. A series working standard solutions of ET (3.0-1400.0 μ g mL⁻¹) were prepared by diluting the stock standard solution

with the mobile phase. In each sample 200.0 μ g mL⁻¹ of BCM was added. Standard solutions were found to be stable during the analysis time.

To construct the calibration curve of ET five replicates (10 μ L) of each working standard solution were injected immediately after preparation into the column and the peak area of the chromatograms were measured. Then, the mean peak area ratio of ET to that of the internal standard was plotted against the corresponding concentration of ET (3.0-1400.0 μ g mL⁻¹) to obtain the calibration graph (Table 1).

Table 1. Calibration data for the estimation of ET by HPLC

** Regression equation for the peak area of ET vs. concentration of ET in g mL-1 .*

*** Regression equation for the ratio of peak area of ET to that of I.S.(BCM) vs. concentration of ET in* μ g mL⁻¹. *.*

2.5 Assay Procedure for Dosage Forms

Twenty tablets containing ET were weighed and finely pulverised. Five accurately weighed quantities of this powder, equivalent to 5.0 mg of ET, were placed in 25 mL separated volumetric flasks with 20 mL of methanol. The mixtures were sonicated for 10 min. Then, the sample solutions were filtered and the filtrates were diluted with methanol to 25 mL in volumetric flasks containing 200.0 μ g mL⁻¹ of the internal standard BCM. Finally, 10 μ L of each sample was injected into the column. Peak area ratios of ET to that of BCM were then measured for the determinations. ET concentrations in the samples were then calculated using peaks data and standard curves.

2.6 Optimization Procedure

On the basis of the optimization procedure the following factors were selected and tested in the experimental design: (A) volume percent of methanol (60-80%), (B) concentration of HCOOH (0.05-1.0 M). Factor levels are given in parenthesis. Experimental design indicates that the best conditions for separation of ET from internal standard (I.S.) are at mobile phase composition: HCOOH solution (0.1 M): methanol (30:70, *v/v*).

2.7 Validation

The standard curve was a plot of the peak area ratios of ET–BCM versus the corresponding concentrations of ET in the standard curve samples. The linearity of the standard curve was evaluated using least-squares linear regression analysis. To determine recovery of ET at concentrations of 3, 50, 200, 500, 700, 1000 and 1400 μ g mL⁻¹ and of BCM at the concentration used in the assay (200 μ g mL⁻¹) from bulk or formulations, an identical set of standards prepared in the mobile phase was analyzed. Absolute recoveries at each concentration were measured by comparing the response of pre-treated standards with the response of standards which had not been subjected to sample pre-treatment. Intra- and inter-day coefficients of in variation of the assay were determined by the analysis of five samples at each concentration on the same day and of five samples at each concentration on 6 different days, respectively. The limit of quantification for this assay is defined as the lowest concentration of ET that can be detected.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Chromatography

The goal of this study was to develop HPLC assay for the analysis of ET drug in pharmaceutical dosage form. Initial studies to develop HPLC assay involved the use of C_{18} and C_8 columns with various mobile phases containing acetonitrile- or methanol-aqueous formic acid solutions. The chromatographic separations were performed on a BDS Hypersil C_8 column, since it produced sharp and symmetrical peaks. The final selective HPLC mobile phase consisting of MeOH-HCOOH. The effect of composition of the mobile phase on the retention time of ET and the internal standard, BCM, was investigated. Results of the effect of methanol in the mobile phase are presented in Fig. 2. An increase in the percentage of methanol decreases the retention of compounds; ET and BCM. Increasing methanol percentage to more than 80% ET peak is eluted with the solvent front, while at methanol percentage lower than 65% the elution of BCM peak is seriously delayed. The optimum methanol percentage was found to be 70%. The effect of pH in the chromatographic elution of both compounds was also investigated by changes the concentration values of the aqueous component of the mobile phase from 0.05 to 1.0 M. For all experimental concentration values, the drugs are eluted in order of ET and BCM. A concentration value of 0.1 M HCOOH was chosen for the optimum separation of the compounds, as at this concentration the analyte peaks were well defined and resolved. The optimum wavelength for detection was at 320 nm, at which the best detector responses for all substances were obtained. The specificity of the HPLC method is illustrated in Fig. 3 where complete separation of the compounds was observed. ET was eluted at 8.30 min, while the internal standard BCM was eluted at 10.93 min.

