

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 14, Issue 6, Page 436-444, 2024; Article no.IJECC.119090 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Impact of Foliar Application of Plant Growth Regulators in Soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merill)] on Seed Quality and Seedling Establishment

Sachin Nagre ^{a*}, Gyanendra Tiwari ^a, R Shiv Ramakrishnan ^b, Madhana Keerthana S ^a, Mrunal Ghogare ^a, Anubha Upadhyay ^a, R.K. Samaiya ^a and Preeti Sagar Nayak ^a

 ^a Department of Plant Physiology, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur-482004, MP, India.
^b Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Seed Technology Research Centre, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur-482004, MP, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2024/v14i64241

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119090

Original Research Article

Received: 09/04/2024 Accepted: 13/06/2024 Published: 20/06/2024

ABSTRACT

In recent years, climatic adversities such as drought and flooding have severely impacted soybean production, productivity, and seed quality, especially during the *Kharif* season. Identifying the most favorable off-season sowing window is important for optimizing the efficient capture of radiation and partitioning of assimilates targeted for optimum seed yield and seed quality. Experiments were

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: sachinnagre92@jnkvv.org;

Cite as: Nagre, Sachin, Gyanendra Tiwari, R Shiv Ramakrishnan, Madhana Keerthana S, Mrunal Ghogare, Anubha Upadhyay, R.K. Samaiya, and Preeti Sagar Nayak. 2024. "Impact of Foliar Application of Plant Growth Regulators in Soybean [Glycine Max (L.) Merill)] on Seed Quality and Seedling Establishment". International Journal of Environment and Climate Change 14 (6):436-44. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2024/v14i64241.

conducted during the Rabi to Rabi-summer seasons at the Experimental Research Farm. Seed Technology Research Unit, JNKVV, Jabalpur (M.P.) during 2021/2022 and 2022/2023, in a splitsplit plot design. The 28 treatments combinations comparing two dates of sowing with two spray schedules of seven PGRs treatments (T1Control (no spray), T2 (SA) @ 250 ppm, T3 (SA) @ 500 ppm, T4 (TU) @ 500 ppm, T5 (TU) @ 1000 ppm, T6 (CCC) @ 100 ppm, T7 (IBA) @ 200 ppm) were tested in soybean (JS 20-98) in a spilt-split plot design with three replications. The results revealed significant differences in germination percentage, seedling length, vigor index I, and vigor index II across different sowing dates. The Rabi-summer (D2) exhibited a superior germination percentage of 9.60%, seedling length of 2.81%, vigor index I of 12.64%, and vigor index II of 11.31% over the Rabi season (D1). The application of SA at 500 ppm (T3) increased the germination percentage by 1.48%, seedling length by 6.49%, and vigor index I by 9.70% compared to the control (no spray). The results showed that delaying the sowing date doesn't affect the seed quality of soybean, and the foliar application of salicylic acid at 500 ppm enhanced the germination percentage, seedling length, and seed vigor index I and IBA at 200 ppm enhanced the seed vigour index II by 4.66% as compared to the control (no spray). These findings provide promising strategies for improving soybean production under various environmental stress conditions.

Keywords: Germination rate; plant growth regulator; salicylic acid; Thiourea; IBA; CCC; seed quality; soybean.

1. INTRODUCTION

India is the fifth-largest soybean-growing country in the world [1]. Soybean, often referred to as "golden bean, miracle bean", is a major oilseed crop cultivated extensively in India. It contributes 25% of global edible oil and provides about twothirds of the world's protein concentrate for livestock feeding [2]. Therefore, it is unsurprising that there has been a substantial surge in the global demand for soybeans [3,4]. The distinctive chemical composition of soybean seeds, which comprises around 20% oil and 40% protein, along with several nutraceutical compounds such as isoflavones, tocopherol, and lecithin, has established it as one of the most valuable crops in global agriculture [5].

In the past two decades, soybean productivity has been on a downward trend due to various adverse weather conditions, such as extreme temperatures and water scarcity or excess, which have led to a significant decline in soybean seed yield, estimated at around 30% according to Staniak et al. [6]. Environmental variables like changes in day length also contribute to this reduction in soybean yield. Excessive rainfall during the Kharif season adversely affects soybean cultivation, leading to nutrient deficiency and reduced seed quality. Waterlogging limits oxygenation to roots, and nutrient absorption, while heavy rains cause nutrient leaching and soil erosion. Soil compaction root growth, and wet conditions reduces encourage diseases and pests, further reducing vield.

