



# Prevalence of Major Haemoparasites in Cattle at Department of Kounahiri, Côte d'Ivoire

Aristide Tiba <sup>a\*</sup>, Ouation Souleymane Soro <sup>b</sup>, Nawolo Yéo <sup>b</sup>,  
Zahouli Faustin Zouh Bi <sup>c</sup>, Jacques Dahno Monney <sup>a</sup>  
and Yahaya Karamoko <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Natural Sciences Training and Research Unit, Nangui Abrogoua University, 02 BP 801 Abidjan 02, Côte d'Ivoire.

<sup>b</sup> Biological Sciences Training and Research Unit, Department of Animal Biology, University Peleforo Gon Coulibaly, BP 1328 Korhogo, Côte d'Ivoire.

<sup>c</sup> Nangui Abrogoua University, Ecology Research Center, 08 BP 109 Abidjan 08, Côte d'Ivoire.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors AT and JDM designed the study. Author AT data collection, analysis and interpretation and wrote the first draft of the manuscript.

Authors OSS, NY and ZFZB critical revision of intellectual content. Author YK overall project supervision, manuscript review and final approval. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPR/2023/v12i6247

### Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107398>

Original Research Article

Received: 04/08/2023

Accepted: 08/10/2023

Published: 13/10/2023

## ABSTRACT

**Aims:** This study was initiated to inventory the various haemoparasites and assess their prevalence in animals in this department.

**Place and Duration of Study:** This work took place from May 2020 to December 2022 in the department of Kounahiri.

**Methodology:** 400 randomly selected cattle were used for the samples. Using a lancet, the ear was pricked to draw blood while immobilizing the animal. A drop was then placed on a slide and

\*Corresponding author: Email: [aristidetiba@gmail.com](mailto:aristidetiba@gmail.com);

another slide was beveled at an angle of around 30° and brought into contact with the drop of blood. The smear is then taken on clean, dry slides. The smears are fixed in 95% methanol and stained with Giemsa diluted 1:10 in distilled water, then observed under a light microscope.

**Results:** The results showed that three types of blood parasites were identified through microscopic observations. The respective infection rates were 87.25% for *Anaplasma*, 64% for *Babesia*, and 21.75% for *Theileria*. Among the observed species of blood parasites, *Anaplasma marginale* was the most widespread with a significant infection prevalence of 77.5% in cattle. Furthermore, within all age groups, this pathogen (*Anaplasma marginale*) had the highest infection rate. The respective prevalence rates were 73.58% in cattle aged between 01-12 months, 78.90% in those of 13-24 months, and 78.92% in cattle aged more than 25 months. No difference in infection rates was observed based on the age of the animals. Additionally, no difference was detected in the seasonal distribution of *Anaplasma marginale*. The infection prevalencies during the two seasons were 73% at the beginning of the rainy season and 82% at the beginning of the dry season.

**Conclusion:** It will be essential to promote training for livestock farmers in terms of livestock health management.

**Keywords:** Côte d'Ivoire; blood parasite; *Anaplasma marginale*; cattle; infection.

## ABBREVIATIONS

INS : Institut Nation de la Statistique;  
A. marginale : *Anaplasma marginale*;  
A. centrale : *Anaplasma centrale*;  
B. bovis : *Babesia bovis*;  
B. bigemina : *Babesia bigemina*.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Tick-borne haemoparasites are a major obstacle to the development and improvement of cattle breeding worldwide, especially in Africa [1]. In Kounahiri department, no studies have been conducted up to date to assess the prevalence of tick-borne haemoparasites of cattle. Thus, our study is the first to provide information on their prevalence, based on smear analyzed with parasitological methods. In addition, most of haemo parasitosis transmitted by ticks can have a negative impact on animal health, the livestock industry and, sometimes, on humans. Bovine blood parasitic diseases, such as babesiosis, theileriosis, and anaplasmosis, are widely distributed throughout tropical and sub-tropical regions including Côte d'Ivoire. Infections can be deadly to farm animals but are also known to be the cause of fever, anorexia, jaundice, increased abortion rates, and sterility [2]. *Anaplasma marginale* infection can also lead to reduced milk production, abortion in pregnant females and, in extreme cases, death of the animal [3]. According to studies carried [4], ticks of the genus *Dermacentor*, *Ixodes*, *Amblyomma* and *Rhipicephalus* are important vectors that cause a great deal of damage to cattle in the Punjab region of Pakistan. This was the case in recent