Fig. 2. Plots of the retention time vs. methanol percentage in the mobile phase of ET and BCM

3.2 Linearity and Limits of Quantification and Detection

Standard curve of ET was linear over the concentration range 3.0-1400.0 μ g mL⁻¹. Straight line for ET was obtained, when the area of the peaks were plotted versus concentration (Table 1). Also, Linear relationship was obtained between the peak area ratio of ET to that of BCM (I.S.) and the corresponding concentration of ET (3.0-1400.0 μ g mL⁻¹), as shown by the equation presented in Table 1 [31]. The minimum levels at which the investigated compound

can be reliably detected (limit of detection, LOD) and quantified (limit of quantitation, LOQ) were determined experimentally. LOD was expressed as the concentration of drug that generated a response to three times of the signal to-noise (S/N) ratio, and LOQ was 10 times of the S/N ratio. The LOD of RSP attained as defined by IUPAC [32], LOD_($k=3$) = $k \times S_a/b$ (where *b* is the slope of the calibration curve and *S*^a is the standard deviation of the intercept), was found to be 0.18 μ g mL⁻¹. The LOQ was also attained according to the IUPAC definition, $\text{LOQ}_{(k=10)} = k \times S_a/b$, and was found to be 0.58 μ g mL⁻¹.

3.3 System Suitability

The system suitability was determined by making five replicate injections and analyzing each solute for their peak area, resolution and peak tailing factor. The system suitability requirements for 200.0 µg mL-1 of ET in the presence of 200.0 µg mL-1 of internal standard was a %RSD for peak area less than 0.65, a peak tailing factor less than 1.3 and an *R*s greater than 4.0 between adjacent peaks. This method met these requirements. The results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. System suitability parameters

3.4 Accuracy and Precision

The precision and accuracy of the method were evaluated by intra- (analysis of standard solutions of ET in replicates of five in the same day) and inter-day (analysis of standard solutions of ET in replicates of five on 6 different days from day 1 to 30 after preparation) assay variance (Table 3). The standard deviation, relative standard deviation, recovery and relative percentage error of different amounts tested were determined, as recorded in Table 3. The accuracy of the method is indicated by the excellent recovery (99.76-101.99%) and the precision is supported by the low standard deviation. Table 3 shows that the percent error of the method was always less than 1.99%; therefore, it was concluded that the procedure gives acceptable accuracy and precision for the analyte.

The reproducibility of the method was calculated in terms of percent relative standard deviation % R.S.D. from the response level of 10 different solutions at concentration level of 50.0 μ g ml⁻¹ and the value was found to be 1.07%.

3.5 Robustness

The robustness of an analytical procedure is a measure of its capacity to remain unaffected by small, but deliberate variations in method parameters and provides an indication of its reliability during normal usage. Robustness of the method was investigated under a variety of conditions including changes of the concentration of HCOOH in the mobile phase, flow rate, percentage of methanol in the mobile phase and column temperature. The standard solution is injected in five replicates and sample solution of 100% concentration is prepared and injected in triplicate for every condition and % RSD of assay was calculated for each condition. The degree of reproducibility of the results obtained as a result of small deliberate variations in the method parameters has proven that the method is robust (Table 4).

3.6 Stability Studies

Stability studies were carried out at laboratory temperature for a month to find potential stability problems of the drug in the formulations. Samples were analyzed at intervals of 0, 1, 5, 15 and 30 days. The results obtained are given in Table 5. The percent RSD values between subsequent readings gave an indication of the stability of the drug in the formulations.

^aFive independent analyses.

3.7 Application of the Assay

The applicability of the proposed method was assayed by analyzing commercial tablets. The assays were carried out as described under the experimental procedure for dosage forms. In all the preparations, the amount of ET was obtained by direct measurement using the standard calibration curve. For the sake of comparison, the ET content of the pharmaceutical preparations was also determined by the reference method [7]. In all of the pharmaceutical preparations, the results were found to be very good (Table 6), thus confirming the validity of the proposed method. Three pharmaceutical formulations of ET (1 mg/tab) were analyzed. Fig. 4 illustrates a typical chromatogram of ET (200 μ g mL⁻¹) in the methanol prepared from Balergot-C tablets in the presence of BCM (200 μ g mL⁻¹). Table 6 shows the results of the determination of three local pharmaceutical preparations using the proposed method and reference method. Good agreement with results obtained by the reference method was observed.