Delayed development and poor pollination worsen pod and seed formation, diminishing overall yield and quality. These circumstances might have a negative impact on seed yield for certain soybean cultivars. Pre-harvest exposure to field weathering may lead to a decline in seed quality for soybeans, which are very susceptible to such conditions. This present challenge in achieving the minimum germination threshold of 70% for the subsequent season [7]. Sowing soybeans during the Rabi season, between November and December, might result in the production of superior seeds that have enhanced germination and vigor [8]. Therefore, to achieve optimal seed yield and quality, we hypothesized to identify a suitable sowing window to mitigate the adverse effects of stresses faced during offseason cultivation and to identify a suitable plant growth regulator for enhancing the seed guality during off-season cultivation.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted during the *Rabi* to *Rabi* summer 2021/2022 and 2022/2023 at the Experimental Farm, Seed Technology Research Unit, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Viswa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh. The experimental area is situated at a latitude of 23°12' N and a longitude of 79°56' E. At the duration of experiment temperature ranged from 17.2°C to 43.4 °C in 2021-2022 and 16.2 °C to 41.6 °C in 2022-2023. Both year at the vegetative duration of crop favorable climate occurred and at flowering to physiological maturity temperature rises. Therefore we conduct

the seed quality parameter analysis and identifying suitable treatment.

We selected the soybean variety JS 20-98 for sowing during the Rabi and Rabi-summer seasons due to its high yielding potential under both normal and adverse conditions. We conducted the sowing in the first week of January (D1) and the final week of January (D2) consecutively. Because environmental variables like changes in day length also contribute to this reduction in soybean yield and seed quality, excessive rainfall and drought spells during the Kharif season adversely affect soybean cultivation, leading to nutrient deficiency and reduced seed quality. We sprayed plant growth regulators foliarly at two distinct stages: the vegetative stage (S1) and the flowering stage (S2). The plant growth regulators used were detailed as follows:

T1	: Control (No spray)
T2	: Salicylic acid (SA) at 250 ppm
Т3	: Salicylic acid (SA) at 500 ppm
T4	: Thiourea (TU) at 500 ppm
T5	: Thiourea (TU) at 1000 ppm
T6	: Cycocel (CCC) at 100 ppm
T7	: Indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) at 200 ppm

The laboratory analytical work was conducted at the Department of Plant Physiology and, Seed Technology Research Centre, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur. After the crop's harvest, we prepared the seeds for germination testing using the paper towel method, in accordance with the 2006 International Seed Testing Association (ISTA) protocol [9]. The Vigour Index (I) and Index (II) was calculated using the method provided by Abdul-Baki and Anderson [10].

To perform the statistical analysis, we used R version 4.2.2 statistical software. We conducted a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) at a significance level of 5%. We reported the findings as the average of three replications.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Influence of Different Treatments on Germination (%) rate and Seedling Length

The analysis of variance carried out on the data from two consecutive years (2021-2022 and 2022-2023), and pooled data, showed a

significant variation in germination %, seedling length, vigour index I, and vigour index II in different dates of sowing (Tables 1 and 2).

Seed quality is affected by several factors, including environmental conditions, genetic traits, soil moisture and fertility levels [11]. The high temperature during the seed-filling stage reduces germination percentage the [12]. High temperatures during seed filling often lead to abnormal, shriveled, and lower-quality seeds Heat stress. before seeds [13]. reach physiological maturity, can prevent the plant from providing the necessary nutrients for storage compounds, causing physiological damage that inhibits germination [14,15].

The results from the pooled analysis of two consecutive years (Tables 1 and 3) indicated that the germination percentage ranged from 79.17% to 91.00%. There was a significant difference in germination percentage regarding the date of sowing, with D2 (89.52%) having higher germination and D1 (81.68%) having lower germination (Fig. 1). We found that Rabi-summer sown seeds resulted in higher seed vigor, whereas Rabi-sown seeds had lower seed vigor. Our findings are similar to those of Shaheb et al. who reported that significant [16]. а difference was observed due to different sowing dates in the germination percentage of wheat seeds.

