



# Effect of *Lactobacillus* Probiotic Supplement on Growth and Haematological Performance of Indian Major Carp *Labeo rohita*

J. Priyadharshini <sup>a</sup>, I. Viji Margaret <sup>a\*</sup>, M. Barakath Nisha <sup>b</sup>  
and R. Ajaz Haja Mohideen <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Zoology Department and Research Centre, Sarah Tucker College (Autonomous), Tirunelveli, Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>b</sup> Sri Paramakalyani Centre for Excellence in Environmental Sciences, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>c</sup> PG and Research Department of Zoology, C. Abdul Hakeem College (Autonomous), Affiliated to Thiruvalluvar University, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i204562>

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/4229>

Original Research Article

Received: 14/08/2024  
Accepted: 17/10/2024  
Published: 22/10/2024

## ABSTRACT

The influence of probiotics bacteria *Lactobacillus acidophilus* improves the survival, growth, and Hematological performance of the Indian major carp Rohu (*Labeo rohita*). After acclimation, a 60-day experimental study was conducted with four different types of treatments; namely, T1: Control,

\*Corresponding author: Email: vijisino@gmail.com;

Cite as: Priyadharshini, J., I. Viji Margaret, M. Barakath Nisha, and R. Ajaz Haja Mohideen. 2024. "Effect of *Lactobacillus* Probiotic Supplement on Growth and Haematological Performance of Indian Major Carp *Labeo Rohita*". UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY 45 (20):26-33. <https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i204562>.

i.e. Basal feed without Probiotic supplements, T2: Basal feed with *Aeromonas hydrophila*, T3: *Lactobacillus* supplemented probiotic feed without *Aeromonas hydrophila*, and T4: *Lactobacillus* supplemented probiotic feed with *A. hydrophila*. After the experimental study, growth parameters were monitored through Weight gain, Specific growth rates, survival percentage, and haematological parameters including WBC, RBC, haemoglobin (Hb), and haematocrit (Hct) values of probiotics treated group (T3 and T4) were significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher than control and T2 group. The Present study indicated that the positive effects of the *L. acidophilus* probiotic supplement diet improved the survival, growth, and Haematological performance in aquaculture.

**Keywords:** Probiotic; *lactobacillus*; *Labeo rohita*; growth performance.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

“Aquaculture is one of the emerged and foremost promising sectors in India and it constantly provides high-quality animal protein, raises nutritional levels, and generates income and employment around the country during the last three decades” (Department of Fisheries, 2023). “It has attracted the attention of both the public and private sectors. However, Unwise management practices, disease outbreaks, and bad environmental conditions continually hinder the productivity of aquaculture industries” (FAO 2022). “*Aeromonas hydrophila* is a Gram-negative bacterium widely distributed in aquatic environments and an opportunistic pathogen for fish and humans, which can cause severe hemorrhagic septicemia and skin ulceration in aquatic animals and diarrhea in mammals. It causes motile *Aeromonas* septicemia (MAS), also named bacterial hemorrhagic septicemia, that affects numerous species of freshwater carp and marine fishes and leads to massive mortalities of wild and farmed fish. For control the pathogenic bacteria and disease management, chemotherapies and, antibiotics are commonly used in fish farming industries, on the other hand, the overuse of chemical antibiotics has led to the development of antibiotic-resistant microorganisms, which has been causing havoc in the aquaculture industry in recent times” (Arumugam et al. 2023). “They caused severe problems not only for fish but also for fish consumers and the environment. The problems outlined above and recent restrictions on the use of antibiotics have resulted in aquaculture, natural immunostimulants, and probiotics being considered as an alternative strategy for disease management and better yield. The application of probiotics in aquaculture is such a technology and research as bioremediation and biocontrol agents are increasing with the demand for eco-friendly aquaculture” (Ramesh et al. 2019).