Fig. 4. A typical chromatogram of a mixture of ET (200 g mL-1) and BCM (200 g mL-1) in the methanol prepared from BALERGOT-C tablets. Chromatographic conditions: RP-HPLC on a BDS Hypersil C⁸ column; mobile phase: HCOOH 0.1 M:methanol (30:70, *v/v***); flow rate 1.0 mL min-1 and a UV detector at 320 nm** g mL $^{\text{-}}$) and BCM (200 in the methanol prepared from BALERGOT-C tablets. Chromatographic conditions:
RP-HPLC on a BDS Hypersil C₈ column; mobile phase: HCOOH 0.1 M:methanol (30:70,

and F = 6.26. and F F

A statistical comparison between results obtained from both the proposed and reference methods were carried out. The calculated *t*- and *F*-values did not exceed the theoretical values at the 95% confidence level, indicating the absence of any difference between the two methods.

The procedure described here provides a rapid method for determination of ET in bulk and dosage forms because of its simplicity, accuracy and reproducibility. It also provides practical and significant economic advantages over other instrumental methods. The method is, thus, suitable for routine analysis of ET tablets without interference from the other active ingredients caffeine, excipients and additives such as starch, glucose, lactose and magnesium stearate.

4. CONCLUSION

The proposed high-performance liquid chromatographic method has been evaluated over the linearity, precision, accuracy and selectivity and proved to be convenient and effective for the quality control of ergotamine tartrate in pharmaceutical formulations. The measured signal was shown to be precise, accurate and linear over the concentration range tested (3.0-1400.0 μ g mL⁻¹) with a limit of detection of 0.18 μ g mL⁻¹ and a correlation coefficient better than 0.9998. The sample recoveries from all formulations were in good agreement with their respective label claims, which suggested non-interference of formulations excipients and other active ingredients caffeine in the estimation. Moreover, the lower solvent consumption along with the short analytical run time of 11.0 min leads to an environmentally friendly chromatographic procedure.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Martindale: The Complete Drug Reference, 37th Ed., Sean C. Sweetman, editor, London, England, UK: Pharmaceutical Press; 2011;4142.
- 2. The United States Pharmacopoeia. The National Formulary, USD 30; 2007.
- 3. British Pharmacopœia. Her Majesty's Stationery Office Ltd., London; 2007.
- 4. Elbarbry FA, Marbrouk MM, El-Dawy MA. Determination of the analgesic components of spasmomigraine tablet by liquid chromatography with ultraviolet detection. J. AOAC Int. 2007;90(1):94-101.
- 5. Moubarak AS, Piper EL, Johnson ZB, Flieger M. HPLC method for detection of ergotamine, ergosine, and ergine after intravenous injection of a single dose. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1996;44(1):146-148.
- 6. Fernandez-Otero GC, Lucangioli SE, Carducci CN. Adsorption of drugs in high performance liquid chromatography injector loops. J. Chromatogr. A. 1993;654(1):87- 91.
- 7. Jalal IM, Sa'sa SI, Yasin TA. Determination of ergotamine tartrate and cyclizine hydrochloride in pharmaceutical tablets by reversed-phase HPLC. Anal. Lett. 1988;21(9):1561-1577.
- 8. Tokunaga H, Kimura T, Kawamura J. Determination of dihydroergotamine in tablets by high-performance liquid chromatography and stability in aqueous solution. Bunseki. Kagaku. 1984;33(1):26-30.
- 9. Kubin H, Brehm M, Ulmen J. Automation of the determination of some active ingredients in unit-dose solid oral drug formulations. Pharm. Ind. 1982;44(12):1269- 1275.
- 10. Bethke H, Delz B, Stich K. Determination of the content and purity of ergotamine preparations by means of high-pressure liquid chromatography. J. Chromatogr. A 1976;123(1):193-203.
- 11. Niazy EM, Molokhia AM, El-Gorashi AS. Quick and simple determination of dihydroergotamine by high-performance liquid chromatography. Anal. Lett. 1988;21(10):1833-1843.
- 12. Romeijn SG, Marttin E, Coos-Verhoef J, Merkus FWHM. Simplified solid-phase extraction method for determination of dihydroergotamine in rabbit and human serum using high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. J. Chromatogr. B: Biomed. Appl. 1997;692(1):227-232.