On the other hand, the spray schedule also showed a significant difference, with S1 (85.99%) having a higher germination percentage than S2 (85.21%). While our studies have shown that foliar spray of plant growth regulators at the vegetative stage increased the germination percentage, there is limited research on observing the germination percentage of foliar spray of plant growth regulators at the vegetative stage.

Foliar spray showed a non-significant difference, but numerically, T3 (SA at 500ppm) (86.79%) increased germination percentage as compared to other treatments. Our findings are similar to those of Jadhav et al. [17], who reported that the salicylic application of acid at different concentrations increased the germination percentage in groundnut cultivars.

The results from the pooled analysis of two consecutive years (Tables 1 and 3) indicated that the seedling length (cm) ranged from 25.29 cm to 29.68 cm. With respect to the date of sowing, a

significant difference was observed for seedling length, with D2 (28.15 cm) having the highest seedling length, followed by D1 (27.38cm). Among the spray schedules, the numerically highest seedling length was found in S2 (28.00 cm), followed by S1 (27.53 cm). Our studies have shown that foliar spray of plant growth regulators at the flowering stage increased seedling length. However, there is limited research on observing seedling length on foliar spray of plant growth regulators at the flowering stage of soybean.

Table 1. Effect of sowing da	ates, spray scheduled and PGRs t	oliar spray on seed germination
pei	rcentage and seedling length of s	oybean

Treatments	Ger	mination per	centage	Seedling length (cm)							
	2022	2023	Pooled	2022	2023	Pooled					
Main plot: Date of	Sowing (D)										
D1	81.43 ^b	81.93 ^b	81.68 ^b	27.07 ^a	27.68ª	27.38 ^b					
D2	91.02ª	88.02 ^a	89.52 ^a	27.72 ^a	28.59 ^a	28.15 ^a					
Subplot: Spray Schedule (S)											
S1	86.57ª	85.40 ^a	85.99 ^a	26.94 ^a	28.13ª	27.53ª					
S2	85.88ª	84.55 ^b	85.21 ^b	27.85 ^a	28.15ª	28.00 ^a					
Sub-sub plot: Folia	ar spray (T)										
T1	84.17ª	84.50 ^a	84.33 ^a	26.48 ^a	27.19 ^a	26.83 ^a					
T2	85.83ª	84.83ª	85.33ª	27.81ª	28.16ª	27.98 ^a					
Т3	87.83 ^a	85.75ª	86.79 ^a	28.62ª	28.51ª	28.57ª					
T4	87.00 ^a	84.50 ^a	85.75 ^a	28.56ª	28.16ª	28.36 ^a					
T5	86.17 ^a	85.08 ^a	85.62 ^a	27.60 ^a	28.35 ^a	27.97 ^a					
Т6	85.92ª	85.33ª	85.62ª	25.58ª	27.62ª	26.60 ^a					
Τ7	86.67ª	84.83ª	85.75 ^a	27.11ª	28.99 ^a	28.05 ^a					
Interaction – Date	of Sowing x	Spray Sched	ule (D x S)								
D1S1	82.38 ^b	82.19°	82.29 ^b	26.37ª	27.74 ^{ab}	27.06 ^b					
D1S2	80.48 ^c	81.67 ^c	81.07 ^c	27.78 ^a	27.63 ^b	27.70 ^{ab}					
D2S1	90.76 ^a	88.62 ^a	89.69 ^a	27.51ª	28.51 ^{ab}	28.01 ^{ab}					
D2S2	91.29ª	87.43 ^b	89.36ª	27.92 ^a	28.67ª	28.30 ^a					
Interaction – Date	of Sowing x	Treatments (D x T)								
D1T1	79.33°	81.17 ^b	80.25 ^b	26.13ª	27.16ª	26.65 ^a					
D1T2	80.33°	82.17 ^b	81.25 ^b	27.00 ^a	27.41 ^a	27.21ª					
D1T3	83.67 ^{bc}	82.83 ^b	83.25 ^b	28.23 ^a	27.98 ^a	28.11ª					
D1T4	83.00 ^{bc}	81.67 ^b	82.33 ^b	29.72 ^a	27.76 ^a	28.74 ^a					
D1T5	81.00 ^c	82.17 ^b	81.58 ^b	27.35 ^a	27.99 ^a	27.67 ^a					
D1T6	80.83 ^c	81.67 ^b	81.25 ^b	24.95 ^a	27.06 ^a	26.01 ^a					
D1T7	81.83 ^c	81.83 ^b	81.83 ^b	26.13 ^a	28.43 ^a	27.28 ^a					
D2T1	89.00 ^{ab}	87.83 ^a	88.42 ^a	26.83 ^a	27.21ª	27.02 ^a					
D2T2	91.33ª	87.50 ^a	89.42 ^a	28.62 ^a	28.91ª	28.76 ^a					
D2T3	92.00 ^a	88.67 ^a	90.33 ^a	29.02 ^a	29.03 ^a	29.03 ^a					
D2T4	91.00 ^a	87.33 ^a	89.17 ^a	27.40 ^a	28.56ª	27.98 ^a					
D2T5	91.33 ^a	88.00 ^a	89.67 ^a	27.85 ^a	28.71 ^a	28.28 ^a					
D2T6	91.00 ^a	89.00 ^a	90.00 ^a	26.22 ^a	28.17ª	27.20 ^a					
D2T7	91.50 ^a	87.83 ^a	89.67 ^a	28.08 ^a	29.54 ^a	28.81ª					
Interaction – Spray	/ Schedule x	Treatments	(S x T)								
S1T1	84.50 ^a	84.67 ^a	84.58 ^a	25.38ª	26.81ª	26.10 ^a					
S1T2	86.50 ^a	84.83 ^a	85.67 ^a	28.07 ^a	28.36 ^a	28.21 ^a					
S1T3	88.67 ^a	86.17 ^a	87.42 ^a	27.88 ^a	28.58 ^a	28.23 ^a					
S1T4	87.33ª	85.00 ^a	86.17 ^a	28.45 ^a	28.22ª	28.33 ^a					
S1T5	86.67 ^a	85.83 ^a	86.25 ^a	27.23ª	28.25 ^a	27.74 ^a					
S1T6	86.00 ^a	86.50 ^a	86.25 ^a	25.60 ^a	27.90 ^a	26.75 ^a					
S1T7	86.33ª	84.83 ^a	85.58 ^a	25.97ª	28.77 ^a	27.37 ^a					
S2T1	83.83 ^a	84.33 ^a	84.08 ^a	27.58 ^a	27.56 ^a	27.57 ^a					