“The use of probiotics is critical for improving the habitat of aquatic animals and increasing their performance while having no negative consequences for consumers. There is a lot of interest in using probiotics in fish as feed additives to improve feed values, nutrient absorption, gut microbial community, production of lactic acid, digestive enzymes and increase the available nutrients to the host” (Yonar 2019; Subasinghe et al. 2023; Romano 2020, and Dahiyart al. 2012). Several probiotics have been used in aquaculture but probiotics from lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are often used to improve growth performance and disease resistance in freshwater fish culture, especially carp. Therefore, the present study was carried out using the feed supplement of probiotic bacteria *L. acidophilus* to evaluate their potential benefits on the survival, growth, and Haematological parameters of Indian major carp *Labeo rohita* against the potential fish pathogen of *A. hydrophila*.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Collection and maintenance of Experimental fish:** The present research was conducted at the PG Department of Zoology at Sarah Tucker College, Tirunelveli, Tamilnadu, India. Rohu (*Labeo rohita*) mean body weight of  $7 \pm 0.5$  g and length of  $3.5 \pm 1.5$  cm were purchased from Commercial Fish Farm, Kallidaikurichi, Tirunelveli district, Tamil Nadu, India, and were stocked for acclimation to the laboratory conditions for about 10 days before they were used for experimentation. The dechlorinated river water was used during the acclimatization and experimental period. The water tanks were well-oxygenated with electrical aerators. The water pH and temperature were maintained during the experimental period.

**Experimental diet preparation:** The experimental diet containing varying amounts of the ingredients used in the formulation of basal

feed were fishmeal, rice bran, groundnut oilcake, vitamin capsules, and mineral premix. In probiotic-supplemented feed, *Lactobacillus acidophilus* 1.5 ×10<sup>9</sup>CFU g/ml was added along with the basal diet. Fish were fed experimental diets at a rate of 6% in the first month and 5% in the second month of their body weights, and feeding was done twice daily at 09: 00 AM and 5: 00 PM for 60 days. Every fifteen days, the fish of each group were live-weighed to calculate the amount of feed consumed during the experimental period.

**Experimental setup:** For experimental setup 4 plastic troughs were used. Each trough was stacked with *L. rohita* fingerlings of 20 numbers (7.0 ± 1. 5g and mean body length 3.5 ± 1.5 cm). In the control trough T1: Basal feed without Probiotic supplements, T2: Basal feed with *Aeromonas hydrophila*, T3: *Lactobacillus* supplemented probiotic feed without *Aeromonas hydrophila*, and T4: *Lactobacillus* supplemented probiotic feed with *A. hydrophila* respectively. The length and weight of 10 fish randomly selected from each trough were measured every

15 days and the experiment was performed for 60 days. After the 45<sup>th</sup> day of the experiment, the fish were challenged with the bacterial pathogen *A. hydrophila* for 15 days. Blood was collected by the caudal vein puncture and pooled from a random sample of five fish in each experimental tank after anesthetizing them with Eugenol after 60 days. The blood (heparinized 150 in 1 ml) collected from each group was tested for Total Leucocyte counts (WBC), Total Erythrocyte count (RBC), haemoglobin (Hb), and haematocrit (Hct) values.

**Growth parameters:** Fish of each experimental tank were recorded in length and weight every fifteen days. The fish were weighed and lengthed, to calculate a final weight (FW), weight gain (WG), specific growth rate (SGR) feed conversion rate (FCR), and Survival Rate. Two fish were randomly collected from each tank (n = 10) (Markowiak and Slizewska 2018).