studies by [5], in which numerous cases of co-infection by *Babesia* spp, *Theileria* spp and *Anaplasma* spp were reported in cattle. A variety of parasite species belonging to these genera are common and widespread blood parasites worldwide, with significant economic, medical, and veterinary consequences [6]. Although some are benign or low pathogenic, others can cause a wide range of symptoms and even death. A range of prevalence of the piroplasmids in different animals was recorded worldwide [7,8]. Accordingly, there have been many reports of *Babesia* spp., *Theileria* spp., and *Anaplasma* spp. co-infections in cattle [5]. They can be adversely impacting animal health, the livestock industry, and on occasion, human beings [2]. These haemo parasitosis caused by protozoa (*Theileria* and *Babesia*) and bacteria (*Anaplasma/Ehrlichia*) pose a serious challenge to the health and well-being of livestock, particularly in tropical and subtropical regions. In Côte d'Ivoire, the distribution of hosts, pathogens and vectors overlap [9]. As a result, a parasitological study was conducted throughout the country (Côte d'Ivoire) to map the distribution of tick-borne haemoparasites. Furthermore, three haemoparasites were identified in all livestock areas in Côte d'Ivoire, with very high prevalencies for A. marginale and B. bovis. However, in a previous, we fund low prevalencies for these haemoparasites using light microscopy in three livestock areas (below 10%) [10]. Furthermore, data on haemoparasites in Côte d'Ivoire are scarce and limited to a few departments within the different districts [11-13]. On the diagnostic front, microscopic examination of blood smear has been widely used to detect

the piroplasm stage of parasites in erythrocytes [14]. In order to ensure that cattle farming is conducted in a sensible and sustainable manner, it is therefore necessary that the diversity of *Anaplasma* and other blood parasites be documented for the control of the causative pathogens. To the best of our knowledge, fewer previous reports illustrate the distribution and microscopic identification of haemoparasites in cattle. In order to improve cattle productivity while effectively combating food insecurity and reducing economic losses caused by parasitic diseases, this study was initiated to inventory the various haemoparasites and assess their prevalence in animals in this department.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Study Site

This work took place from May 2020 to December 2022 in the department of Kounahiri in

the Béré region. Kounahiri is located 520 km far away from Abidjan. It is located in the central-northern part of Côte d'Ivoire between 7°30' and 8°10' N latitudes and 5°40' and 6°20' W longitudes, covering an area of 2,110 km<sup>2</sup>, with an estimated population of 101,111 inhabitants [15]. The majority of the population is rural and engaged in agriculture. The department lies between the medium forest agro-climatic zones and the low forest zone (Fig. 1).

### 2.2 Materials

Our sampling focused on zebu cattle as it is the only breed found in the livestock of this locality. Standard equipment for the identification of blood parasites was used. This equipment included an optical microscope, immersion oil, Giemsa stain, microscope slides, 95% methanol, lancet, microcapillary tube, a slide holder, and gloves.




Fig. 1. Map of Kounahiri department

## 2.3 Methods

The samples were collected during two periods (May to June 2021 and November to December 2022) due to the availability of farmers and internal transhumance movements.

For a more representative sample, 57 samples were collected per farm out of the 35 used for this study. In exceptional cases, one sample was added because of the clinical condition of the animals. Our study included a total of 400 cattle, with 200 randomly selected for sampling during the dry season (November to December 2022) and 200 during the rainy season (May to June 2021). The animals were aged 01-12 months, 13-24 months and 25 months and over, and of different sexes (male and female). The samples were collected from the auricular vein. Thus, the animal was immobilized and the base of the ear was compressed. A lancet was then used to prick the ear and collect the blood sample. The blood was collected using a microcapillary tube. A drop of blood is then placed on a slide and another slide is beveled at a 30° angle. The beveled slide is then brought into contact with the blood drop, and as it spreads by capillary action and slides forwards, the smear is applied to clean, dry slides. The smears were fixed in 95% methanol and stained with Giemsa diluted at 1/10 with distilled water. The smears were then observed under an optical microscope to detect Anaplasma and other erythrocytic forms of piroplasm. The microscopic observation was performed at 100x magnification using immersion oil, and the results were recorded. After observing 3/4 of the observable fields, the smears were considered negative if no parasites were detected. All analyses were carried out at the Korhogo Regional Laboratory (LRK), a branch of the National Agricultural Development Support Laboratory (LANADA).