Nagre et al.; Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 436-444, 2024; Article no.IJECC.119090

Treatments	Ge	rmination per	rcentage	Seedling length (cm)				
	2022	2023	Pooled	2022	2023	Pooled		
S2T2	85.17ª	84.83 ^a	85.00 ^a	27.55ª	27.96 ^a	27.76 ^a		
S2T3	87.00 ^a	85.33 ^a	86.17 ^a	29.37 ^a	28.43 ^a	28.90 ^a		
S2T4	86.67 ^a	84.00 ^a	85.33ª	28.67 ^a	28.10 ^a	28.38 ^a		
S2T5	85.67 ^a	84.33 ^a	85.00 ^a	27.97 ^a	28.45 ^a	28.21ª		
S2T6	85.83 ^a	84.17 ^a	85.00 ^a	25.57 ^a	27.34 ^a	26.45 ^a		
S2T7	87.00 ^a	84.83 ^a	85.92 ^a	28.25 ^a	29.20 ^a	28.73 ^a		

The values with identical letters are not significantly different at the p<0.05 level

Whereas: D1: Rabi sown; D2: Rabi-summer; vegetative stage (S1); flowering stage (S2); T1: Control (no spray); T2: Salicylic acid (SA) at 250 ppm; T3: Salicylic acid (SA) at 500 ppm; T4: Thiourea (TU) at 500 ppm; T5: Thiourea (TU) at 1000 ppm; T6: Cycocel (CCC) at 100 ppm; and T7: Indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) at 200 ppm



Fig. 1. Effect of foliar sprays of plant growth regulators on the seed germination percentage of soybean

Among the foliar applications of plant growth regulators, the numerically maximum seedling length (28.57 cm) was observed for treatment T3 (SA at 500 ppm), which is on par with all other treatments, whereas the minimum seedling length (26.60 cm) was observed for T6 (CCC at100ppm). Our findings are similar to those of Shaheb et al. [16], who reported that a significant difference was observed due to different sowing dates on the seedling length of wheat seeds.