**Growth Measurements:** The following growth parameters were assessed during the experimental period.

**Table 1. Composition of feed ingredients**

S. No.	Ingredients	Composition (%) Basal Feed	Composition (%) Probiotic Feed
1.	Soybean meal	15	15
2.	Fish meal	15	15
3.	Tapioca Powder	10	10
4.	Ground nut oil cake	30	30
5.	Rice Bran	20	20
6.	Maize	5.0	5.0
7.	Sunflower oil	3.5	2.0
8.	Vitamin and Mineral Mixture	1.5	1.5
9.	<i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i>	-	1.5

$$\text{a) \%Weight Gain in g} = \frac{(\text{Final weight} - \text{Initial weight})}{\text{Initial weight}} \times 100$$

$$\text{b) Total length gain (TLG)} = \text{Final total length (TL)(cm)} - \text{Initial total length (TL)(cm)}$$

$$\text{c) Specific Growth Rate (SGR)} = \frac{\ln Wt - \ln Wo}{t} \times 100$$

Were, Wt = Final weight, Wo= Initial weight and t = time duration

$$\text{d) Food Conversion Ratio (FCR)} = \frac{\text{Weight of food given (g)}}{\text{Weight gain of fish (g)}}$$

$$\text{e) Survival Rate} = \frac{Nt \times 100}{No}$$

Where, Nt = Final number of fish and No = Initial number of fish

## 2.1 Haematological Examination

**Total Erythrocyte count (RBC):** Total Erythrocyte count (RBC) was done in an Improved Neubauer counting chamber using RBC diluting fluid (Clark et al. 2015). The following formula is used to calculate the number of RBC per  $\mu\text{l}$  of the blood sample:

$$\text{RBC}(10^6/\text{mm}^3) = \frac{\text{Total no. of cell in 5 large squares} \times \text{df} \times \text{depth factors}}{\text{No. of small square counted}} \times 16$$

**Total Leucocyte counts (WBC):** 20  $\mu\text{l}$  of blood was mixed with 380  $\mu\text{l}$  of WBC diluting fluid in a clean glass vial. The number of WBC per  $\mu\text{l}$  of the blood sample was calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{WBC}(10^3/\text{mm}^3) = \frac{\text{Total no. of cell in 1 large square} \times \text{df} \times \text{cf}}{\text{Volume factor}(0.1)}$$

**Haemoglobin (Hb):** The blood's haemoglobin level was determined by using 5 ml of Drabkin's working solution combined with 20  $\mu\text{l}$  of blood. The following formula was then used to determine the haemoglobin concentration:

Conc. of Hb in sample = Abs. of sample  $\div$  Abs of Standard  $\times$  Conc. of standard.

**Haematocrit value (Hct):** Haematocrit value (Hct), was determined by centrifugation at 2000 rpm for 20 min. A suitable quantity of whole blood mixed with an anticoagulant is centrifuged in a haematocrit tube until all blood cells are packed at the bottom of the tube.

Haematocrit value (Hct) = (Height of RBCs in mm/Height of RBC and plasma)  $\times$  100

**Statistical Analysis:** The data were statistically analyzed by one-way ANOVA using SPSS software version 22.0. Further evaluation was conducted by Duncan's multiple comparisons to compare the differences among groups. The results are shown as the mean values  $\pm$  standard error of the mean, and the levels of significance among treatment groups were set at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Growth performance and feed utilization:** The effect of *L. acidophilus* probiotic supplement on the growth performance of Indian major carp *L. rohita* under different treatments and control groups (T1) is presented in Table 2. The main beneficial effects of probiotics in fish farming are improvements in growth performance, haematology, and immunity (Sun et al. 2010 and Kondera et al. 2019). The present study, the final average length of fish ranged from 10.2  $\pm$  12.8 cm. The lowest mean length of fish was 10.2  $\pm$  0.5 cm in the T2 trough, while the highest length was

observed 12.8  $\pm$  1.0 and 12.2  $\pm$  1.5 cm in the T3 and T4 trough respectively. The mean weight of fish ranges from 7.2  $\pm$  1.0 to 26.4  $\pm$  1.0 g. The lowest in 22.2  $\pm$  0.5g and 25.2  $\pm$  1.0g in the T2 and T3 trough respectively. Significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) increased length and weight were noticed in the experimental fish group than in the control and pathogen-treated group. It indicated that the selected probiotics have influenced the growth of *L. rohita*. Similar observations have been reported on *L. rohita* (Munirasu & Ramasubramanian 2017). Similarly, Saha et al. (2015) tested different concentrations of *S. cerevisiae*-supplemented diets in *M. rosenbergii* and after 90 days of feeding, they observed significant differences in morphometric data (length and weight) with more in control. Also, in agreement with the *Bacillus* probiotic that resulted high in terms of weight gain (g), length gain (cm), SGR (%), Percentage of weight gain, and percentage of length gain growth of *O. niloticus* was found in T1 (0.2% probiotic) diet group (Das et al. 2019).