## 2.4 Statistical Analyses

The obtained results were recorded using Excel software. Descriptive analysis was conducted to calculate prevalences and frequencies for qualitative variables. Subsequently, the chi-square statistical test was performed using R software version 4.1.3 to compare the prevalences and frequencies of the different parameters studied, and the obtained values (percentages) were compared against the 5% threshold.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Parasitological Research of *Anaplasma* spp and Other Haemoparasites

Microscopic examination of 400 samples (Table 1) revealed that 386 smears were positive for at least one blood parasite. The overall prevalence of cattle infected with at least one blood parasite was 96.5%, while 3.5% of animals were free from any haemoparasite. A total of 05 species belonging to 03 genera of blood parasites were identified. These included the genus *Anaplasma* (87.25%) with two species, *A. centrale* and *A. marginale*, with respective proportions of 60.75% and 77.5%; *Babesia* (64%), which comprised the species *B. bovis* and *B. bigemina*; and the genus *Theileria* spp. The prevalences of *B. bovis*, *B. bigemina*, and *Theileria* spp were estimated at 55.75%, 26.5%, and 21.75%, respectively. The statistical analysis revealed a high significant difference ( $P=0.0001$ ) among the prevalence of the haemoparasites.

### 3.2 Prevalence of Identified Parasites by Animal Sex

The inventory of *Anaplasma* species and other associated blood parasites in cattle was also conducted, taking into account the sex of the animals. Among a total of 143 male subjects, the species *A. centrale*, *A. marginale*, and *B. bovis* were the most prevalent. Their respective prevalences were 62.24% (89/143), 76.22% (109/143), and 53.8% (77/143). Notably, the species *Babesia bigemina* represented the lowest prevalence (26.57%), with 38 infected male cattle out of the 143 male subjects. Among the 257 females, *A. centrale* and *A. marginale* were the most numerically dominant species, with prevalences of 78.2% and 59.9%, respectively. As for *Theileria* spp, it had the least infectivity (18.7%) in females (Fig. 2). Overall, the chi-square test showed that the presence of parasites was not sex-related ( $p>0.05$ ).

### 3.3 Prevalence of Parasite Species According to the Age of Animals

The parasite *A. marginale* showed respective proportions of 73.58% (youngest age group), 78.89% (juveniles), and 78.91% (adults) across all age categories. Additionally, *A. centrale* also recorded significant prevalence rates, estimated at 54.71% in animals aged 01 to 12 months,

67.18% in those aged 13-24 months, and 59.63% in adults (25 months and older). Similarly, 55.66% of the youngest animals (01-12 months), 53.90% of juveniles (13-24 months), and 57.22% of animals aged 25 months and older were infected with *B. bovis*. In contrast to these pathogens, the prevalence of *B. bigemina* showed lower proportions among the youngest (22.64%), 31.25% among juvenile animals, and 25.30% in those aged 25 months and older. Likewise, *Theileria* spp. was less represented, with distinct prevalences of 12.26% (01-13 months), 24.21% (13-24 months), and 25.90% (25 months and older). The following graphs summarize and illustrate these details (Fig. 3).

### 3.4 Prevalence of Parasites According to the Physiological Stage of Cattle

*Anaplasma centrale* was the most infective parasite in yearling bulls (70.58%), while it showed the lowest prevalence in calves (48.93%). As for the infection caused by *A. marginale*, it was highly significant at all stages,

with a clear predominance in yearling bulls (77.77%). On the other hand, the haemoparasite *B. bovis* was more prevalent in cows, with a prevalence of 59.50% of infected cows. Additionally, heifers recorded a significant infection of *B. bigemina* with a proportion of 32.46%. Unlike other parasites, infections caused by *Theileria* spp were very low (Table 2). The statistical analysis showed a significant difference (P=0.0001) in parasite prevalence with respect to the physiological stage and sex.