3.2 Influence of Different Treatments on Seed Vigour Index I and II

The seed vigor index measures overall seed performance, including the rate and uniformity of seedling growth, emergence in unfavorable conditions, and post-storage viability. High temperatures during seed development and maturation can greatly reduce seed vigor, resulting in lower seed quality [18]. High temperatures can cause significant physiological damage to seeds, resulting in reduced seed vigor. This damage is particularly critical during the seed-filling stage, when seeds are highly susceptible to temperature stress [19].

The results from the pooled analysis of two consecutive years (Tables 2 and 3) indicated the range of seed vigour index I was found to be 2055.25 to 2581.13. Seed vigour index I varied significantly, with the highest (2520.36) in D2, followed by D1 (2237.53). With respect to the spray schedule, seed vigour index I was found to

be numerically higher (2387.77) in S2, followed by 2370.12 in S1. With respect to the foliar application of plant growth regulators, the numerically highest (2482.08) seed vigour index I was found to be in treatment T3 (SA at 500 ppm) which is on par with all other treatments, and seed vigour index I was found to be the lowest (2262.70) in control T1.

Table 2	. Effect of	sowing	dates,	spray so	cheduled	and	PGRs	foliar	spray	on	vigour	index	I and
			v	igour ind	lex II of s	soybe	ean see	eds					