The specific growth rate (% /day) of fish *L. rohita* ranges from 3.10  $\pm$  1.5 to 3.82  $\pm$  1.7 for the experimental and control group. The highest value was 3.82  $\pm$  1.7 in T4 and 3.10 in T2 tank was recorded. The lowest value of SGR was 3.10 in the T2 tank and 3.45 in the T1 group respectively (Table 2). There are no significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the SGR of fish, among control and treatment groups respectively (Table 2). Mahmoud et al. (2021) reported the improved specific growth rate of *Oreochromis niloticus* by using natural and biological feed additives. Mohapatra et al. (2014) reported SGR of *L. rohita*, fed the diet with graded levels of probiotics Rahman et al. (2019) reported that probiotic feed PRO2 fed *O. pabda* fish showed a higher SGR.

The maximum food conversion efficiency was recorded in T4 trough ( $5.8 \pm 1.0$ ) and T3 (Control)  $5.2 \pm 0.6$  in T3 tank. The minimum was recorded in T2 tank ( $4.4 \pm 1.0$ ). Effect of *Lactobacillus* dietary supplement improves growth performance and maximum FCR of European Carp. Ali et al. (2018) reported that FCR were significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) lower in *Mystus cavasius* fishes of T1 group fed with probiotics *Bacillus* sp. compared with the other groups. The range of FCR improved the *Lactobacillus bulgaicus* dieted group on carp. These findings are consistent with the findings of the present study.

The survival of fish recorded in different treatments and control group (T1) are presented in Table 2. The highest average survival rate of  $88.2 \pm 1.2\%$  in T4 trough and the lowest average survival rate was observed in T2 ( $70.6 \pm 1.2$ ). Significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the survival of fish were observed among both control and T3 treatment groups. This is an agreement with the findings of Munirasu & Ramasubramanian (2017) who reported 96-99% survivability of *L. rohita* by using probiotics mixed feed. Ahmed et al. (2020) reported that the overall survival of Tilapia was 95.76-97.54% by using commercial floated feed with probiotics in cages at Dakatia river.

Haematology is an important factor that could be considered for the fish diet quality assessment. Fazio et al. (2019); Galagarza et al. (2017) and Seibel et al. (2021) reported that the most common blood variables consistently influenced by diet are the haematocrit (Hct) and haemoglobin (Hb) levels. This study evaluates the effect of the probiotic on the blood parameters of the fish *Labeo rohita* using *L. acidophilus*-supplemented probiotic diets are included in Table 3. Overall, the dietary probiotic

induced significant changes ( $P < 0.05$ ) in leucocyte counts, compared with the T2 and Probiotic treated T3 group ( $6.6 \pm 1.2$  and  $8.1 \pm 1.0$ ).

There was an increased Total erythrocyte count in fish that received T3 and T2 group ( $10.2 \pm 0.5$  and  $12.8 \pm 1.0$ ) (Table 3). The percentage of haematocrit was significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) when compared to the *A. hydrophila* treated group T2. However, non-significant differences in the haemoglobin contents of the control and the treatment groups were observed. The haemoglobin content oscillated from the T3 and T4 group values of  $12.4 \pm 0.2$  and  $12.2 \pm 0.2$ . Overall high significance of haematological parameters was recorded between T2 and T4 group. These results supported the results of Azarin et al. (2015) and Sharma et al. (2013).

