



Assessment of Okra Powdery Mildew (*Erysiphe Cichoracearum* DC) by Using Bio-agents and Chemical Fungicides

Satyandra Malav ^{a*}, J K Babele ^a, Chandra Mohan Meena ^a,
Mohit Malav ^a and Chandra Prakash Sharma ^b

^a Department of Plant Pathology, Institute of Agriculture Science, Bundelkhand University Jhansi, (U.P), India.

^b Department of Plant Pathology, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agriculture University, Bikaner (Rajasthan), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/air/2024/v25i51149>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/122892>

Original Research Article

Received: 15/07/2024

Accepted: 17/09/2024

Published: 18/09/2024

ABSTRACT

The present study aimed to assess okra powdery mildew (*Erysiphe Cichoracearum* DC) by using bio-agents and chemical fungicides. Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) occupies a prominent place in the horticultural wealth and economy of the country. Powdery mildew disease on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) incited by fungus, *Erysiphe cichoracearum* is a limiting factor in the successful cultivation in Bundelkhand region of Uttar Pradesh. Studies were carried out to find out disease management strategies against okra powdery mildew using bioagents and chemical fungicides. Disease incidence declined after first, second and third sprays. The fungicide Hexaconazole (Contaf 5% EC) @ 0.1%, showed significantly lowest disease incidence (19.53 %)

*Corresponding author: E-mail: satyandramalav@gmail.com;

Cite as: Malav, Satyandra, J K Babele, Chandra Mohan Meena, Mohit Malav, and Chandra Prakash Sharma. 2024. "Assessment of Okra Powdery Mildew (*Erysiphe Cichoracearum* DC) by Using Bio-Agents and Chemical Fungicides". *Advances in Research* 25 (5):168-74. <https://doi.org/10.9734/air/2024/v25i51149>.

with maximum (68.40 %) disease control. On the basis of effectiveness in controlling the powdery mildew disease of okra the most effective fungicides recorded in the order of merit were Carbendazim (Bavistin 50WP) @0.1%, Propiconazole (Tilt 25EC) @0.1%, *Trichoderma viride* (3 %), Sulphur (Sulfex 80% WP) @ 0.3%, *Pseudomonas flouresens* (4 %) and *Trichoderma harizum* (4 %).

Keywords: Powdery mildew; *Erysiphe cichoracearum* DC; and okra.

1. INTRODUCTION

“Okra commonly known as lady’s finger or ‘bhendi’ is most delicious vegetable relished world over. It belongs to the family Malvaceae. Okra is important in improving the health of the digestive system and is widespread in the world. Therefore, treating diseases that affect this plant is an important step in improving the quality and quantity of production of this type. It has many benefits, as it is an anti-diabetic, strengthens the immune system, and strengthens bones. India occupies an area of 547.7 thousand hectares with a production of 6889.4 thousand tones and productivity of 12.6 mt/ha”. [1]. “In Maharashtra, it was cultivated on an area of 24.92 thousand hectares with annual production of 338.84 thousand tones and productivity of 13.60 mt /ha” [2]. “A number of fungal, bacterial, viral diseases have been reported in India” [3]. “Amongst the fungal diseases, powdery mildew caused by *Erysiphe cichoracearum* DC. is one of the important and of common occurrence wherever this crop is grown. The occurrence of the disease has been reported from Mexico” [4]. “In India, the disease has been reported to occur in Delhi” [5], Karnataka Sohi and Sokhi, [6] Himachal Pradesh [7] and Maharashtra [8]. “Disease initiates as white minute patches, first on the upper surface of lower leaves or older leaves and then spread to younger ones. While grayish powdery coating is visible on severely affected leaves. Leaves finally show necrosis resulting in withering, drying and defoliation. The powdery mildew affects all growth stages and responsible for yield to the tune of 17 to 86.6 per cent” [9]. Considering the economic importance of the disease the present study was undertaken with the objectives to manage the disease with the help of bioagents and chemical fungicides.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out at the field of Rainfed Organic Agriculture Research Farm Narayan Bagh, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Department of plant pathology, Bundelkhand University, Jhansi (Utter Pradesh) during kharif