### 3.5 Seasonal Variation in the Prevalence of *Anaplasma* spp and other Associated Blood Parasites

The diversity of haemoparasite species encountered in the cattle farms in the department of Kounahiri during the rainy and dry seasons remains completely identical, with the only difference being their observed proportions (Fig. 4). During both seasons, most of the infections were caused by the pathogen *A. marginale* responsible for bovine anaplasmosis, with

**Table 1. Prevalence of *Anaplasma* spp. and other blood parasites**

Species and/or Genus of Parasites	Number of Parasitized Cattle (N=400)	Prevalence (%)	P-Value
<i>Anaplasma</i> spp	349	87.25% <sup>a</sup>	0.0001*
<i>Babesia</i> spp	256	64% <sup>b</sup>	
<i>Theileria</i> spp	87	21.75% <sup>c</sup>	
<i>Anaplasma centrale</i>	243	60.75% <sup>b</sup>	
<i>Anaplasma marginale</i>	310	77.5% <sup>a</sup>	
<i>Babesia bovis</i>	223	55.75% <sup>b</sup>	
<i>Babesia bigemina</i>	106	26.5% <sup>c</sup>	

Note: The values in the same column with different superscript letters significantly differ at the 0.05 threshold for each parameter; \* highly significant



**Fig. 2. Variation of the prevalence of parasites by animal sex**




Fig. 3. Prevalence of parasite species according to the age of animals

Table 2. Prevalence of parasites according to the gender and physiological stage of cattle

Physiological Stage	Different blood parasites observed					P-Value
	<i>Anaplasma Centrale</i>	<i>Anaplasma Marginale</i>	<i>Babesia Bovis</i>	<i>Babesia Bigemina</i>	<i>Theileria Spp</i>	
Calves N=47	48.93% <sup>a</sup> (23/47)	70.21% <sup>a</sup> (33/47)	51.06% <sup>a</sup> (24/47)	21.27% <sup>b</sup> (10/47)	10.63% <sup>b</sup> (5/47)	0.0001*
Female calves N=59	59.32% <sup>a</sup> (35/59)	76.27% <sup>a</sup> (45/59)	59.32% <sup>a</sup> (35/59)	23.72% <sup>b</sup> (14/59)	13.55% <sup>b</sup> (8/59)	0.0001*
Young bulls N=51	70.58% <sup>a</sup> (35/51)	80.39% <sup>a</sup> (41/51)	58.82% <sup>a</sup> (30/51)	29.41% <sup>b</sup> (15/51)	35.29% <sup>b</sup> (18/51)	0.0001*
Heifers N=77	64.93% <sup>a</sup> (50/77)	77.92% <sup>a</sup> (60/77)	50.64% <sup>a</sup> (39/77)	32.46% <sup>b</sup> (25/77)	16.88% <sup>c</sup> (13/77)	0.0001*
Bulls N=45	66.67% <sup>a</sup> (30/45)	77.77% <sup>a</sup> (35/45)	51.11% <sup>a</sup> (23/45)	28.88% <sup>b</sup> (13/45)	35.55% <sup>b</sup> (16/45)	0.0001*
Cows N=121	57.02% <sup>a</sup> (69/121)	79.33% <sup>a</sup> (96/121)	59.50% <sup>a</sup> (72/121)	23.96% <sup>b</sup> (29/121)	22.31% <sup>b</sup> (22/121)	0.0001*


Note: The values in the same row with different superscript letters significantly differ at the 0.05 threshold for each parameter. \* Highly significant

respective prevalences of 82% at the beginning of the dry season and 73% at the beginning of the rainy season. In contrast, *Theileria* spp had the least impact on animals in this locality, with low seasonal prevalences of 20% and 24%, respectively, at the beginning of the rainy season and the beginning of the dry season. The statistical analysis does not reveal any significant difference (P=0.05) between the action of parasites during the seasons.