Probiotics have been used in Nile tilapia Elsabagh et al. (2018); Reda and Selim (2015) and Silva et al. (2015) which reported positive effects on haematological parameters. On the other hand, *O. niloticus* fed diet supplemented with *B. subtilis* or supplemented with *Pediococcus acidilactici* Ferguson et al. (2010) observed some variation (but not significant) in Hb and Hct contents among the control and fish-fed enriched diet with probiotics. Fish fed the diet supplemented with probiotics showed the highest values of Hb, RBCs, and WBCs. Mocanu Cretu et al. (2011) Earlier Reports indicated that fish groups fed the diet supplemented with dead *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast and both of live *B. subtilis* and *S. cerevisiae* showed significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) increase in the Hct level when compared to fish fed the control diet. Firouzabakhsh et al. (2012) Reported that Hb concentration, in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fed different levels of probiotics was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) different from the control.

**Table 2. Growth performance of *L. rohita* under different treatments observed during experimental periods**

Treatments	Growth parameters						
	Initial length (cm)	Final length (cm)	Initial weight (g)	Final weight (g)	Specific growth rate %	Food Conversion Ratio (FCR)	Survival rate %
T1(Control)	$3.5 \pm 1.0$	$11.8 \pm 1.5$	$7.2 \pm 1.0$	$26.4 \pm 1.0$	$3.45 \pm 1.2$	$4.8 \pm 1.2$	$82.5 \pm 1.4$
T2	$3.6 \pm 1.0$	$10.2 \pm 0.5$	$7.4 \pm 1.0$	$22.2 \pm 0.5$	$3.10 \pm 1.5$	$4.4 \pm 1.0$	$70.6 \pm 1.2$
T3	$3.6 \pm 1.0$	$12.8 \pm 1.0$	$7.2 \pm 1.0$	$25.2 \pm 1.0$	$3.62 \pm 1.4$	$5.2 \pm 0.6$	$74.8 \pm 1.8$
T4	$3.5 \pm 1.0$	$12.2 \pm 1.5$	$7.2 \pm 1.0$	$27.4 \pm 1.5$	$3.82 \pm 1.7$	$5.8 \pm 1.0$	$88.2 \pm 1.2$

T1: Basal feed without Probiotic supplements

T2: Basal feed with *Aeromonas hydrophila*

T3: *Lactobacillus* supplemented probiotic feed without *Aeromonas hydrophila*

T4: *Lactobacillus* supplemented probiotic feed with *A. hydrophila*

**Table 3. Haematological parameters of *L. rohita* under different treatments observed during experimental periods**

Treatments	Haematological parameters			
	(WBC)	Total Erythrocyte count (RBC)	Haemoglobin (Hb)	Haemocytocrit value (Hct)
T1(Control)	7.8 ±1.0	4.8±0.1	11.6±0.1	36.8±0.8
T2	6.6±1.2	4.0±0.5	11.2±0.4	32.8±0.2
T3	8.0±1.4	4.8±0.6	12.4±0.2	35.2±0.4
T4	8.1 ±1.0	4.6±0.4	12.2±0.2	37.4±0.6

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Based on the obtained data on growth parameters, feed utilization, and haematological parameters the experiment revealed that the *L. acidophilus* probiotic supplement diet has significant efficiencies in improving growth performance in *L. rohita*. This experiment can recommend that probiotics be used in the culture of Rohu as a potential supplement and an eco-friendly tool for healthy aquaculture. However, a more in-depth study is required to elucidate the biochemical and immunological parameters for probiotic supplementation(s) towards sustainable carp culture.

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

Ahmed, I., & Sheikh, Z. A. (2020). Comparative study of hematological parameters of snow trout *Schizopyge plagiostomus* and *Schizopyge niger* inhabiting two different habitats. *European Zoological Journal*, 87(1), 12–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24750263.2019.1705647>

Ali, F. A., Amponsah, S. K., Ofori-Danson, P. K., & Addo, S. (2018). Diets and feeding patterns of big grunt *Brachydeuterus auritus* Valenciennes, 1831 in Ghana. *Fisheries Science*, 117(2018), 50425-50427.