Treatments		Vigour Index-	Vigour Index-II								
	2022	2023	Pooled	2022	2023	Pooled					
Main plot: Date of Sowing (D)											
D1	2207.36 ^b	2267.70 ^b	2237.53 ^b	46.58 ^b	47.48 ^a	47.03 ^b					
D2	2524.00 ^a	2516.71 ^a	2520.36 ^a	53.48 ^a	51.23ª	52.35 ^a					
Subplot: Spray	Schedule (S)										
S1	2337.62 ^a	2402.62 ^a	2370.12 ^a	49.15 ^a	48.31ª	48.73ª					
S2	2393.74 ^a	2381.79 ^a	2387.77 ^a	50.91ª	50.40 ^a	50.65 ^a					
Sub-sub plot: Fe	oliar spray (T)										
T1	2228.10ª	2297.31ª	2262.70 ^a	48.96ª	47.58ª	48.27ª					
T2	2393.06ª	2389.45ª	2391.26ª	47.94ª	48.22ª	48.08 ^a					
Т3	2518.78ª	2445.39 ^a	2482.08 ^a	51.50ª	48.49 ^a	50.00 ^a					
T4	2482.86 ^a	2380.86 ^a	2431.86 ^a	51.03ª	49.25 ^a	50.14 ^a					
T5	2380.43ª	2412.89 ^a	2396.66ª	49.48 ^a	51.25ª	50.36 ^a					
T6	2201.94ª	2359.64ª	2280.79 ^a	50.47 ^a	50.50 ^a	50.49 ^a					
T7	2354.61ª	2459.91ª	2407.26 ^a	50.82ª	50.22ª	50.52ª					
Interaction – Da	te of Sowing	x Spray Schedule	(D x S)								
D1S1	2176.73 ^b	2279.09 ^b	2227.91 ^b	45.63 ^b	46.97 ^b	46.30°					
D1S2	2237.99 ^b	2256.31 ^b	2247.15 ^b	47.53 ^b	48.00 ^b	47.77 ^{bc}					
D2S1	2498.50 ^a	2526.15 ^a	2512.33 ^a	52.67 ^a	49.66 ^{ab}	51.16 ^{ab}					
D2S2	2549.50 ^a	2507.28 ^a	2528.39 ^a	54.28 ^a	52.80 ^a	53.54ª					
Interaction – Da	te of Sowing	x Treatments (D x	T)								
D1T1	2065.77 ^a	2203.92°	2134.84 ^b	45.72 ^{ab}	44.65 ^a	45.18 [°]					
D1T2	2172.87ª	2250.88 ^{de}	2211.87 ^{ab}	42.98 ^b	47.70 ^a	45.34 ^{bc}					
D1T3	2367.22 ^a	2316.86 ^{bcde}	2342.04 ^{ab}	48.43 ^{ab}	47.37 ^a	47.90 ^{abc}					
D1T4	2470.27ª	2267.20 ^{cde}	2368.73 ^{ab}	47.88 ^{ab}	47.25 ^a	47.56 ^{abc}					
D1T5	2216.88ª	2298.54 ^{cde}	2257.71 ^{ab}	45.37 ^{ab}	49.02 ^a	47.19 ^{abc}					
D1T6	2016.30ª	2210.77°	2113.54°	48.79 ^{ab}	47.64 ^a	48.22 ^{abc}					
D1T7	2142.22ª	2325.72 ^{abcde}	2233.97 ^{ab}	46.89 ^{ab}	48.76 ^a	47.82 ^{abc}					
D2T1	2390.43 ^a	2390.70 ^{abcde}	2390.57 ^{ab}	52.21 ^{ab}	50.51ª	51.36 ^{abc}					
D2T2	2613.25ª	2528.03 ^{abc}	2570.64ª	52.90ª	48.73ª	50.81 ^{abc}					
D2T3	2670.33ª	2573.91ªb	2622.12ª	54.58ª	49.61ª	52.09 ^{abc}					
D2T4	2495.45ª	2494.53 ^{abcd}	2494.99 ^{ab}	54.18ª	51.25ª	52.71 ^{ab}					
D2T5	2543.97ª	2527.24 ^{abc}	2535.60 ^{ab}	53.59ª	53.47ª	53.53ª					
D2T6	2387.58 ^a	2508.50 ^{abcd}	2448.04 ^{ab}	52.15 ^{ab}	53.36ª	52.76 ^{ab}					
D2T7	2567.00ª	2594.10 ^a	2580.55ª	54.74ª	51.68ª	53.21ª					
Interaction – Sp	ray Schedule	x Treatments (S x	(T)								
S1I1	2148.98ª	2269.80 ^a	2209.39ª	48.57ª	46.08ª	47.32 ^a					
S112	2431.57ª	2403.52ª	2417.54 ^a	46.92ª	46.69 ^a	46.80 ^a					
S113	2476.17ª	2463.08ª	2469.62ª	50.01ª	46.30ª	48.16ª					
S114	2486.02ª	2400.21ª	2443.11ª	50.62ª	49.43ª	50.02ª					
S115	2367.53ª	2425.69 ^a	2396.61ª	48.16 ^a	50.20ª	49.18 ^a					
S116	2204.35ª	2413.97ª	2309.16ª	50.54ª	49.95ª	50.24ª					
5117	2248.72	2442.08ª	2345.40	49.25ª	49.53ª	49.39ª					
S2I1	2307.22ª	2324.82ª	2316.02ª	49.36ª	49.08ª	49.22ª					
S212	2354.55	2375.39ª	2364.97ª	48.96ª	49.74ª	49.35ª					
S213	2561.38ª	2427.70ª	2494.54ª	52.99ª	50.67ª	51.83ª					
5214	2479.70ª	2361.52ª	2420.61ª	51.44ª	49.07ª	50.25ª					
5215	2393.32	2400.08	2396.70	50.80ª	52.30ª	51.55ª					
5216	2199.53°	2305.30°	2252.41°	50.41°	51.05°	50.73°					
5217	∠460.50ª	24//./4°	2469.12°	52.38°	50.91°	51.64°					

The values with identical letters are not significantly different at p<0.05 level

Table 3. Results of the two-way ANOVA and Duncan's multiple range tests for the comparative effects of plant growth regulators on seed quality attributes of soybean under different dates of sowing and foliar application of plant growth regulators