### 3.6 Discussion

Overall, 96.5% of cattle in this department were infected by at least one haemoparasite. Vector

diversity and the lack of an appropriate prophylaxis program would explain this high rate of spread. Our investigations corroborate those of Aké-Bogni et al. [10], according to whom a total of 86.1% (155/180) of cattle are infested by one or more haemoparasite species. The search for *Anaplasma* spp and other haemoparasites revealed a significant infection rate of 77.5% for *A. marginale* in bovine samples from Kounahiri. This high prevalence could be attributed to the lack of preventive treatment by farmers. Furthermore, it may be explained by the absence of holding facilities, as well as the size and weight of the animals, which can make the administration of medications (acaricides and



**Fig. 4. Seasonal dynamics of Anaplasma spp and other associated parasites**

Note: Values with different letters indicate a significant difference in the prevalence of identified parasite species according to the season

other veterinary care) more challenging. Our results are in agreement with those of Turi et al. [16], who reported an infection rate of 41.6% for *A. marginale* in cattle samples from the Lakki Marwat and Peshawar districts in Pakistan. Additionally, Farooq and Ashraf [17] reported significant infection rates of 18.3% for *A. marginale* in samples from cattle in three distinct areas of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province and 8.6% for *A. marginale* in cattle from the Layyah district in Pakistan.

The results concerning the variation in parasite prevalencies with respect to the sex of the animals indicated a significant infection rate of *A. marginale* (53.8%) in males and (59.9%) in females. Consequently, both male and female animals would be susceptible to tick infestations and other vectors, which explains the increased risk of transmission. Our results are not identical to the findings of Yéo et al. [18] on ruminant haemoparasites in the Korhogo and Sinématiali departments. According to these authors, 54.41% of females and 16.18% of males were infected with *A. marginale*. Our results are in line with the work conducted by Djakaridja et al. in the Northern and Central regions of Côte d'Ivoire, where they obtained an infection rate of 78.76% for *A. marginale* in 178 females and a 73.13% infection rate for the same parasite in a population of 98 males [12].

Regarding the diagnosis of parasite species based on the age of the animals, *Anaplasma*

*marginale* prevailed at 78.90% among the young cattle, followed by *Babesia bovis* (57.23%), and *Babesia bigemina* (25.90%) among adult bovines. Several factors could explain this; firstly, young cattle have a diet primarily composed of milk, which may not contain all the necessary nutrients to strengthen their immune system. As a result, calves may be more susceptible to vector infestations. Additionally, young cattle are not treated with antiparasitic agents until a certain age, as these products can be harmful to their health. These results are similar to those obtained by Canever et al. [19] in southern Brazil, where they found that *Babesia bigemina*, *Anaplasma marginale*, and *Babesia bovis* were widespread, with respective infection rates of 63.6%, 60.6%, and 18.2%. According to the researchers, the low prevalence of infection might be related to low parasitaemia during the acute phase of the disease. An important incidence of 52.5% of cattle affected by anaplasmosis was reported in Aberdeen Angus cattle in New Valley, Egypt [20] following a diagnostic study.

At the physiological stage of cattle, high parasite burdens were recorded in yearling bulls. The infection prevalencies were distinctly 70.58% for *A. centrale*, 80.39% for *A. marginale*, and 29.41% for *B. bigemina*. The lack of appropriate management practices, such as pasture rotation and reducing herd density to minimize animal exposure to vectors, could explain this high

parasite infection. Our results do not corroborate those of Vieira et al. [21], who observed a low infection rate of 26.76% for *A. marginale* and 35.21% for *B. bovis* in animals aged between 12 and 24 months. Another study conducted in the Balochistan province of Pakistan on the impact of parasite infestations revealed that young animals over one-year-old were the most exposed [22].

Regarding the seasonal variation of *Anaplasma* spp and other associated blood parasites, the pathogen *A. marginale* responsible for bovine anaplasmosis was most prevalent at the beginning of the rainy season and the beginning of the dry season, with respective prevalences of 82% and 73%. A low distribution of *Theileria* spp, 20% and 24%, respectively, was observed at the beginning of the rainy season and the beginning of the dry season. This high prevalence can be explained by the fact that cattle are more exposed to insect bites and a high burden of tick vectors, which transmit these parasites. Additionally, the humidity may favour certain aspects of the parasites' life cycle and reproductive rate. Similar infection prevalences have been reported in all cattle farming areas in Côte d'Ivoire, with overall prevalences of 68.9% for *A. marginale* and 10% for *Theileria annulata* [9]. Our results confirm the findings of studies conducted in the Northern and Central regions of Côte d'Ivoire, which estimated 77.7% in the North and 55% in the Center [12]. Nwoha et al. [23] also found high proportions of 31% for *A. marginale* in Abia State, southeast Nigeria, although the proportions differ from ours.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