- 13. Cieri UR. Determination of ergotamine tartrate in tablets by liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem. 1987;70(3):538-540.
- 14. Humbert H, Denouel J, Chervet JP, Lavene D, Kiechel JR. Determination of sub nanogram amounts of dihydroergotamine in plasma and urine using liquid chromatography and fluorimetric detection with offline and online solid-phase drug enrichment. J. Chromatogr. B: Biomed. Sci. Appl. 1987;417:319-329.
- 15. Aranda M, Morlock G. Simultaneous determination of caffeine, ergotamine, and metamizol in solid pharmaceutical formulation by HPTLC-UV-FLD with mass confirmation by online HPTLC-ESI-MS. J. Chromatogr. Sci. 2007;45(5):251-255.
- 16. Simova M, Pangarova I. Quantitative determination of ergotamine after T.L.C. separation. Tr. Nauchnoizsled Khim. Farm. Inst. 1983;13203-13206.
- 17. Amin M, Sepp W. Quantitative thin-layer chromatographic analysis of ergotamine tartrate and caffeine in the nanogram range. J. Chromatogr. A. 1976;118(2):225-232.
- 18. Feng N, Minder EI, Grampp T, Vonderschmitt DJ. Identification and quantification of ergotamine in human plasma by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. B: Biomed. Sci. Appl. 1992;575(2):289-294.
- 19. Haering N, Settlage JA, Sanders SW, Schuberth R. Measurement of ergotamine in human plasma by triple-sector quadrupole mass spectrometry with negative-ion chemical ionization. Biomed. Mass Spectrom. 1985;12(5):197-199.
- 20. Lucangioli SE, Rodriguez VG, Fernandez-Otero GC, Vizioli NM, Carducci CN. Development and validation of capillary electrophoresis methods for pharmaceutical dissolution assays. J. Capillary Electrophor. 1997;4(1):27-31.
- 21. Aboul-Enein HY, Bakr SA. Simultaneous determination of caffeine and ergotamine in pharmaceutical dosage formulation by capillary electrophoresis. J. Liq. Chromatogr. Relat. Technol. 1997;20(1):47-55.
- 22. Sultan MA, Maher HM, Alzoman NZ, Alshehri MM, Rizk MS, Elshahed MS, Olah IV. Capillary electrophoretic determination of antimigraine formulations containing caffeine, ergotamine, paracetamol and domperidone or metoclopramide. J. Chromatogr. Sci. 2013;51(6):502-10.
- 23. Polo Marti E, Catala Icardo M, Lahuerta Zamora L, Anton Fos GM, Martinez Calatayud J. Theoretical prediction of the chemiluminescence behaviour of the ergot alkaloids. direct flow injection chemiluminescence determination of ergotamine tartrate. Anal. Chim. Acta 2004;527(2):177-186.
- 24. Legnerova Z, Sklenarova H, Solich P. Automated sequential injection fluorimetric determination and dissolution studies of ergotamine tartrate in pharmaceuticals. Talanta 2002;58(6):1151-1155.
- 25. Solich P, Sklenarova H, Legnerova Z, Polydorou CK. Automated flow-injection and sequential injection fluorimetric determination and dissolution studies of pharmaceuticals. Luminescence. 2002;17(4):256-257.
- 26. Polasek M, Solich P, Karlicek R. Fluorimetric determination of ergotamine in medicinal preparations by flow-injection analysis. Cesk. Farm. 1989;38(1):1-5.
- 27. Wang CC, Fernández LP, Gómez MR. Sensitive ergotamine determination in pharmaceuticals and biological samples using cloud point preconcentration and spectrofluorimetric detection. Anal. Chim. Acta. 2013;768:90-95.
- 28. Inczeffy J, Somodi ZB, Pap-Sziklay Z, Farsang G. Study of the differential pulse voltammetric behaviour of ergot alkaloids and their determination by D.C. amperometric detection in a FIA [flow-injection analysis] system. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 1993;11(3):191-196.
- 29. Zakhari NA, Hassan SM, El-Shabrawy Y. Diazotized 4-nitroaniline as a chromogenic reagent for the determination of indole derivatives in certain pharmaceutical preparations. Anal. Lett. 1989;22(15):3011-3024.
- 30. Martinez-Calatayud J, Sagrado-Vives S. Determination of emetine, ergonovine [ergometrine] and ergotamine by periodate oxidation in a flow-injection system. Pharmazie. 1989;44(9):614-616.
- 31. Miller JC, Miller JN. Statistics in Analytical Chemistry. Ellis Horwood, Chichester, London. 1993;3rd ed.:119.
- 32. Long GL, Winefordner JD. Limit of detection. A closer look at the IUPAC definition. Anal. Chem. 1983;55:712A-721A.

© 2013 Ashour and Omar; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=245&id=7&aid=1706*