Azarin, H., Aramli, M. S., Imanpour, M. R., & Rajabpour, M. (2015). Effect of a probiotic containing *Bacillus licheniformis* and *Bacillus subtilis* and feroin solution on growth performance, body composition, and haematological parameters in kutum (*Rutilus frisii kutum*) fry. *Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins*, 7(1), 31–37.

Clark, K. S., Hippel, T. G., & Whitfield, D. B. (2015). Manual, semiautomated, and point-of-care testing in hematology. In *Rodak's Hematology: Clinical Principles and Applications* (5th ed.).

Dahiya, T., Sihag, R., & Gahlawat, S. (2012). Effect of probiotics on the haematological parameters of Indian magur (*Clarias batrachus* L.). *Journal of Fish and Aquatic Sciences*, 7(4), 279–290. <https://doi.org/10.3923/jfas.2012.279.290>

Das, B., Sarker, B., Hossain, A., Alam, M., & Iqbal, M. M. (2019). Optimization of 17 $\alpha$ -methyltestosterone dose to produce quality mono-sex Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). In *First International Conference on Sustainable Fisheries* (p. 67). Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet-3100, Bangladesh.

Department of Fisheries. (2023). *Annual report 2022–23*. Ministry of Fisheries, Animal Husbandry and Dairying, Government of India. [http://dof.gov.in/sites/default/files/2023/Annual\\_Report.pdf](http://dof.gov.in/sites/default/files/2023/Annual_Report.pdf)

Elsabagh, M., Mohamed, R., Moustafa, E. M., Hamza, A., Farrag, F., Decamp, O., & Eltholth, M. (2018). Assessing the impact of Bacillus strains mixture probiotic on water quality, growth performance, blood profile, and intestinal morphology of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 24(6), 1613–1622.

FAO. (2022). *Tracking progress on food and agriculture-related SDG indicators 2022*. Rome—A report on the indicators under FAO custodianship. Available online: <https://www.fao.org/sustainable->