Treatments	Ge	rminati	on %	Seedling lengt		length	Vigour Index I			Vigour Index II			
	2022	2023	Pooled	2022	2023	Pooled	2022	2023	Pooled	2022	2023	Pooled	
Main plot: Date of Sowing (D)													
SEm±	0.23	0.29	0.19	0.22	0.24	0.05	18.55	18.76	12.99	0.45	1.27	0.82	
SD	0.33	0.42	0.27	0.31	0.34	0.07	26.24	26.54	18.36	0.63	1.79	1.16	
CD(P≤5%)	1.42**	1.79**	1.16**	1.35	1.45	0.32**	112.89**	114.18*	79.02**	2.71**	7.71	4.97*	
Subplot: Spray Schedule (S)													
SEm±	0.28	0.12	0.16	0.33	0.17	0.19	28.43	15.74	19.19	0.70	0.70	0.62	
SD	0.39	0.17	0.23	0.47	0.24	0.27	40.20	22.27	27.14	0.99	0.99	0.88	
CD(P≤5%)	1.09	0.47**	0.64*	1.30	0.66	0.76	111.62	61.82	75.37	2.74	2.74	2.43	
Sub-sub plo	Sub-sub plot: Foliar spray (T)												
SEm±	0.92	0.63	0.67	0.98	0.43	0.63	95.01	38.10	59.89	1.36	1.26	1.05	
SD	1.30	0.89	0.95	1.38	0.60	0.89	134.36	53.89	84.70	1.92	1.78	1.49	
CD(P≤5%)	2.62	1.80	1.91	2.77	1.21	1.78	270.16	108.34	170.29	3.86	3.59	2.99	
Interaction -	- Date o	of Sowii	ng x Spra	ay Sch	edule	(D x S)							
SEm±	0.36	0.32	0.25	0.40	0.29	0.20	33.95	24.49	23.17	0.83	1.45	1.03	
SD	0.51	0.45	0.35	0.56	0.41	0.28	48.01	34.64	32.77	1.17	2.05	1.45	
CD(P≤5%)	1.54*	0.66	0.90	1.83	0.93	1.07	157.85	87.43	106.58	3.88	3.88	3.44	
Interaction -	- Date o	of Sowii	ng x Trea	atment	s (D x	T)							
SEm±	1.23	0.88	0.90	1.30	0.61	0.82	125.77	53.30	79.48	1.83	2.08	1.60	
SD	1.74	1.24	1.27	1.83	0.86	1.16	177.87	75.38	112.40	2.59	2.94	2.26	
CD(P≤5%)	3.70	2.54	2.70	3.92	1.72	2.52	382.06	153.22	240.83	5.46	5.07	4.23	
Interaction -	- Spray	Sched	ule x Tre	atmen	ts (S x	(T)							
SEm±	1.24	0.84	0.90	1.32	0.58	0.84	127.60	52.31	80.73	1.91	1.79	1.51	
SD	1.75	1.18	1.27	1.86	0.83	1.19	180.46	73.98	114.17	2.70	2.54	2.14	
CD(P≤5%)	3.70	2.54	2.70	3.92	1.72	2.52	382.06	153.22	240.83	5.46	5.07	4.23	

a F-values. ns: not significant F ratio (p < 0.05); SEm± - Standard error mean, SD – Standard deviation; CD – Critical difference; *, ** and ** indicate significance at P < 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively.

The results from the pooled analysis of two consecutive years (Tables 2 and 3) indicated that the range of seed vigour index II was found to be 44.73 to 54.36. Regarding the date of sowing, seed vigour index II was found to be significant. The highest (52.35) seed vigour index II was found in D2, followed by 47.03 in D1. Among the spray schedules, S2 recorded the numerically highest (50.65) seed vigour index II followed by 48.73 in S1. Among the foliar sprays of plant growth regulators, it was observed that foliar application of IBA @ 200 ppm (T7) resulted in the numerically highest seed vigour index II (50.52), which is on par with T3 (50.00), T4 (50.14), T5 (5036), and T6 (50.49). In contrast, the SA at 250ppm (T2) recorded the lowest seed vigour index II (48.08), which is on par with treatment control T1 (48.27). Our results align with Sharma et al. [19], who found that germination percentages were statistically similar across different sowing dates as temperatures increased. Elevated temperatures can reduce

seed vigor by limiting the supply of photosynthetic assimilates and causing physiological damage, leading to decreased seedling growth and emergence under stressful conditions [20].

Our studies have shown that foliar sprays of plant growth regulators at the vegetative stage increased the seed vigour index I and II, there is limited research on observing the seed vigour index of foliar sprays of plant growth regulators.