Season of 2023. to study the efficacy of different fungicides, bioagents and plant extracts against powdery mildew of okra (*Erysiphe cichoracearum* DC) with nine treatments Sulphur (Sulfex 80% WP) @ 0.3%, Hexaconazole (Contaf 5% EC) @ 0.1%, Propiconazole (Tilt 25 EC) @ 0.1%, Carbendazim (Bavistin 50 WP) @ 0.1%, Neem oil (Azadiractin EC 1%), *Trichoderma Viride* @ 4 g/ lit of water, *Trichoderma harizium* 4 g/ lit of water, *Pseudomonas flouresence* 4 g/ lit of water, Control (Untreated). In Randomized Block Design, plot size: 2.40 X 2 m., Spacing (R×P): 60 cm x 45 cm. Three sprayings of fungicides were given at ten days interval. First spraying was done immediately after first appearance of powdery mildew disease symptoms and subsequent second and third sprayings were given at an interval of 10 days.

The observations on disease incidence were recorded at first appearance of the disease and subsequent three observations were taken after each spraying and per cent incidence was calculated, applying following formula.

$$\text{Per cent disease incidence} = \frac{\text{No. of plant affected}}{\text{Total number of plants observed}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Per cent disease control} = \frac{\text{Control plot} - \text{Treatment plot}}{\text{Control plot}} \times 100$$

Observations on powdery mildew disease severity were recorded on five randomly selected plants. The first observation was taken at first appearance of the disease and subsequent three observations were taken after each spraying. The powdery mildew disease was graded on the basis of disease severity observed on leaves by applying 0-5 disease rating scale developed by Mckineey [10].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Efficacy of Fungicides Against Okra Powdery Mildew

Total nine fungicides were evaluated under field condition against powdery mildew of okra using susceptible variety “Aprana” during Kharif, 2023.

Results obtained in respect of disease incidence, per cent disease control are presented here in following paragraphs.

3.2 Effect on Powdery Mildew Incidence

To study the effect of fungicides on powdery mildew incidence an experiment was carried out and results obtained are presented in (Table 1) and graphically depicted in 28 (Fig. 1). Results revealed that, all the fungicides were found effective and reduced the powdery mildew incidence over control.

The powdery mildew disease incidence at first appearance was ranged from 9.66% to 12.30%. The disease incidence was found to be increased steadily up to second spraying (20.90 to 38.31%). There after in different treatments it was reduced after third spraying which ranged from 19.53 to 31.07 per cent, as against highest incidence (68.40%) in untreated control.

The results revealed that, after second and third sprayings, plot sprayed with hexaconazole recorded disease incidence of 20.90 and 19.53%, respectively, and it was at par with propiconazole, which recorded disease incidence of 26.39% and 24.34% after second and third sprayings, respectively. Rest of the treatments exhibited comparatively maximum disease incidence in the range of 20.90 to 38.31 per cent and 19.53 to 31.07 per cent, respectively, after second and third sprayings.

Thus, data indicated that all the treatments significantly and gradually reduced the powdery

mildew incidence after second and third sprayings over control. Mean disease incidence was ranged from 19.53 to 37.07%. However, it was least in hexaconazole (19.53%) followed by propiconazole (24.34%), carbendazim (22.34%), Tricoderma viride (25.21%) and Sulphur (27.34).

Similar results regarding effectiveness of hexaconazole were reported in pea by Khunti et al. (2002), Banniyal and Rana [11] Singh [12] Surwase et al. [13] and Kacchot et al. [14]. Effectiveness of propiconazole was reported by Nargund et al. [15] in green gram, Basandrai et al. [16] in black gram and Hiremath et al. [17] in pea. Effectiveness of difenconazole, sulphur, carbendazim, mancozeb was reported by Vijaya [18] Shivanna et al. [19] and Bachihal et al. [20] in okra crop. Singh et al. [21] Naik and Nagaraja [22] Khodke and Kakde [23] in mustard. Similar results in pea were reported by Patel et al. [24] Nargund et al. [25] Hiremath et al. [17] in pea and by Chavan et al. [26] in cowpea.

3.3 Effect on Reduction of Powdery Mildew Severity of Okra

Results (Table 2, Fig. 2). indicated that, all the treatments reduced the powdery mildew disease severity, over untreated control, after first, second and third spray treatments. After first spraying, the per cent reduction in disease severity over control was ranged from 52.76% to 21.92%, which after second spraying ranged from 50.72% to 9.67% and after third spraying, ranged from 88.71.45% to 58.67%.