- development-goals/indicators/en/ (accessed on 20 January 2023).
- Fazio, F. (2019). Fish hematology analysis as an important tool of aquaculture: A review. *Aquaculture*, 500, 237-242.
- Ferguson, R. M., Merrifield, D. L., Harper, G. M., Rawling, M. D., Mustafa, S., & Picchiatti, S. (2010). The effect of *Pediococcus acidilactici* on the gut microbiota and immune status of on-growing Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 109, 851-862.
- Firouzbakhsh, F., Mehrabi, Z., Heydari, M., Khalesi, M. K., & Tajick, M. A. (2012). Protective effects of a synbiotic against experimental *Saprolegnia parasitica* infection in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture Research*, 45, 609–618.
- Galagarza, O. A., Kuhn, D. D., Smith, S. A., & Hrubec, T. C. (2017). Hematologic and plasma chemistry reference intervals for cultured striped catfish (*Pangasius hypophthalmus*) in recirculating aquaculture systems. *Veterinary Clinical Pathology*, 46(3), 457-465. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0100929>
- Kondera, E., Witeska, M., & Ługowska, K. (2019). Annual changes in hematological parameters of common carp juveniles under laboratory conditions. *Annals of Warsaw University of Life Sciences - SGGW Animal Science*, 58(2), 143–151. <https://doi.org/10.22630/AAS.2019.58.2.15>
- Mahmoud, H. K., Reda, F. M., Alagawany, M., & Farag, M. R. (2021). Ameliorating deleterious effects of high stocking density on *Oreochromis niloticus* using natural and biological feed additives. *Aquaculture*, 531, 735900. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735900>
- Manikandan Arumugam, S., Jayaraman, S., Sridhar, A., Venkatasamy Paul, B. B., Abdul Kari, Z., Tellez-Isaias, G., & Ramasamy, T. (2023). Recent advances in tilapia production for sustainable developments in Indian aquaculture and its economic benefits. *Fishes*, 8, 176. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fishes8040176>
- Markowiak, P., & Slizewska, K. (2018). The role of probiotics, prebiotics, and symbiotics in animal nutrition. *Gut Pathogens*, 10, 21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13099-018-0250-0>
- Mocanu (Crețu), M., Cristea, L. D., Bocioc, E., Grecu, R. I., Placinta, S. I., & Vasilean, I. (2011). The effect of probiotic diet on growth and hematology parameters of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, W., 1792). University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Iasi, 258-263.
- Mohapatra, S., Chakraborty, T., Prusty, A. K., Pani Prasad, K., & Mohanta, K. N. (2014). Beneficial effects of dietary probiotics mixture on hemato-immunology and cell apoptosis of *Labeo rohita* fingerlings reared at higher water temperatures. *PLOS ONE*, 9(6), e100929.
- Munirasu, S., & Ramasubramanian, V. (2017). Effect of probiotics diet on growth and biochemical performance of freshwater fish *Labeo rohita* fingerlings. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 5(3), 1374-1379.
- Rahman, A. A., Hassanin, M., & ElHady, M. (2019). Growth performance, haematology, and intestinal histomorphology of Nile tilapia fed on Indian lotus (*Nelumbo nucifera* Gaertn.) leaf powder at different concentrations. *Aquaculture Research*, 50(11), 3211–3222. <https://doi.org/10.1111/are.14276>
- Ramesh, N., Rising, J. A., & Oremus, K. L. (2019). The small world of global marine fisheries: The cross-boundary consequences of larval dispersal. *Science*, 364, 1192–1196. <https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.aav3409>
- Reda, R. M., & Selim, K. M. (2015). Evaluation of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* on the growth performance, intestinal morphology, hematology, and body composition of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquaculture International*, 23(1), 203–217.
- Romano, N. (2020). Probiotics, prebiotics, biofloc systems, and other biocontrol regimes in fish and shellfish aquaculture. In F. S. B. Kibenge, B. Baldisserotto, & R. S.-M. Chong (Eds.), *Aquaculture Pharmacology* (pp. 1-18). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-821339-1.00003-9>
- Saha, S., Hassa, M. A., & Sharma, A. P. (2015). Effect of dietary supplementation on growth performance of rohu, *Labeo rohita* fingerlings. *International Journal of Pharmacology and Biological Sciences*, 6(1), 1260-1268.
- Seibel, H., Baßmann, B., & Rebl, A. (2021). Blood will tell: What hematological analyses can reveal about fish welfare. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 8, 616955.

- Sharma, P., Sihag, R. C., & Gahlawat, S. K. (2013). Effect of probiotic on haematological parameters of diseased fish (*Cirrihinus mrigala*). *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 7(4), 323.
- Silva, T. F. A., Petrillo, T. R., Yunis-Aguinaga, J., Marcusso, P. F., da Silva Claudiano, G., de Moraes, F. R., & de Moraes, J. R. E. (2015). Effects of the probiotic *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* on growth performance, hematology, and intestinal morphometry in cage-reared Nile tilapia. *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research*, 43(5), 963–971.
- Subasinghe, R., Alday-Sanz, V., Bondad-Reantaso, M. G., Jie, H., Shinn, A. P., & Sorgeloos, P. (2023). Biosecurity: Reducing the burden of disease. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, 54(2), 397–426.
- <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jwas.12966>
- Sun, Y. Z., Yang, H. L., Ma, R. L., & Lin, W. Y. (2010). Probiotic applications of two dominant gut *Bacillus* strains with antagonistic activity improved the growth performance and immune responses of grouper *Epinephelus coioides*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 29, 803-809.
- Yonar, S. M. (2019). Growth performance, haematological changes, immune response, antioxidant activity, and disease resistance in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fed diet supplemented with ellagic acid. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 95, 391–398.
- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2019.10.056>

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:  
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/4229>