4. CONCLUSION

High-temperature stress during the seed-filling stage and maturation significantly affects the germination percentage, seedling length, and seed vigor index I, and II of soybeans. Our findings indicate that foliar application of salicylic acid at 250 ppm improves the seed quality attributes of soybeans grown in the *Rabi* and *Rabi* summer seasons. Additional investigation is necessary to ascertain the molecular examination of seeds for their vigor.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Global Market Analysis, FAS, Budget summary; 2024.
- Agarwal DK, Billore SD, Sharma AN, Dupare BU, Srivastava SK. Soybean: introduction, improvement, and utilization in India—problems and prospects. Agricultural Research. 2013;2 (4):293-300.
- 3. Kumar A, Ramesh R, Ramprasad E. Effect of plant growth regulators on morphological, physiological and biochemical parameters of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill). Biotechnology and bioforensics: new trends. 2015:61-71.
- Kumar B, Ram H, Sarlach RS. Enhancing seed yield and quality of Egyptian clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.) with foliar application of bio-regulators. Field Crops Research. 2013;146:25-30.
- Siddique Summra, Sana-e-Mustafa Saggo, Ali Aslam Saggo, and Memoona Amam. 'Physiological and Nutraceutical Properties of Soybean (*Glycine max.* L)'. Agricultural Sciences. IntechOpen; 2024. DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.113864.
- Staniak M, Szpunar-Krok E, Kocira A. Responses of soybean to selected abiotic stresses—Photoperiod, temperature and water. Agriculture. 2023; 13(1):146.
- 7. Dupare BU, Billore SD, Joshi OP. Farmers' Problems Associated with Cultivation of Soybean in Madhya Pradesh, India. Nong Ye Ke Xue Yu Ji Shu. 2010;4(6):71.
- 8. Mohod NB, Borah A, Goswami P, Koshariya AK, Sahoo S. The International

Year of Millet 2023: A Global Initiative for Sustainable Food Security and Nutrition. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2023;35(19):1204-1211.

- 9. ISTA N. ISTA method validation for seed testing. The International Seed Testing Association, Bassersdorf, Switzerland; 2006.
- Abdul-Baki AA, Anderson JD. Vigor determination in soybean seed by multiple criteria 1. Crop Science. 1973;13(6):630-633.
- Soleymani A, Shahrajabian MH. Changes in germination and seedling growth of different cultivars of cumin to drought stress. Cercetări Agronomice în Moldova. 2018;51(1):91-100. DOI: 10.2478/cerce-2018-0008.
- Khalil SK, Mexal JG, Rehman ABDUR, Khan AZ, Wahab SAID, Zubair MUHAMMAD, Mohammad F. Soybean mother plant exposure to temperature stress and its effect on germination under osmotic stress. Pak. J. Bot, 2010;42(1): 213-225.
- 13. Spears JF, TeKrony DM, Egli DB. Temperature during seed filling and soybean seed germination and vigour. 1997:233-244.
- 14. Dornbos Jr DL, McDonald Jr, MB. Mass and composition of developing soybean seeds at five reproductive growth stages 1. Crop Science. 1986;26(3):624-630.
- 15. Powell AA, Basra AS. Handbook of seed science and technology; 2006.
- Shaheb, Md Rayhan, Md Nazmul Islam, M. Siddikur Rahman, Ashratun Nessa Ashratun Nessa. Effect of sowing time and variety on seed germination and vigour index of wheat. 2016:127-136.
- 17. Jadhav SH, Bhamburdekar SB. Effect of salicylic acid on germination performance in groundnut. International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology. 2011;2(4):224-227.
- Basu S, Groot SPC. Seed Vigour and Invigoration. In: Dadlani, M, Yadava DK. (eds) Seed Science and Technology. Springer, Singapore; 2023.
- Sharma S, Singh V, Tanwar H, Mor VS, Kumar M, Punia RC, Singh J. Impact of high temperature on germination, seedling growth and enzymatic activity of wheat. Agriculture. 2022;12(9): 1500.

Nagre et al.; Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 436-444, 2024; Article no.IJECC.119090

20. Maity A, Paul D, Lamichaney A, Sarkar A, Babbar N, Mandal N, Chakrabarty Chakrabarty SK. Climate change impacts on seed production and quality: Current knowledge, implications, and mitigation strategies. Seed Science and Technology. 2023;51 (1):7-38.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119090