This study of parasite status enabled an exhaustive inventory to be made of the various haemoparasites of cattle in the department of Kounahiri and their prevalence to be assessed. Three genera and four species were identified: *Anaplasma* spp, *Babesia* spp and *Theileria* spp. Three genera and four species were identified, namely *Anaplasma* spp, *Babesia* spp and *Theileria* spp. The species include *A. marginale*, *A. centrale*, *B. bovis* and *B. bigemina*. The *Anaplasma* genus was the most widespread. Factors such as sex and season had no significant impact on parasite prevalence. However, the physiological stage did influence infection rates. Knowledge of parasites will enable the implementation of health service programs that are more or less appropriate to meet the health needs of livestock, ultimately leading to increased production at all levels.

#### CONSENT

It is not applicable.

#### ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Our thanks go to the head and senior research technicians of the Regional Laboratory of Korhogo (LRK) of the National Laboratory for Agricultural Development Support (LANADA) in the parasitology department, and Excellers Consulting for funding this research.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Roy BC, Krücken J, Ahmed JS, Majumder S, Baumann MP, Clausen PH, Nijhof AM. Molecular identification of tick-borne pathogens infecting cattle in Mymensingh district of Bangladesh reveals emerging species of *Anaplasma* and *Babesia*. *Transboundary and emerging diseases*. 2018;65(2):e231-e242. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12745>
2. Abdullah DA, Ali MS, Omer SG, Ola-Fadunsin SD, Ali FF, Gimba FI. Prevalence and climatic influence on hemoparasites of cattle and sheep in Mosul, Iraq. *Journal of Advanced Veterinary And Animal Research*. 2019; 6(4):492–498. Available:<http://doi.org/10.5455/javar.2019.f373>
3. Kumar T, Sindhu N, Charaya G, Kumar A, Kumar P, Chandratere G, et al. Emerging status of anaplasmosis in cattle in Hisar. *Veterinary World*. 2015;8(6):768-771. DOI: 10.14202/vetworld.2015.768-771
4. Nyabongo L, Kanduma EG, Bishop RP, Machuka E, Njeri A, Bimenyimana AV et al. Prevalence of tick-transmitted pathogens in cattle reveals that *Theileria parva*, *Babesia bigemina* and *Anaplasma marginale* are endemic in Burundi. *Parasites Vectors*. 2021;14:6. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-04531-2>