Fig. 1. Effect of fungicides on powdery mildew incidence in okra

Table 1. Effect of fungicides on powdery mildew incidence in okra

S. No.	Treatment	Conc. (%)	PDI at first appearance	Per cent disease incidence after spraying			Mean PDI
				First	Second	Third	
T ₁	Sulphur	0.3%	11.49 (3.46)	16.69 (4.15)	29.43 (5.43)	27.34 (5.28)	27.34 (4.96)
T ₂	Hexaconazole	0.1%	12.27 (3.57)	15.41 (3.99)	20.90 (4.64)	19.53 (4.48)	19.53 (4.36)
T ₃	Propiconazole	0.1 %	11.52 (3.47)	16.85 (4.17)	26.39 (5.19)	24.34 (4.98)	24.34 (4.78)
T ₄	Carbendazim	0.1 %	12.57 (3.62)	14.21 (3.84)	23.27 (4.88)	22.34 (4.78)	22.34 (4.5)
T ₅	Neem oil	4 %	9.66 (3.19)	23.37 (4.89)	38.31 (6.23)	31.07 (5.62)	31.07 (5.58)
T ₆	Tricoderma viride	3 %	13.41 (3.73)	15.35 (3.98)	27.42 (5.28)	25.21 (5.07)	25.21 (4.78)
T ₇	Trichoderma harizum	4 %	11.59 (3.48)	17.22 (4.21)	31.52 (5.66)	28.27 (5.36)	28.27 (5.08)
T ₈	Psedumonas flouresens	4 %	12.3 (3.58)	14.14 (3.83)	28.59 (5.39)	27.71 (5.31)	27.71 (4.84)
T ₉	Control (water spray)	-	11.56 (3.47)	29.93 (5.52)	42.41 (6.55)	68.40 (8.30)	68.4 (6.79)
	S.Em. ±	-	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02	-
	C.D	-	0.11	0.08	0.05	0.05	-

*Mean of three replications; PI- Per cent Incidence.

**Figures in parentheses are arcsine transformed values.

Table 2. Effect on reduction of powdery mildew severity of okra

S.No.	Treatment	Conc. (%)	Per cent disease control after spraying			Mean PDC
			First	Second	Third	
T ₁	Sulphur	0.3%	44.24	30.61	60.03	60.03
T ₂	Hexaconazole	0.1%	48.51	50.72	71.45	71.45
T ₃	Propiconazole	0.1 %	43.70	37.77	64.42	64.42
T ₄	Carbendazim	0.1 %	52.52	45.13	67.34	67.34
T ₅	Neem oil	4 %	21.92	9.67	54.58	54.58
T ₆	Tricoderma viride	3 %	48.71	35.35	63.14	63.14
T ₇	Trichoderma harizum	4 %	42.47	25.68	58.67	58.67
T ₈	Pseudomonas fluorescens	4 %	52.76	32.59	59.49	59.49
T ₉	Control (water spray)	-	44.24	30.61	60.03	60.03

*PDC- Per cent disease control.




Fig. 2. Effect on reduction of powdery mildew severity of okra

Mean per cent disease reduction over untreated control was ranged from 71.45% (hexaconazole) to 60.03 (water spray). However, it was highest in Hexaconazole (71.45%), followed by carbendazim (67.34%), propiconazole (64.42), Tricoderma viride (63.14%), Pseudomonas fluorescens (59.49%), sulphur (60.03%), Tricoderma viride (63.14%) and neem oil (54.58%). The mean reduction in disease over control was observed in water spray (60.03%).