5. Zafar SNUIA, Khan A, Niaz S, Aktas M, Ozubek S, Farooq M, et al. Prevalence of *Anaplasma marginalis* in cattle blood samples collected from two important livestock regions in Punjab (Pakistan) with a note on epidemiology and phylogeny of the parasite. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2022;29 (2022):1515-1520. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.11.020>
6. Alvarado-Rybak M, Solano-Gallego L, Millán J. A review of piroplasmid infections in wild carnivores worldwide: Importance for domestic animal health and wildlife conservation. *Parasites Vectors* 9:538; 2016. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1808-7>
7. Inácio EL, Pérez-Macchi S, Alabi A, Bittencour P, Müller A. Prevalence and molecular characterization of piroplasmids in domestic dogs from Paraguay. *Ticks Tick-borne Diseases*. 2019;10(2):321–327. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2018.11.009>
8. Chen Y, Chen YY, Liu G, Lyu C, Hu Y, An Q, et al. Prevalence of *Theileria* in cattle in China: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Microbial Pathogenesis*. 2022; 162:105369. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2021.105369>
9. Aké-Bogni GR, Yao KP, Coulibaly N-GD, Achi YL, Dosso M, Sabeko-Matjila KP. Detection and distribution of *Anaplasma marginale*, *Babesia bovis* and *Theileria annulata* in Côte d'Ivoire. *Journal of Parasitology and Vector Biology*. 2023; 15(1):1-11. DOI: 10.5897/JPVB2022.0432
10. Achi, YL, Koné PF, Stachurski J, Zinsstag, Betschart B. Impact des tiques sur les bovins métissés dans le Nord de la Côte d'Ivoire. *Bulletin of Animal Health and Production in Africa*. 2012;60(2):109-118. Available: <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/bahpa/article/view/81734>
11. Djakaridja B, Kouassi PY, Gagnon Biego G, Acapovi-Yao G, Mavoungou JF, N'goran Kouakou E. Situation épidémiologique des hémoparasites des bovins dans deux zones d'élevage de la Côte d'Ivoire: Cas des anciennes régions des Savanes et de la vallée du Bandama. *Revue Méd. Vét.* 2014;165(9-10):297-303.
12. Yéo N, Karamoko Y, Soro D, Zouh BI ZF, Traoré SI. Elevage de bétail dans la région du Poro (Côte d'Ivoire) : Caractérisation et modalité de lutte contre les pathogènes transmis par les tiques. *Journal International des Sciences Biologiques et Chimiques*. 2017;11(1):237-246. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ijbcs.v11i1.19>
13. Momčilović S, Cantacessi C, Arsić-Arsenijević V, Otranto T-O. Rapid diagnosis of parasitic diseases: Current scenario and future needs. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*. 2019;25(3): 290–309. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2018.04.028>
14. Institut National de la Statistique (INS). Recensement Général de la Population et de l'Habitat 2021. 2021;37. Available: <https://www.ins.ci/RGPH2021/RESULTATS%20DEFINITIFS%20SRP21.pdf>
15. Turi AA, Rahman A, Ali I, Rafiullah, Sajid A, Khan K, et al. Comparative analysis of direct ELISA and real time PCR for the detection of *Anaplasma marginale* in buffalo, cattle and sheep in district Peshawar and Lakki Marwat, Pakistan. *South Asian Journal of Life Sciences*. 2018;6(1):1-6. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.sajls/2018/6.1.1.6>
16. Ashraf S, Parveen A, Awais MM, Gillani Q, Aktas M, Ozubek S, et al. A report on molecular detection and phylogenetic evaluation of *Anaplasma marginale* in ticks and blood samples collected from cattle in district Layyah in Punjab (Pakistan). *Current Microbiology*. 2021;78:274-281. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-020-02256-0>
17. Farooq SH, Ijaz M, Rashid MI, Nabi H, Islam S, Aqib AI et al. Molecular epidemiology of bovine anaplasmosis in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*. 2018;50:1591-1598. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-018-1599-2>
18. Yéo N, Gagnon BG, Karamoko Y. Hémoparasites chez les ruminants domestiques dans les départements de Korhogo et Sinématiali en Côte d'Ivoire. *European Scientific Journal*. 2020;16(15) :183-199. Available: <https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2020.v16n15p183>
19. Canever MF, Vieira LL, Reck C, Richter L, Meletti LC. First evaluation of an outbreak

- of babesiosis and anaplasmosis in Southern Brazil using multiplex PCR. *Korean J. Parasitol.* 2014;52(5) :507-511.  
DOI: 10.3347/kjp.2014.52.5.507
20. Nasreldin N, Ewida RM, Hamdon H, Elnaker YF. Molecular diagnosis and biochemical studies of tick-borne diseases (anaplasmosis and babesiosis) in Aberdeen Angus cattle in New Valley, Egypt. *Veterinary World.* 2020;13(9):1884-1891.  
DOI: 10.14202/vetworld.2020.1884-1891
21. Vieira LL, Canever MF, Cardozo LL, Herkenhoff ME, Neto AT, Vogel CIG et al. Prevalence of *Anaplasma marginale*, *Babesia bovis* and *Babesia bigemina* in cattle in the compos de Lages region, Santa Catarina state, Brazil, estimated by multiplex-PCR. *Parasite Epidemiology and Control.* 2019;6:e00114.  
Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parepi.2019.e00114>
22. Nwoha RIO, Onyeabor A, Igwe KC, Daniel-Igwe G, Ouekwusi GCO, Okah U. Prevalence of haemoparasites in livestock in Ikwuano local government area of Abia State. *Journal of Fisheries and Livestock Production.* 2013;2:109.  
DOI:10.4172/2332-2608.1000109
23. Rafique N, Kakar A, Iqbal A, Masood Z, Razzad W, Iqbal F. Impact assessment of tick species, *Rhipicephalus* (*Boophilus*) *microplus* on the milk productions of cattle's in the Quetta city of province Balochistan, Pakistan. *Global Veterinaria.* 2015;15(51):19-23.  
DOI: 10.5829/idosi.gv.2015.15.01.95257

© 2023 Tiba et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*  
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107398>