4. CONCLUSION

The present study highlights about the disease with the help of bioagents and chemical fungicides. Amongst the fungal diseases, powdery mildew caused by Erysiphe cichoracearum DC. is one of the important and of common occurrence wherever this crop is grown.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Anonymous; 2022a. Available: <https://www.indiastatagri.com/table/agriculture/selected-state-wise-area-production-productivity-o/1442088>.
2. Anonymous; 2022b. Available: <https://www.indiastatagri.com/table/agriculture/area-productionproductivity-okra-india-1987-1988-/14905#>
3. Khalikar PV, Jagtap GP, Sontakke PL. Management studies of okra powdery mildew (*Erysiphe cichoracearum*) using bio-agents, plant extracts and chemical fungicides. *Indian Phytopathology*. 2011;64(3):286.
4. Diaz Franco A. Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) powdery mildew in Mexico. *Revista Mexicana de Fitopatol*. 1999;17:44-45.
5. Prabhu AS, Phatak KD, Singh RP. Powdery mildew of bhendi (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) in Delhi State. *Indian J. Hort*. 1971;28:310-312.
6. Sohi HS, Sokhi SS. Behaviour of okra varieties to damping off, powdery mildew and cercospora blight. *Indian Phytopath*. 1974;27:91-92.
7. Raj H, Bhardwaj ML, Sharma IM, Sharma NK. Performance of commercial okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) varieties in relation to diseases and insect pests. *Indian J. Agric. Sci*. 1992;63:747-748.
8. Jambhale ND, Nerkar YS. Inheritance of resistance to powdery mildew in okra. *Nat. Sem. Breeding crop plants for resistance to pests and diseases*, May 25-27, 1983, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu; 1983.
9. Sridhar TS, Poonam Sinha. Assessment of loss caused by powdery mildew (*Erysiphe cichoracearum*) of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) and its control. *Indian J. Agric. Sci*. 1989;59:606-607.
10. McKineey. A new system of grading plant disease. *J. Agric. Res*. 1923;26:195-218.
11. Banniyal DK, Rana SK. Fungicidal spray schedule for the management of pea powdery mildew. *J. Mycol. Pl. Pathol*. 2003;33(2):302-304.
12. Singh D. Management of pea diseases with fungicides. *J. Mycol. Pl. Pathol*. 2007;37(3):442-443.
13. Surwase AG, Badgire DR, Suryawanshi AP. Management of pea powdery mildew by fungicides, botanicals and bioagents. *Ann. Pl. Protec. Sci*. 2009;17:384-388.
14. Kachhot P, Rakesh Shah, Mali BL, Jain HK. Mildew of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) caused by *Erysiphe pisi* DC. *J. Pl. Dis. Sci*. 2011;6(1):3943.
15. Nargund VB, Amaresh YS, Sreenivas AG, Hiremath SV. Management of green gram powdery mildew through fungicides. *J. Pl. Dis. Sci*. 2012;7(1):120-121.
16. Basandrai AK, Basandrai D, Mittal P, Sharma BK. Fungicidal management of rust, powdery mildew and *Ascochyta* blight in seed crop of pea. *Pl. Dis. Res*. 2013;28(1):22-28.
17. Hiremath SV, Amaresh YS, Sreenivas AG, Nargund VB. Management of green gram powdery mildew through fungicides. *J. Pl. Dis. Sci*. 2013;7(1):120-121.
18. Vijaya M. Chemical control of powdery mildew of okra. *J. Mycol. Pl. Pathol*. 2004;34:604-605.
19. Shivanna E. Efficacy of fungicides for the management of powdery mildew. *Erysiphe*

- cichoracearum of okra. Indian J. Pl. Prot. 2006;34:85-88.
20. Bachihal S, Amaresh YS, Naik MK, Sunkad G, Sreenivas AG, Hussain A, Asawathanaryan DS. Integrated management of powdery mildew of okra. J. PL Dis. Sci. 2014;9(2):185-189.
 21. Singh NB, Sharmam HK, Srivastava KJ. Chemical control of powdery mildew in okra seed crop. Newsletter, National Hort. Res. Dev. Foundation. 1998;18(4):4
 22. Naik KS, Nagaraja A. Chemical control of powdery mildew of okra Indian J. Pl. Prot. 2000;28 (1):41-42.
 23. Khodke SW, Kakade SU. Effect of chemicals and botanicals on powdery mildew of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). PKV Res. J. 2004;28:61-63.
 24. Patel NR, Jaiman RK, Patel KD, Agalodiya AV, Patel PK. Integrated management of coriander powdery mildew. J. Mycol. Pl. Pathol. 2008;38(3):643-644.
 25. Nargund VB, Amaresh YS, Sreenivas AG, Hiremath SV. Management of green gram powdery mildew through fungicides. J Pl. Dis. Sci. 2012;7(1):120-121.
 26. Chavan SS, Suryawanshi AP, Dhutraj DN, Apet KT. Integrated evaluation of fungicides, botanicals and bioagents against powdery mildew of cowpea. In Souvenir of Nat Symp. (WZ) on Pl. Dis. Diagnostics and IDM for food security. Dec 27-28,2013, VNMKV, Parbhani.:08. 2013.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/122892>